

Corpus Companion: Sources, Claims, Entities, Events, and Notes

Audit volume for From Surveillance Capitalism to AI Imperialism

1. How to Use This Companion

This companion is the project-wide audit surface. Use it when you want to inspect the full corpus behind the standalone papers and the omnibus dossier without reading repo internals.

1.1. Navigation Guide

- If a paper footnote sends you to an external report, article, court order, filing, or technical paper, open that live link first.
- If a paper then points you to **Appendix A, B, C, D, or E**, stay inside that paper to see why the source, claim, event, entity, or evidence boundary matters in that chapter.
- If a paper mentions a corpus label such as **Source S-066, Claim C-212, Event T-014, or Note N-097**, use this companion to inspect the project-wide audit record for that item.
- **Source** entries identify the real underlying document and where it appears across the dossier.
- **Claim** entries tell you exactly what proposition the project extracted from the record and how it is labeled evidentially.
- **Event** entries reconstruct dated turning points used across chapters.
- **Note** entries summarize what a source proves, what it suggests, and where caution is required.

1.2. Evidence Labels

- **Documented Fact:** a claim the project treats as directly supported by the documentary record at the required threshold.
- **Disputed Fact:** a claim with serious documented disagreement or unresolved institutional conflict.
- **Hypothesis / Interpretation:** a bounded political or analytical reading built from cited facts, not a settled fact in itself.
- **Speculative Narrative Risk:** a claim shape the dossier explicitly warns against because it outruns the record.

1.3. Corpus Totals

- Sources: 135
- Claims: 496
- Entities: 62

- Events: 92
- Notes: 149

1.4. Chapter-to-Corpus Crosswalk

- Chapter 00 (Opening framework): 4 sources, 12 claims, 45 entities, 1 events, 4 notes.
- Chapter 01 (Social networks as infrastructure for free data capture): 18 sources, 87 claims, 9 entities, 11 events, 25 notes.
- Chapter 02 (Social erosion and moral reconfiguration): 18 sources, 58 claims, 9 entities, 10 events, 18 notes.
- Chapter 03 (COVID as a historical accelerator): 19 sources, 62 claims, 5 entities, 15 events, 19 notes.
- Chapter 04 (AI arrives when the human raw material already exists): 14 sources, 52 claims, 16 entities, 11 events, 16 notes.
- Chapter 05 (Talent, overhiring, valuation, and layoffs): 15 sources, 47 claims, 7 entities, 7 events, 15 notes.
- Chapter 06 (AI as a dual-use rhetorical weapon): 35 sources, 120 claims, 13 entities, 23 events, 37 notes.
- Chapter 07 (Imperial control over access): 38 sources, 142 claims, 24 entities, 28 events, 44 notes.
- Chapter 08 (Power network, legitimacy, and institutional capture): 57 sources, 207 claims, 38 entities, 38 events, 64 notes.
- Chapter 09 (Future if no action is taken): 25 sources, 78 claims, 7 entities, 8 events, 25 notes.
- Chapter 10 (What to do): 23 sources, 90 claims, 52 entities, 11 events, 21 notes.

1.5. Vector-to-Chapter Crosswalk

- Surveillance capitalism and data capture: Chapter 01, Chapter 10.
- Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration: Chapter 02, Chapter 10.
- COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena: Chapter 03.
- From human data to models and weights: Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 10.
- Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs: Chapter 05, Chapter 10.
- Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek: Chapter 06, Chapter 10.
- Export controls, compute, and defense: Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10.
- Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture: Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10.
- Future if unchecked: Chapter 09, Chapter 10.
- Response agenda and counterstrategy: Chapter 10.
- Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency: Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08.
- Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion: Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03.
- OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus: Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08.
- Named individuals, disputes, and risk: Chapter 08.

1.6. Source Register

1.6.1. Source S-001. Interactions Between Artificial Intelligence and Digital Public Infrastructure: Concepts, Benefits, and Challenges

External record: Interactions Between Artificial Intelligence and Digital Public Infrastructure: Concepts, Benefits, and Challenges **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2024-12-07 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** ai-digital-public-infrastructure-2024

1.6.2. Source S-002. Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strategic Collaboration to Advance Generative AI

External record: Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strategic Collaboration to Advance Generative AI **Institution / author:** Amazon **Date:** 2023-09-25 **Tier / type:** T1 / press_release **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **Audit ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023

1.6.3. Source S-003. Introducing Claude 4

External record: Introducing Claude 4 **Institution / author:** Anthropic **Date:** 2025-05-22 **Tier / type:** T1 / release **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** anthropic-claude-4-release-2025

1.6.4. Source S-004. Claude Gov models for U.S. national security customers

External record: Claude Gov models for U.S. national security customers **Institution / author:** Anthropic **Date:** 2025-06-06 **Tier / type:** T1 / release **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025

1.6.5. Source S-005. Plans & Pricing | Claude by Anthropic

External record: Plans & Pricing | Claude by Anthropic **Institution / author:** Anthropic **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / pricing_page **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** anthropic-claude-pricing-2026

1.6.6. Source S-006. Anthropic’s Responsible Scaling Policy (version 3.0)

External record: Anthropic’s Responsible Scaling Policy (version 3.0) **Institution / author:** Anthropic **Date:** 2026-02-24 **Tier / type:** T1 / policy_pdf **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-2026

1.6.7. Source S-007. Responsible Scaling Policy Version 3.0

External record: Responsible Scaling Policy Version 3.0 **Institution / author:** Anthropic **Date:** 2026-02-24 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-announcement-2026

1.6.8. Source S-008. Amazon, Salesforce jettison jobs in latest tech worker purge

External record: Amazon, Salesforce jettison jobs in latest tech worker purge **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2023-01-04 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Audit ID:** ap-amazon-salesforce-tech-purge-2023

1.6.9. Source S-009. Anthropic wins ruling on AI training in copyright lawsuit but must face trial on pirated books

External record: Anthropic wins ruling on AI training in copyright lawsuit but must face trial on pirated books **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2025-06-24 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** ap-anthropic-fair-use-ruling-2025

1.6.10. Source S-010. FTC opens inquiry into Big Tech’s partnerships with leading AI startups

External record: FTC opens inquiry into Big Tech’s partnerships with leading AI startups **Institution / author:** AP **Date:** 2024-01-25 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** ap-ftc-ai-partnerships-inquiry-2024

1.6.11. Source S-011. Meta CEO Mark Zuckerberg kicks off developer conference with focus on AI, virtual reality

External record: Meta CEO Mark Zuckerberg kicks off developer conference with focus on AI, virtual reality **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2023-09-27 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** ap-meta-connect-2023

1.6.12. Source S-012. Mark Zuckerberg ‘personally authorized’ Meta’s copyright infringement, publishers allege

External record: Mark Zuckerberg ‘personally authorized’ Meta’s copyright infringement, publishers allege **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2026-05-05 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** ap-meta-publishers-lawsuit-2026

1.6.13. Source S-013. Meta posts lower Q4 profit, announces huge stock buyback

External record: Meta posts lower Q4 profit, announces huge stock buyback **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2023-02-01 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** ap-meta-q4-2022-overhiring

1.6.14. Source S-014. Meta posts sharp profit, revenue increase in Q4 thanks to cost cuts and advertising rebound

External record: Meta posts sharp profit, revenue increase in Q4 thanks to cost cuts and advertising rebound **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2024-02-01 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** ap-meta-q4-2023-year-of-efficiency

1.6.15. Source S-015. Judge denies Elon Musk’s request to block OpenAI for-profit conversion but welcomes trial

External record: Judge denies Elon Musk’s request to block OpenAI for-profit conversion but welcomes trial **Institution / author:** Matt O’Brien; Barbara Ortutay **Date:** 2025-03-05 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Named individuals, disputes, and risk **Audit ID:** ap-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025

1.6.16. Source S-016. OpenAI has ‘full confidence’ in CEO Sam Altman after investigation, reinstates him to board

External record: OpenAI has ‘full confidence’ in CEO Sam Altman after investigation, reinstates him to board **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2024-03-08 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** ap-openai-board-investigation-2024

1.6.17. Source S-017. OpenAI board unanimously rejects Elon Musk’s \$97.4 billion proposal

External record: OpenAI board unanimously rejects Elon Musk’s \$97.4 billion proposal **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2025-02-14 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025

1.6.18. Source S-018. OpenAI Chief Technology Officer Mira Murati and 2 other execs are leaving the ChatGPT maker

External record: OpenAI Chief Technology Officer Mira Murati and 2 other execs are leaving the ChatGPT maker **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2024-09-25 **Tier / type:** T2 /

news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** ap-openai-murati-execs-depart-2024

1.6.19. Source S-019. How Elon Musk \$97.4 billion bid complicates matters for OpenAI

External record: How Elon Musk \$97.4 billion bid complicates matters for OpenAI **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2025-02-11 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** ap-openai-musk-bid-complicates-2025

1.6.20. Source S-020. OpenAI says Musk agreed the ChatGPT maker should become a for-profit company

External record: OpenAI says Musk agreed the ChatGPT maker should become a for-profit company **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2024-03-06 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** ap-openai-musk-for-profit-2024

1.6.21. Source S-021. OpenAI appoints former top US cyberwarrior Paul Nakasone to its board of directors

External record: OpenAI appoints former top US cyberwarrior Paul Nakasone to its board of directors **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2024-06-14 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Export controls, compute, and defense, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** ap-openai-nakasone-board-2024

1.6.22. Source S-022. OpenAI may move forward with new business structure, partnership with Microsoft, regulators say

External record: OpenAI may move forward with new business structure, partnership with Microsoft, regulators say **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2025-10-28 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** ap-openai-public-benefit-restructure-2025

1.6.23. Source S-023. OpenAI co-founder Ilya Sutskever announces departure from ChatGPT maker

External record: OpenAI co-founder Ilya Sutskever announces departure from ChatGPT maker **Institution / author:** Associated Press **Date:** 2024-05-15 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** ap-openai-sutskever-departure-2024

1.6.24. Source S-024. Reddit strikes \$60M deal allowing Google to train AI models on its posts, unveils IPO plans

External record: Reddit strikes \$60M deal allowing Google to train AI models on its posts, unveils IPO plans **Institution / author:** AP **Date:** 2024-02-22 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** ap-reddit-google-ai-training-deal-2024

1.6.25. Source S-025. Thiel brings his Antichrist lectures to the Vatican's doorstep, and Catholic institutions back away

External record: Thiel brings his Antichrist lectures to the Vatican's doorstep, and Catholic institutions back away **Institution / author:** Nicole Winfield **Date:** 2026-03-12 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** ap-thiel-antichrist-vance-2026

1.6.26. Source S-026. Army Launches Detachment 201: Executive Innovation Corps to Drive Tech Transformation

External record: Army Launches Detachment 201: Executive Innovation Corps to Drive Tech Transformation **Institution / author:** U.S. Army Public Affairs **Date:** 2025-06-13 **Tier / type:** T1 / press_release **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** army-detachment-201-2025

1.6.27. Source S-027. Anthropic outspends OpenAI in biggest-ever lobbying quarter

External record: Anthropic outspends OpenAI in biggest-ever lobbying quarter **Institution / author:** Axios **Date:** 2026-04-21 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** axios-anthropic-openai-lobbying-quarter-2026

1.6.28. Source S-028. Kids' screen time up 50% during pandemic

External record: Kids' screen time up 50% during pandemic **Institution / author:** Axios **Date:** 2021-01-17 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** axios-kids-screen-time-pandemic-2021

1.6.29. Source S-029. How Sam Altman's ouster went down, according to OpenAI's ex-president

External record: How Sam Altman's ouster went down, according to OpenAI's ex-president **Institution / author:** Axios **Date:** 2023-11-18 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** axios-openai-board-timeline-2023

1.6.30. Source S-030. OpenAI-linked PAC doubles down in Kentucky

External record: OpenAI-linked PAC doubles down in Kentucky **Institution / author:** Axios **Date:** 2026-05-22 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** axios-openai-linked-pac-kentucky-2026

1.6.31. Source S-031. How tensions over profitability at OpenAI turned into a full-blown crisis

External record: How tensions over profitability at OpenAI turned into a full-blown crisis **Institution / author:** Axios **Date:** 2023-11-20 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_analysis **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** axios-openai-profitability-crisis-2023

1.6.32. Source S-032. Pandemic provides a techlash reprieve

External record: Pandemic provides a techlash reprieve **Institution / author:** Axios **Date:** 2021-03-10 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** axios-pandemic-techlash-reprieve-2021

1.6.33. Source S-033. OpenAI co-founder Ilya Sutskever to exit firm

External record: OpenAI co-founder Ilya Sutskever to exit firm **Institution / author:** Axios **Date:** 2024-05-14 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** axios-sutskever-exit-2024

1.6.34. Source S-034. Big Tech layoffs shattered industry, worker confidence

External record: Big Tech layoffs shattered industry, worker confidence **Institution / author:** Axios **Date:** 2024-01-03 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_analysis **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Audit ID:** axios-tech-layoffs-worker-confidence-2024

1.6.35. Source S-035. Bartz et al. v. Anthropic PBC, Order on Fair Use

External record: Bartz et al. v. Anthropic PBC, Order on Fair Use **Institution / author:** U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California via CourtListener **Date:** 2025-06-23 **Tier / type:** T1 / court_order **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** bartz-anthropic-fair-use-order-2025

1.6.36. Source S-036. Annual Economic Report 2026

External record: Annual Economic Report 2026 **Institution / author:** Bank for International Settlements **Date:** 2026-06-28 **Tier / type:** T1 / report **Appears in chapters:**

Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** bis-annual-economic-report-2026

1.6.37. Source S-037. Guidance Regarding Enforcement of License Requirements for Advanced Computing Items for Entities Headquartered in Country Group D:5 and Macau

External record: Guidance Regarding Enforcement of License Requirements for Advanced Computing Items for Entities Headquartered in Country Group D:5 and Macau **Institution / author:** Bureau of Industry and Security **Date:** 2026-05-31 **Tier / type:** T1 / guidance_pdf **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **Audit ID:** bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026

1.6.38. Source S-038. Extracting Training Data from Large Language Models

External record: Extracting Training Data from Large Language Models **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2020-12-14 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** carlini-extracting-training-data-2020

1.6.39. Source S-039. ChatGPT Plans | Free, Go, Plus, Pro, Business, and Enterprise

External record: ChatGPT Plans | Free, Go, Plus, Pro, Business, and Enterprise **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / pricing_page **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** chatgpt-pricing-2026

1.6.40. Source S-040. Computing Power and the Governance of Artificial Intelligence

External record: Computing Power and the Governance of Artificial Intelligence **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2024-02-13 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** compute-governance-ai-2024

1.6.41. Source S-041. H.R. 7024: Tax Relief for American Families and Workers Act of 2024 (CRS summary)

External record: H.R. 7024: Tax Relief for American Families and Workers Act of 2024 (CRS summary) **Institution / author:** Congress.gov / CRS **Date:** 2024-01-31 **Tier / type:** T1 / legislative_summary **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Audit ID:** congress-hr7024-summary-2024

1.6.42. Source S-042. H.R. 7024: Tax Relief for American Families and Workers Act of 2024

External record: H.R. 7024: Tax Relief for American Families and Workers Act of 2024 **Institution / author:** Congress.gov **Date:** 2024-01-16 **Tier / type:** T1 / legislation **Appears in**

chapters: Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Audit ID:** congress-hr7024-tax-relief-2024

1.6.43. Source S-043. H.R. 7024 text: Deduction for domestic research and experimental expenditures

External record: H.R. 7024 text: Deduction for domestic research and experimental expenditures **Institution / author:** Congress.gov / Library of Congress **Date:** 2024-03-21 **Tier / type:** T1 / bill_text **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Audit ID:** congress-hr7024-text-2024

1.6.44. Source S-044. ‘Magnifica Humanitas’: el papel de Christopher Olah y Anthropic en la encíclica sobre la IA del papa León XIV

External record: ‘Magnifica Humanitas’: el papel de Christopher Olah y Anthropic en la encíclica sobre la IA del papa León XIV **Institution / author:** Federico Peinado **Date:** 2026-05-26 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_analysis **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** conversation-magnifica-humanitas-olah-anthropic-2

1.6.45. Source S-045. Musk v. Altman, 4:24-cv-04722

External record: Musk v. Altman, 4:24-cv-04722 **Institution / author:** CourtListener / PACER **Date:** 2024-08-05 **Tier / type:** T1 / court_docket **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** courtlistener-musk-v-altman-docket-2024

1.6.46. Source S-046. Excessive Screen Time is Associated with Mental Health Problems and ADHD in US Children and Adolescents: Physical Activity and Sleep as Parallel Mediators

External record: Excessive Screen Time is Associated with Mental Health Problems and ADHD in US Children and Adolescents: Physical Activity and Sleep as Parallel Mediators **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2025-08-13 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** dai-pandemic-screen-time-mental-health-2025

1.6.47. Source S-047. The Adolescence of Technology

External record: The Adolescence of Technology **Institution / author:** Dario Amodei **Date:** 2026-01-01 **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** dario-amodei-adolescence-of-technology-2026

1.6.48. Source S-048. DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning Capability in LLMs via Reinforcement Learning

External record: DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning Capability in LLMs via Reinforcement Learning **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2025-01-22 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek, Export controls, compute, and defense **Audit ID:** deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025

1.6.49. Source S-049. DeepSeek-V3 Technical Report

External record: DeepSeek-V3 Technical Report **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2024-12-27 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek, Export controls, compute, and defense **Audit ID:** deepseek-v3-technical-report-2024

1.6.50. Source S-050. The Digital Sovereignty Imperative

External record: The Digital Sovereignty Imperative **Institution / author:** Dion Wiggins **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T3 / website_homepage **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** digital-sovereignty-imperative-homepage-2026

1.6.51. Source S-051. Generative AI Training and Copyright Law

External record: Generative AI Training and Copyright Law **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2025-02-21 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** dornis-generative-ai-training-copyright-2025

1.6.52. Source S-052. Facebook, Inc. Registration Statement on Form S-1

External record: Facebook, Inc. Registration Statement on Form S-1 **Institution / author:** SEC **Date:** 2012-02-01 **Tier / type:** T1 / filing **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** facebook-s1-2012

1.6.53. Source S-053. LEADING THE FUTURE - committee overview

External record: LEADING THE FUTURE - committee overview **Institution / author:** Federal Election Commission **Date:** 2026-03-31 **Tier / type:** T1 / committee_overview **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** fec-leading-the-future-committee-overview-2026

1.6.54. Source S-054. Request for Information on the Development of an Artificial Intelligence (AI) Action Plan

External record: Request for Information on the Development of an Artificial Intelligence (AI) Action Plan **Institution / author:** Federal Register **Date:** 2025-02-06 **Tier / type:** T1 / federal_register_notice **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Ex-

port controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025

1.6.55. Source S-055. AI exuberance masks broad weakness in tech sector, say investors

External record: AI exuberance masks broad weakness in tech sector, say investors **Institution / author:** Financial Times **Date:** 2024-09-09 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_analysis **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Audit ID:** ft-ai-exuberance-tech-weakness-2024

1.6.56. Source S-056. Meta sees AI spending accelerating as earnings top forecasts

External record: Meta sees AI spending accelerating as earnings top forecasts **Institution / author:** Financial Times **Date:** 2024-10-30 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** ft-meta-ai-spending-reality-labs-2024

1.6.57. Source S-057. Judge denies Elon Musk’s attempt to immediately block OpenAI’s conversion to for-profit entity

External record: Judge denies Elon Musk’s attempt to immediately block OpenAI’s conversion to for-profit entity **Institution / author:** Financial Times **Date:** 2025-03-05 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Named individuals, disputes, and risk **Audit ID:** ft-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025

1.6.58. Source S-058. New Zealand woos the wealthy with ‘golden visa’ changes

External record: New Zealand woos the wealthy with ‘golden visa’ changes **Institution / author:** Rebecca Rose **Date:** 2025-09-24 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** ft-new-zealand-golden-visa-2025

1.6.59. Source S-059. Who’s left at OpenAI? Sam Altman consolidates power after failed coup

External record: Who’s left at OpenAI? Sam Altman consolidates power after failed coup **Institution / author:** Financial Times **Date:** 2024-09-28 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** ft-openai-consolidates-power-2024

1.6.60. Source S-060. OpenAI acknowledges new models increase risk of misuse to create bioweapons

External record: OpenAI acknowledges new models increase risk of misuse to create bioweapons **Institution / author:** Financial Times **Date:** 2024-09-13 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article

Appears in chapters: Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek
Audit ID: ft-openai-o1-bioweapon-risk-2024

1.6.61. Source S-061. OpenAI appoints one of Wall Street’s most powerful dealmakers to its board

External record: OpenAI appoints one of Wall Street’s most powerful dealmakers to its board
Institution / author: Financial Times **Date:** 2025-01-14 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article
Appears in chapters: Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** ft-openai-ogunlesi-board-2025

1.6.62. Source S-062. OpenAI co-founder departs for rival Anthropic

External record: OpenAI co-founder departs for rival Anthropic **Institution / author:** Financial Times **Date:** 2024-08-06 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** ft-openai-schulman-anthropic-2024

1.6.63. Source S-063. A Look Behind the Screens: Examining the Data Practices of Social Media and Video Streaming Services

External record: A Look Behind the Screens: Examining the Data Practices of Social Media and Video Streaming Services **Institution / author:** FTC **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / report **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **Audit ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens

1.6.64. Source S-064. FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sweeping New Privacy Restrictions on Facebook

External record: FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sweeping New Privacy Restrictions on Facebook **Institution / author:** FTC **Date:** 2019-07-24 **Tier / type:** T1 / press_release
Appears in chapters: Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion, Surveillance capitalism and data capture **Audit ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019

1.6.65. Source S-065. GPTs are GPTs: An Early Look at the Labor Market Impact Potential of Large Language Models

External record: GPTs are GPTs: An Early Look at the Labor Market Impact Potential of Large Language Models **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2023-03-17 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** gpts-are-gpts-labor-impact-2023

1.6.66. Source S-066. Why Silicon Valley billionaires are prepping for the apocalypse in New Zealand

External record: Why Silicon Valley billionaires are prepping for the apocalypse in New Zealand
Institution / author: Mark O’Connell **Date:** 2018-02-15 **Tier / type:** T3 / longform_feature
Appears in chapters: Chapter 08, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** guardian-billionaires-new-zealand-2018

1.6.67. Source S-067. Social media algorithms ‘amplifying misogynistic content’

External record: Social media algorithms ‘amplifying misogynistic content’ **Institution / author:** The Guardian **Date:** 2024-02-06 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Audit ID:** guardian-tiktok-misogyny-amplification-2024

1.6.68. Source S-068. Nudes? Shouldn’t I charge for these?: Motivations of New Sexual Content Creators on OnlyFans

External record: Nudes? Shouldn’t I charge for these?: Motivations of New Sexual Content Creators on OnlyFans **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2022-05-20 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Audit ID:** hamilton-onlyfans-new-creators-2022

1.6.69. Source S-069. Social Media and Youth Mental Health: The U.S. Surgeon General’s Advisory

External record: Social Media and Youth Mental Health: The U.S. Surgeon General’s Advisory **Institution / author:** HHS **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / advisory **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Audit ID:** hhs-social-media-youth-advisory-2023

1.6.70. Source S-070. Bipartisan House Task Force Report on Artificial Intelligence

External record: Bipartisan House Task Force Report on Artificial Intelligence **Institution / author:** U.S. House of Representatives **Date:** 2024-12-17 **Tier / type:** T1 / report **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** house-ai-task-force-report-2024

1.6.71. Source S-071. Gen-AI: Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work

External record: Gen-AI: Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work **Institution / author:** IMF **Date:** 2024-01-14 **Tier / type:** T1 / report **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** imf-gen-ai-future-of-work-2024

1.6.72. Source S-072. Unfiltered: How Teens Engage in Body Image and Shaming Discussions via Instagram Direct Messages (DMs)

External record: Unfiltered: How Teens Engage in Body Image and Shaming Discussions via Instagram Direct Messages (DMs) **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2025-04-02 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Audit ID:** instagram-body-image-dms-2025

1.6.73. Source S-073. Meta Slashes Prices for VR Headsets

External record: Meta Slashes Prices for VR Headsets **Institution / author:** Investopedia **Date:** 2023-03-06 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** investopedia-meta-vr-price-cuts-2023

1.6.74. Source S-074. In-Q-Tel

External record: In-Q-Tel **Institution / author:** In-Q-Tel **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / organization_homepage **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** iqt-homepage-2026

1.6.75. Source S-075. Notice 2023-63: Guidance on Section 174 and specified research or experimental expenditures

External record: Notice 2023-63: Guidance on Section 174 and specified research or experimental expenditures **Institution / author:** Internal Revenue Service **Date:** 2023-09-08 **Tier / type:** T1 / official_notice **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Audit ID:** irs-notice-2023-63

1.6.76. Source S-076. It's Not That Simple. An Analysis of Simple Test-Time Scaling

External record: It's Not That Simple. An Analysis of Simple Test-Time Scaling **Institution / author:** Guojun Wu **Date:** 2025-07-19 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** its-not-that-simple-test-time-scaling-2025

1.6.77. Source S-077. The problem with annotation. Human labour and outsourcing between France and Madagascar

External record: The problem with annotation. Human labour and outsourcing between France and Madagascar **Institution / author:** Big Data & Society **Date:** 2023-07-25 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** le-ludec-cornet-casilli-annotation-madagascar-2023

1.6.78. Source S-078. With Reality Labs, Meta risks heading down slippery slope of overspending again

External record: With Reality Labs, Meta risks heading down slippery slope of overspending again **Institution / author:** MarketWatch **Date:** 2024-10-31 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_analysis **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** marketwatch-reality-labs-overspending-2024

1.6.79. Source S-079. Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Report (Form 10-K)

External record: Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Report (Form 10-K) **Institution / author:** U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission **Date:** 2024-02-02 **Tier / type:** T1 / sec_filing **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** meta-2023-10k

1.6.80. Source S-080. Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement

External record: Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement **Institution / author:** SEC **Date:** 2022-04-08 **Tier / type:** T1 / proxy_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement

1.6.81. Source S-081. The Facebook Company Is Now Meta

External record: The Facebook Company Is Now Meta **Institution / author:** Meta **Date:** 2021-10-28 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** meta-rebrand-2021

1.6.82. Source S-082. Mark Zuckerberg’s Message to Meta Employees

External record: Mark Zuckerberg’s Message to Meta Employees **Institution / author:** Meta **Date:** 2023-03-14 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Audit ID:** meta-year-of-efficiency-2023

1.6.83. Source S-083. Sam Altman’s Manifest Destiny

External record: Sam Altman’s Manifest Destiny **Institution / author:** Tad Friend **Date:** 2016-10-03 **Tier / type:** T2 / profile **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** newyorker-sam-altman-manifest-destiny-2016

1.6.84. Source S-084. Remote Working Pre- and Post-COVID-19: An Analysis of New Threats and Risks to Security and Privacy

External record: Remote Working Pre- and Post-COVID-19: An Analysis of New Threats and Risks to Security and Privacy **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2021-07-08 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021

1.6.85. Source S-085. The New York Times Company v. Microsoft Corporation et al, Opinion on Motions to Dismiss

External record: The New York Times Company v. Microsoft Corporation et al, Opinion on Motions to Dismiss **Institution / author:** U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York via Justia **Date:** 2025-04-04 **Tier / type:** T1 / court_order **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** nyt-openai-motion-dismiss-order-2025

1.6.86. Source S-086. Report on Potential Links Between the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the Origins of COVID-19

External record: Report on Potential Links Between the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the Origins of COVID-19 **Institution / author:** ODNI **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / report **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** odni-covid-origin-report-2023

1.6.87. Source S-087. Sam Altman returns as CEO, OpenAI has a new initial board

External record: Sam Altman returns as CEO, OpenAI has a new initial board **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2023-11-29 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023

1.6.88. Source S-088. Pricing | OpenAI API

External record: Pricing | OpenAI API **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / pricing_page **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** openai-api-pricing-2026

1.6.89. Source S-089. OpenAI board forms safety and security committee

External record: OpenAI board forms safety and security committee **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2024-05-28 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Export controls, compute, and defense **Audit ID:** openai-board-forms-safety-security-committee-2024

1.6.90. Source S-090. Introducing OpenAI for Government

External record: Introducing OpenAI for Government **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2025-06-16 **Tier / type:** T1 / release **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** openai-for-government-2025

1.6.91. Source S-091. Introducing Superalignment

External record: Introducing Superalignment **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2023-07-05 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** openai-introducing-superalignment-2023

1.6.92. Source S-092. OpenAI announces leadership transition

External record: OpenAI announces leadership transition **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2023-11-17 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023

1.6.93. Source S-093. Learning to reason with LLMs

External record: Learning to reason with LLMs **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2024-09-12 **Tier / type:** T1 / release **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** openai-learning-to-reason-with-llms-2024

1.6.94. Source S-094. OpenAI announces new members to board of directors

External record: OpenAI announces new members to board of directors **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2024-03-08 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Export controls, compute, and defense **Audit ID:** openai-new-board-members-2024

1.6.95. Source S-095. OpenAI o1 System Card

External record: OpenAI o1 System Card **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2024-12-05 **Tier / type:** T1 / system_card **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** openai-o1-system-card-2024

1.6.96. Source S-096. OpenAI's proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan

External record: OpenAI's proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2025-03-13 **Tier / type:** T1 / policy_submission **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025

1.6.97. Source S-097. About Palantir

External record: About Palantir **Institution / author:** Palantir **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / company_page **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** palantir-about-2026

1.6.98. Source S-098. Anthropic and Palantir Partner to Bring Claude AI Models to AWS for U.S. Government Intelligence and Defense Operations

External record: Anthropic and Palantir Partner to Bring Claude AI Models to AWS for U.S. Government Intelligence and Defense Operations **Institution / author:** Palantir Technologies **Date:** 2024-11-07 **Tier / type:** T1 / press_release **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024

1.6.99. Source S-099. Gotham

External record: Gotham **Institution / author:** Palantir **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / product_page **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** palantir-gotham-2026

1.6.100. Source S-100. Extremismo antitecnológico

External record: Extremismo antitecnológico **Institution / author:** Paul Lara **Date:** 2026-06-01 **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy, Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** paul-lara-anti-technological-extremism-2026

1.6.101. Source S-101. La economía del humo digital

External record: La economía del humo digital **Institution / author:** Paul Lara **Date:** 2026-06-08 **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** paul-lara-digital-smoke-economy-2026

1.6.102. Source S-102. La gran deuda por la IA

External record: La gran deuda por la IA **Institution / author:** Paul Lara **Date:** 2026-06-22 **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** paul-lara-great-ai-debt-2026

1.6.103. Source S-103. El trillonario de papel

External record: El trillonario de papel **Institution / author:** Paul Lara **Date:** 2026-06-15 **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** paul-lara-paper-trillionaire-2026

1.6.104. Source S-104. ¿Cómo hackear al tecnofeudalismo?

External record: ¿Cómo hackear al tecnofeudalismo? **Institution / author:** Paul Lara **Date:** 2026-06-29 **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy, Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** paul-lara-tecnofeudalismo-2026

1.6.105. Source S-105. Guerra e IA, ¿por qué le urge a EU terminar con Irán?

External record: Guerra e IA, ¿por qué le urge a EU terminar con Irán? **Institution / author:** Paul Lara **Date:** 2026-05-25 **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Future if unchecked **Audit ID:** paul-lara-war-and-ai-iran-2026

1.6.106. Source S-106. Mark Zuckerberg Downplays His 5,000-Square-Foot Underground Bunker in Hawaii: ‘Just a Little Shelter’

External record: Mark Zuckerberg Downplays His 5,000-Square-Foot Underground Bunker in Hawaii: ‘Just a Little Shelter’ **Institution / author:** Charlotte Phillipp **Date:** 2024-12-20 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** people-zuckerberg-little-shelter-2024

1.6.107. Source S-107. Social Media Seen as Mostly Good for Democracy Across Many Nations, But U.S. is a Major Outlier

External record: Social Media Seen as Mostly Good for Democracy Across Many Nations, But U.S. is a Major Outlier **Institution / author:** Pew Research Center **Date:** 2022-12-06 **Tier / type:** T2 / report **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Audit ID:** pew-social-media-democracy-outlier-2022

1.6.108. Source S-108. Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners

External record: Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2019-02-14 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** radford-gpt2-webtext-2019

1.6.109. Source S-109. In-Q-Tel: The Central Intelligence Agency as Venture Capitalist

External record: In-Q-Tel: The Central Intelligence Agency as Venture Capitalist **Institution / author:** John T. Reinert **Date:** 2013-01-01 **Tier / type:** T1 / journal_comment **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** reinert-iqt-venture-capitalist-2013

1.6.110. Source S-110. OpenAI researchers warned board of AI breakthrough ahead of CEO ouster, sources say

External record: OpenAI researchers warned board of AI breakthrough ahead of CEO ouster, sources say **Institution / author:** Reuters **Date:** 2023-11-23 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** reuters-qstar-board-warning-2023

1.6.111. Source S-111. Exclusive: OpenAI working on new reasoning technology under code name ‘Strawberry’

External record: Exclusive: OpenAI working on new reasoning technology under code name ‘Strawberry’ **Institution / author:** Reuters **Date:** 2024-07-15 **Tier / type:** T2 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024

1.6.112. Source S-112. s1: Simple test-time scaling

External record: s1: Simple test-time scaling **Institution / author:** Niklas Muennighoff; Zitong Yang; Weijia Shi; Xiang Lisa Li; Li Fei-Fei; Hannaneh Hajishirzi; Luke Zettlemoyer; Percy Liang; Emmanuel Candès; Tatsunori Hashimoto **Date:** 2025-01-31 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025

1.6.113. Source S-113. The Sovereign Individual | Official Publisher Page

External record: The Sovereign Individual | Official Publisher Page **Institution / author:** James Dale Davidson; Lord William Rees-Mogg **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / publisher_page **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** simon-schuster-sovereign-individual-2020

1.6.114. Source S-114. Work-From-Home is Here to Stay: Call for Flexibility in Post-Pandemic Work Policies

External record: Work-From-Home is Here to Stay: Call for Flexibility in Post-Pandemic Work Policies **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2022-03-21 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** smite-wfh-here-to-stay-2022

1.6.115. Source S-115. Scaling LLM Test-Time Compute Optimally can be More Effective than Scaling Model Parameters

External record: Scaling LLM Test-Time Compute Optimally can be More Effective than Scaling Model Parameters **Institution / author:** Charlie Snell; Jaehoon Lee; Kelvin Xu; Aviral Kumar **Date:** 2024-08-06 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** snell-test-time-compute-2024

1.6.116. Source S-116. SpecReason: Fast and Accurate Inference-Time Compute via Speculative Reasoning

External record: SpecReason: Fast and Accurate Inference-Time Compute via Speculative Reasoning **Institution / author:** Rui Pan; Yinwei Dai; Zhihao Zhang; Gabriele Oliaro; Zhihao Jia; Ravi Netravali **Date:** 2025-04-10 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Audit ID:** specreason-2025

1.6.117. Source S-117. How the AI bubble could pop and take down the global economy, according to the BIS

External record: How the AI bubble could pop and take down the global economy, according to the BIS **Institution / author:** The Register **Date:** 2026-06-29 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_article **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** thereg-ai-bubble-bis-2026

1.6.118. Source S-118. When Kids Mode Isn't For Kids: Investigating TikTok's Under 13 Experience

External record: When Kids Mode Isn't For Kids: Investigating TikTok's Under 13 Experience **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2025-06-30 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Audit ID:** tiktok-kids-mode-2025

1.6.119. Source S-119. Big Tech Announces Striking Pandemic Gains as Small Businesses Strain to Find Their Footing

External record: Big Tech Announces Striking Pandemic Gains as Small Businesses Strain to Find Their Footing **Institution / author:** TIME **Date:** 2021-08-02 **Tier / type:** T3 / news_analysis **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** time-big-tech-pandemic-gains-2021

1.6.120. Source S-120. What Do We Mean When We Talk about Trust in Social Media? A Systematic Review

External record: What Do We Mean When We Talk about Trust in Social Media? A Systematic Review **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2023-02-07 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Audit ID:** trust-social-media-systematic-review-2023

1.6.121. Source S-121. What social media told about us in the time of COVID-19: a scoping review

External record: What social media told about us in the time of COVID-19: a scoping review **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2021-01-05 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chap-**

ters: Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** tsao-social-media-covid-scoping-review-2021

1.6.122. Source S-122. AI Copyright Weights: A New Frontier in Intellectual Property Law

External record: AI Copyright Weights: A New Frontier in Intellectual Property Law **Institution / author:** TwinChat **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** twinchat-ai-copyright-weights

1.6.123. Source S-123. How AI Is Ruining Your Life to Build an Empire

External record: How AI Is Ruining Your Life to Build an Empire **Institution / author:** TwinChat **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T3 / essay **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 01, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights, Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** twinchat-ai-ruining-your-life

1.6.124. Source S-124. Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching and Learning: Insights and Recommendations

External record: Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching and Learning: Insights and Recommendations **Institution / author:** U.S. Department of Education / ERIC **Date:** 2023-05-24 **Tier / type:** T1 / report **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023

1.6.125. Source S-125. Copyright and Artificial Intelligence; Notice of Inquiry

External record: Copyright and Artificial Intelligence; Notice of Inquiry **Institution / author:** GovInfo **Date:** 2023-08-30 **Tier / type:** T1 / federal_register_notice **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** usco-ai-noi-2023

1.6.126. Source S-126. Copyright and Artificial Intelligence, Part 3: Generative AI Training (Pre-publication Version)

External record: Copyright and Artificial Intelligence, Part 3: Generative AI Training (Pre-publication Version) **Institution / author:** U.S. Copyright Office **Date:** 2025-05-09 **Tier / type:** T1 / report **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Audit ID:** usco-ai-training-report-2025

1.6.127. Source S-127. Encyclical Letter of His Holiness Leo XIV Magnifica Humanitas

External record: Encyclical Letter of His Holiness Leo XIV Magnifica Humanitas **Institution / author:** The Holy See **Date:** 2026-05-15 **Tier / type:** T1 / encyclical **Appears in chap-**

ters: Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** vatican-magnifica-humanitas-2026

1.6.128. Source S-128. Quantifying social organization and political polarization in online platforms

External record: Quantifying social organization and political polarization in online platforms **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2020-10-01 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Audit ID:** waller-anderson-online-polarization-2020

1.6.129. Source S-129. What to know about Palantir, the tech company playing a key role for ICE

External record: What to know about Palantir, the tech company playing a key role for ICE **Institution / author:** Frances Vinall **Date:** 2025-12-04 **Tier / type:** T2 / explainer **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** wapo-palantir-explainer-2025

1.6.130. Source S-130. Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence

External record: Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence **Institution / author:** The White House **Date:** 2025-01-23 **Tier / type:** T1 / executive_order **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Audit ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025

1.6.131. Source S-131. It Pays to Play With the CIA

External record: It Pays to Play With the CIA **Institution / author:** Elliot Borin **Date:** 2002-08-23 **Tier / type:** T3 / archival_news_feature **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Audit ID:** wired-in-q-tel-cia-2002

1.6.132. Source S-132. The OpenAI Talent Exodus Gives Rivals an Opening

External record: The OpenAI Talent Exodus Gives Rivals an Opening **Institution / author:** WIRED **Date:** 2024-10-07 **Tier / type:** T3 / feature **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Audit ID:** wired-openai-talent-exodus-2024

1.6.133. Source S-133. Inside Mark Zuckerberg's Top-Secret Hawaii Compound

External record: Inside Mark Zuckerberg's Top-Secret Hawaii Compound **Institution / author:** Makena Kelly **Date:** 2023-12-14 **Tier / type:** T2 / investigation **Appears in chap-**

ters: Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** wired-zuckerberg-hawaii-compound-2023

1.6.134. Source S-134. In Oxford Handbook on AI Governance: The Role of Workers in AI Ethics and Governance

External record: In Oxford Handbook on AI Governance: The Role of Workers in AI Ethics and Governance **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2021-08-05 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **Audit ID:** workers-role-ai-ethics-governance-2021

1.6.135. Source S-135. How Work From Home Affects Collaboration: A Large-Scale Study of Information Workers in a Natural Experiment During COVID-19

External record: How Work From Home Affects Collaboration: A Large-Scale Study of Information Workers in a Natural Experiment During COVID-19 **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2020-07-30 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Audit ID:** yang-wfh-collaboration-2020

1.7. Claim Register

1.7.1. Claim C-001. A public-interest AI infrastructure layer is intellectually and technically legib...

Claim statement: That a public-interest AI infrastructure layer is intellectually and technically legible within serious research, not an ideological fantasy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-001 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** ai-digital-public-infrastructure-2024-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-01

1.7.2. Claim C-002. Chapter 10 can discuss public or quasi-public AI infrastructure as a concrete res...

Claim statement: That Chapter 10 can discuss public or quasi-public AI infrastructure as a concrete response pathway. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-001 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** ai-digital-public-infrastructure-2024-10-counterstra

1.7.3. Claim C-003. Interoperability, governance, and public-value design belong inside the response...

Claim statement: That interoperability, governance, and public-value design belong inside the response agenda alongside labor and competition policy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-001 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** ai-digital-public-infrastructure-2024-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-03

1.7.4. Claim C-004. At least one major frontier lab publicly committed the majority of its workloads...

Claim statement: That at least one major frontier lab publicly committed the majority of its workloads and future model development to a hyperscaler cloud provider. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-002 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-cla

1.7.5. Claim C-005. Chapter 07 can document compute dependence and cloud concentration with a primary...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 can document compute dependence and cloud concentration with a primary source rather than only with abstract market language. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-002 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-cla

1.7.6. Claim C-006. Chip access, cloud infrastructure, model distribution, and capital investment wer...

Claim statement: That chip access, cloud infrastructure, model distribution, and capital investment were being bundled together in a single strategic relationship. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-002 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-cla

1.7.7. Claim C-007. On May 22, 2025 Anthropic launched reasoning-oriented hybrid frontier models toge...

Claim statement: That on May 22, 2025 Anthropic launched reasoning-oriented hybrid frontier models together with immediate commercial distribution across subscription plans, API access, and major cloud platforms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-003 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-4-release-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-01

1.7.8. Claim C-008. Anthropic itself tied extended-thinking capability to differentiated plan availab...

Claim statement: That Anthropic itself tied extended-thinking capability to differentiated plan availability, with full-model access concentrated in paid tiers even while Sonnet 4 also reached free users. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-003 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-4-release-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.9. Claim C-009. Reasoning-capable frontier models were commercialized with explicit API price dif...

Claim statement: That reasoning-capable frontier models were commercialized with explicit API price differentiation at launch rather than released only as a research artifact. **Evidence status:**

Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-003 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-4-release-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-c

1.7.10. Claim C-010. By June 6, 2025 Anthropic had publicly launched a government-specific model line...

Claim statement: That by June 6, 2025 Anthropic had publicly launched a government-specific model line for U.S. national security customers. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-004 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-01

1.7.11. Claim C-011. Anthropic publicly described those models as already deployed in high-level natio...

Claim statement: That Anthropic publicly described those models as already deployed in high-level national-security agencies and restricted to classified environments. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-004 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-c

1.7.12. Claim C-012. The company openly framed model adaptation for defense, intelligence, and cyberse...

Claim statement: That the company openly framed model adaptation for defense, intelligence, and cybersecurity use cases as part of its government offering. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-004 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-03

1.7.13. Claim C-013. As of July 3, 2026, Anthropic’s official current pricing structure tied higher us...

Claim statement: That as of July 3, 2026, Anthropic’s official current pricing structure tied higher usage, priority access, and stronger feature access to premium paid plans. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-005 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-cla

1.7.14. Claim C-014. Anthropic’s own current pricing also differentiates materially across model famil...

Claim statement: That Anthropic’s own current pricing also differentiates materially across model families at the API level rather than presenting frontier access as uniform. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-005 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-cla

1.7.15. Claim C-015. Chapter 06 can compare more than one frontier lab when arguing that reasoning-era...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can compare more than one frontier lab when arguing that reasoning-era capability is stratified by availability and price. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-005 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.16. Claim C-016. A leading OpenAI rival publicly frames frontier safety and governance in competit...

Claim statement: That a leading OpenAI rival publicly frames frontier safety and governance in competitive, ecosystem-wide terms rather than as a purely internal ethics exercise. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-006 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-2026-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-01

1.7.17. Claim C-017. Frontier governance rhetoric now includes explicit discussion of competitors, pol...

Claim statement: That frontier governance rhetoric now includes explicit discussion of competitors, policy advocacy, and limits on unilateral restraint. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-006 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-2026-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

1.7.18. Claim C-018. Chapter 6 and Chapter 8 can compare safety rhetoric across labs as part of a broa...

Claim statement: That Chapter 6 and Chapter 8 can compare safety rhetoric across labs as part of a broader power and legitimacy pattern. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-006 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-2026-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-03

1.7.19. Claim C-019. Legitimacy in frontier AI now includes highly public safety-governance documents...

Claim statement: That legitimacy in frontier AI now includes highly public safety-governance documents aimed at markets, policymakers, and peers. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-007 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-announcement-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.20. Claim C-020. Chapter 8 can compare how frontier labs present safety, governance, and accountab...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can compare how frontier labs present safety, governance, and accountability as part of institutional positioning. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-007 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-announcement-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.21. Claim C-021. The language of safety has become inseparable from the language of public legitimacy

Claim statement: That the language of safety has become inseparable from the language of public legitimacy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-007 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-announcement-2026-08-power-network

1.7.22. Claim C-022. Major tech layoffs were publicly tied to pandemic overexpansion and deteriorating...

Claim statement: That major tech layoffs were publicly tied to pandemic overexpansion and deteriorating macro conditions. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-008 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-amazon-salesforce-tech-purge-2023-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-02

1.7.23. Claim C-023. Efficiency language was already being used by top executives in early 2023, before...

Claim statement: That efficiency language was already being used by top executives in early 2023, before later AI-centered narratives became even more prominent. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-008 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-amazon-salesforce-tech-purge-2023-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-02

1.7.24. Claim C-024. Chapter 5 can frame layoffs as part of a structural correction involving cost dis...

Claim statement: That Chapter 5 can frame layoffs as part of a structural correction involving cost discipline and margin protection, not only as a pure automation story. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-008 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-amazon-salesforce-tech-purge-2023-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-03

1.7.25. Claim C-025. By June 24, 2025, the legal conflict around AI training had already split into di...

Claim statement: That by June 24, 2025, the legal conflict around AI training had already split into distinct layers: training-use doctrine on one side and input-acquisition legality on the other. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-009 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-anthropic-fair-use-ruling-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.26. Claim C-026. At least one major U.S. ruling treated training itself as potentially fair use wh...

Claim statement: That at least one major U.S. ruling treated training itself as potentially fair use while leaving piracy-related exposure alive. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-009 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-anthropic-fair-use-ruling-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.27. Claim C-027. Chapter 4 can distinguish between the legality of model training and the legality...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can distinguish between the legality of model training and the legality of how training libraries were assembled. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-009 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-anthropic-fair-use-ruling-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.28. Claim C-028. Formal competition scrutiny of frontier-AI partnerships was already underway in t...

Claim statement: That formal competition scrutiny of frontier-AI partnerships was already underway in the United States by January 2024. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-010 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-ftc-ai-partnerships-inquiry-2024-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-01

1.7.29. Claim C-029. Chapter 10 can ground its competition-policy response layer in documented concern...

Claim statement: That Chapter 10 can ground its competition-policy response layer in documented concerns about structural dependence between model labs and major platforms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-010 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-ftc-ai-partnerships-inquiry-2024-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-02

1.7.30. Claim C-030. A response agenda focused on concentration, interoperability, and structural reme...

Claim statement: That a response agenda focused on concentration, interoperability, and structural remedies is anchored in real regulatory attention rather than in abstract anti-corporate rhetoric. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-010 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-ftc-ai-partnerships-inquiry-2024-10-counterstrategy-response-

1.7.31. Claim C-031. Even two years after the rebrand, Meta was still publicly tying its corporate ide...

Claim statement: That even two years after the rebrand, Meta was still publicly tying its corporate identity to the metaverse. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-011 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-connect-2023-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim-01

1.7.32. Claim C-032. The metaverse pitch coexisted with a newer AI-centered engagement and monetizatio...

Claim statement: That the metaverse pitch coexisted with a newer AI-centered engagement and monetization strategy rather than disappearing cleanly. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-011 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-connect-2023-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim-02

1.7.33. Claim C-033. Serious reporting could already describe the transformation as slower than expect...

Claim statement: That serious reporting could already describe the transformation as slower than expected, costly, and still subordinate to the ad business. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-011 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-connect-2023-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim-03

1.7.34. Claim C-034. By May 5, 2026, the conflict over uncompensated training inputs had escalated int...

Claim statement: That by May 5, 2026, the conflict over uncompensated training inputs had escalated into a direct lawsuit by large commercial publishers against Meta. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-012 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-publishers-lawsuit-2026-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.35. Claim C-035. The compensation and market-substitution fight had moved beyond abstract copyrigh...

Claim statement: That the compensation and market-substitution fight had moved beyond abstract copyright theory into a concrete industry confrontation around Llama. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-012 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-publishers-lawsuit-2026-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.36. Claim C-036. Chapter 4 can document the training-data conflict as a live market struggle betwe...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can document the training-data conflict as a live market struggle between model builders and rights holders, not only as an abstract doctrinal debate. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-012 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-publishers-lawsuit-2026-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.37. Claim C-037. A major tech company publicly linked layoffs to pandemic overhiring and slowing p...

Claim statement: That a major tech company publicly linked layoffs to pandemic overhiring and slowing post-lockdown growth. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-013 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-q4-2022-overhiring-claim-01

1.7.38. Claim C-038. Efficiency rhetoric was already being layered onto a revenue slowdown and investo...

Claim statement: That efficiency rhetoric was already being layered onto a revenue slowdown and investor pressure over unprofitable strategic bets. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-013 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-q4-2022-overhiring-claim-02

1.7.39. Claim C-039. Metaverse losses were part of the financial backdrop to Meta’s workforce correction

Claim statement: That metaverse losses were part of the financial backdrop to Meta’s workforce correction. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-013 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-q4-2022-overhiring-claim-03

1.7.40. Claim C-040. Meta’s improved financial results were publicly tied to both cost cutting and lay...

Claim statement: That Meta’s improved financial results were publicly tied to both cost cutting and layoffs, not just to a magical AI turnaround. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-014 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-q4-2023-year-of-efficiency-claim-01

1.7.41. Claim C-041. AI hiring resumed selectively even after a broad labor correction, reinforcing th...

Claim statement: That AI hiring resumed selectively even after a broad labor correction, reinforcing the idea of reallocation rather than simple technological replacement. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-014 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-q4-2023-year-of-efficiency-claim-02

1.7.42. Claim C-042. Metaverse-related losses remained large even as the company regained investor con...

Claim statement: That metaverse-related losses remained large even as the company regained investor confidence. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-014 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-meta-q4-2023-year-of-efficiency-claim-03

1.7.43. Claim C-043. By March 5, 2025 Musk had failed to obtain immediate judicial relief blocking Ope...

Claim statement: That by March 5, 2025 Musk had failed to obtain immediate judicial relief blocking OpenAI’s conversion path. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-015 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-

1.7.44. Claim C-044. The dispute over OpenAI’s nonprofit mission and restructuring remained procedural...

Claim statement: That the dispute over OpenAI’s nonprofit mission and restructuring remained procedurally live even after that denial because the court signaled willingness to expedite trial. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-015 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-

1.7.45. Claim C-045. Chapter 08 can distinguish between a live governance challenge, a denied prelimin...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can distinguish between a live governance challenge, a denied preliminary injunction, and a full merits finding. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-015 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-03

1.7.46. Claim C-046. OpenAI and AP both treated the November 2023 episode as a governance rupture requ...

Claim statement: That OpenAI and AP both treated the November 2023 episode as a governance rupture requiring formal review and institutional repair. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-016 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-investigation-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-

1.7.47. Claim C-047. The company's public line after the investigation distinguished governance breakd...

Claim statement: That the company's public line after the investigation distinguished governance breakdown from claims about product safety, finances, or investor misstatements. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-016 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-investigation-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-ta-

1.7.48. Claim C-048. The board reset was accompanied by a deliberate legitimacy rebuild through new di...

Claim statement: That the board reset was accompanied by a deliberate legitimacy rebuild through new directors and governance procedures. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-016 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-investigation-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-03

1.7.49. Claim C-049. The nonprofit shell around OpenAI had become a live site of high-stakes power str...

Claim statement: That the nonprofit shell around OpenAI had become a live site of high-stakes power struggle. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-017 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025-08-power-networks-legi-

1.7.50. Claim C-050. Mission language was being actively contested by rival elite actors in public and...

Claim statement: That mission language was being actively contested by rival elite actors in public and legal forums. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-017 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025-08-power-netwo-

1.7.51. Claim C-051. Chapter 8 can treat legitimacy as something institutional actors fight over mater...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can treat legitimacy as something institutional actors fight over materially, not only symbolically. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-017 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.52. Claim C-052. There was an active, public, high-stakes dispute over control of OpenAI's nonprof...

Claim statement: That there was an active, public, high-stakes dispute over control of OpenAI's nonprofit mission structure. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-017 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025-claim-01

1.7.53. Claim C-053. Musk's allegation was procedurally live but contested, and that OpenAI publicly r...

Claim statement: That Musk's allegation was procedurally live but contested, and that OpenAI publicly rejected both the bid and Musk's framing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-017 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025-claim-02

1.7.54. Claim C-054. Named-individual material can enter the dossier when it illuminates institutional...

Claim statement: That named-individual material can enter the dossier when it illuminates institutional control rather than simply adding scandal texture. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-017 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025-claim-03

1.7.55. Claim C-055. The OpenAI exodus broadened beyond one or two figures and continued into late 2024

Claim statement: That the OpenAI exodus broadened beyond one or two figures and continued into late 2024. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-018 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-murati-execs-depart-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-01

1.7.56. Claim C-056. Murati, McGrew, and Zoph departures turned the story from a single founder ruptur...

Claim statement: That Murati, McGrew, and Zoph departures turned the story from a single founder rupture into a deeper leadership reconfiguration. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-018 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-murati-execs-depart-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-

1.7.57. Claim C-057. Chapter 6 and Chapter 8 can discuss executive churn as an ongoing governance patt...

Claim statement: That Chapter 6 and Chapter 8 can discuss executive churn as an ongoing governance pattern rather than a one-week board scandal. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-018 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-murati-execs-depart-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-03

1.7.58. Claim C-058. There was a live, high-stakes dispute over whether OpenAI's nonprofit mission str...

Claim statement: That there was a live, high-stakes dispute over whether OpenAI's nonprofit mission structure constrained its conversion and ownership options. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-019 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-musk-bid-complicates-2025-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-01

1.7.59. Claim C-059. Musk's challenge was procedurally relevant to OpenAI's restructuring path and not...

Claim statement: That Musk's challenge was procedurally relevant to OpenAI's restructuring path and not just social-media theater. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-019 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-musk-bid-complicates-2025-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-01

1.7.60. Claim C-060. The dossier can include named-individual material when it illuminates institution...

Claim statement: That the dossier can include named-individual material when it illuminates institutional control and legal architecture. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-019 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-musk-bid-complicates-2025-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-03

1.7.61. Claim C-061. The dispute over OpenAI's nonprofit mission and for-profit structure was public,...

Claim statement: That the dispute over OpenAI's nonprofit mission and for-profit structure was public, high-stakes, and procedurally live in early 2024. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-020 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-musk-for-profit-2024-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-01

1.7.62. Claim C-062. OpenAI formally denied Musk's framing and put forward its own documentary counter...

Claim statement: That OpenAI formally denied Musk's framing and put forward its own documentary counterstory. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-020 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-musk-for-profit-2024-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-01

1.7.63. Claim C-063. Named-individual material can be included when it illuminates institutional archi...

Claim statement: That named-individual material can be included when it illuminates institutional architecture and legal conflict rather than gossip. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-020 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-musk-for-profit-2024-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-03

1.7.64. Claim C-064. OpenAI brought a former top U.S. military and intelligence official directly into...

Claim statement: That OpenAI brought a former top U.S. military and intelligence official directly into its governance structure. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-021 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-nakasone-board-2024-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.65. Claim C-065. Defense and cybersecurity credentials became part of OpenAI's legitimacy and over...

Claim statement: That defense and cybersecurity credentials became part of OpenAI's legitimacy and oversight architecture. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-021 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-nakasone-board-2024-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.66. Claim C-066. The company publicly linked security governance with its post-crisis institutiona...

Claim statement: That the company publicly linked security governance with its post-crisis institutional rebuild. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-021 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-nakasone-board-2024-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.67. Claim C-067. OpenAI's legitimacy architecture expanded beyond rhetoric into a regulator-review...

Claim statement: That OpenAI's legitimacy architecture expanded beyond rhetoric into a regulator-reviewed public benefit restructuring. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-022 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-public-benefit-restructure-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.68. Claim C-068. Nonprofit control, public-purpose language, and large-scale commercial financing...

Claim statement: That nonprofit control, public-purpose language, and large-scale commercial financing were being explicitly combined in the same corporate design. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-022 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-public-benefit-restructure-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-cla

1.7.69. Claim C-069. This structure was contested in credible public argument even at the moment of fo...

Claim statement: That this structure was contested in credible public argument even at the moment of formal approval. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-022 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-public-benefit-restructure-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.70. Claim C-070. One of OpenAI’s core technical founders exited within months of the governance cr...

Claim statement: That one of OpenAI’s core technical founders exited within months of the governance crisis. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-023 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-sutskever-departure-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-01

1.7.71. Claim C-071. The November 2023 rupture continued to reshape technical leadership well after AI...

Claim statement: That the November 2023 rupture continued to reshape technical leadership well after Altman’s return. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-023 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-sutskever-departure-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

1.7.72. Claim C-072. The company’s public message paired succession with mission language about safe p...

Claim statement: That the company’s public message paired succession with mission language about safe progress toward AGI. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-023 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-openai-sutskever-departure-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-03

1.7.73. Claim C-073. By February 2024 a major social platform was explicitly licensing accumulated use...

Claim statement: That by February 2024 a major social platform was explicitly licensing accumulated user conversation data for frontier-AI training. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-024 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-reddit-google-ai-training-deal-2024-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.74. Claim C-074. Chapter 4 can extend the social-data-to-models bridge beyond GPT-2-era WebText in...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can extend the social-data-to-models bridge beyond GPT-2-era WebText into the later commercial frontier-model period. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-024 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-reddit-google-ai-training-deal-2024-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.75. Claim C-075. The extraction economy did not stop at unpaid capture; it evolved into direct lic...

Claim statement: That the extraction economy did not stop at unpaid capture; it evolved into direct licensing arrangements over archived human expression. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-024 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-reddit-google-ai-training-deal-2024-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.76. Claim C-076. Peter Thiel’s relevance to the legitimacy and power-network file includes documen...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel’s relevance to the legitimacy and power-network file includes documented continuing influence in national politics, not only his earlier Facebook and Palantir roles. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-025 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-thiel-antichrist-vance-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capt

1.7.77. Claim C-077. AP described Peter Thiel as an early donor to JD Vance’s political career and rep...

Claim statement: That AP described Peter Thiel as an early donor to JD Vance’s political career and reported that he poured millions of dollars into Vance’s successful Senate primary race. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-025 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ap-thiel-antichrist-vance-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim

1.7.78. Claim C-078. By June 13, 2025 the U.S. Army had created a formal structure to embed senior com...

Claim statement: That by June 13, 2025 the U.S. Army had created a formal structure to embed senior commercial-tech executives in a military innovation role. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-026 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** army-detachment-201-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-01

1.7.79. Claim C-079. The initial cohort explicitly included executives from Palantir and OpenAI, not o...

Claim statement: That the initial cohort explicitly included executives from Palantir and OpenAI, not only traditional defense contractors. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-026 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** army-detachment-201-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-02

1.7.80. Claim C-080. Chapter 07 and Chapter 08 can document a direct institutional bridge between fron...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 and Chapter 08 can document a direct institutional bridge between frontier/commercial AI leadership and military structures without relying on rumor or guilt by association. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source sup-**

port: Source S-026 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** army-detachment-201-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-03

1.7.81. Claim C-081. Frontier AI firms were spending meaningful and rising sums on federal lobbying by...

Claim statement: That frontier AI firms were spending meaningful and rising sums on federal lobbying by early 2026. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-027 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-anthropic-openai-lobbying-quarter-2026-08-power

1.7.82. Claim C-082. OpenAI and Anthropic were not only releasing models and policy essays, but also p...

Claim statement: That OpenAI and Anthropic were not only releasing models and policy essays, but also participating in Washington through formal lobbying channels. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-027 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-anthropic-openai-lobbying-quarter-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.83. Claim C-083. Chapter 08 can discuss institutional influence with evidence tied to disclosed sp...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can discuss institutional influence with evidence tied to disclosed spending and issue categories, not only inferred proximity. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-027 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-anthropic-openai-lobbying-quarter-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.84. Claim C-084. Pandemic-era digital dependence in family life can be described with a direct pre...

Claim statement: That pandemic-era digital dependence in family life can be described with a direct pre-pandemic versus pandemic comparison rather than only with pandemic-period association data. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-028 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-kids-screen-time-pandemic-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-g

1.7.85. Claim C-085. Chapter 3 can now support the claim that COVID increased everyday child screen ex...

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can now support the claim that COVID increased everyday child screen exposure at the household level. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-028 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-kids-screen-time-pandemic-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

1.7.86. Claim C-086. Youth screen intensification during the pandemic was not only a retrospective cul...

Claim statement: That youth screen intensification during the pandemic was not only a retrospective cultural impression. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-028

Appears in chapters: Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-kids-screen-time-pandemic-2021-03-covid-acc

1.7.87. Claim C-087. The November 2023 OpenAI crisis involved an abrupt and unusually fragile governan...

Claim statement: That the November 2023 OpenAI crisis involved an abrupt and unusually fragile governance process. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-029 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-board-timeline-2023-claim-01

1.7.88. Claim C-088. Microsoft was not publicly presented as controlling the board process at the mome...

Claim statement: That Microsoft was not publicly presented as controlling the board process at the moment of Altman's firing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-029 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-board-timeline-2023-claim-02

1.7.89. Claim C-089. The crisis immediately implicated board composition, partner influence, and manag...

Claim statement: That the crisis immediately implicated board composition, partner influence, and management legitimacy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-029 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-board-timeline-2023-claim-03

1.7.90. Claim C-090. AI-aligned political influence was extending into PAC and non-profit electoral inf...

Claim statement: That AI-aligned political influence was extending into PAC and nonprofit electoral infrastructure by 2026. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-030 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-linked-pac-kentucky-2026-08-power-ne

1.7.91. Claim C-091. Chapter 08 can discuss policy intervention not only through lobbying but also thr...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can discuss policy intervention not only through lobbying but also through campaign spending and regulatory-framing efforts. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-030 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-linked-pac-kentucky-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.92. Claim C-092. Support for a national AI framework was being advanced through organized politica...

Claim statement: That support for a national AI framework was being advanced through organized political vehicles tied to frontier-industry interests. **Evidence status:** Documented

Fact Source support: Source S-030 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-linked-pac-kentucky-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.93. Claim C-093. A serious, mainstream account of the crisis centered on structural tension between...

Claim statement: That a serious, mainstream account of the crisis centered on structural tension between mission governance and commercial expansion. **Evidence status:** Documented **Fact Source support:** Source S-031 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-profitability-crisis-2023-claim-01

1.7.94. Claim C-094. OpenAI's internal conflict cannot be reduced to personality drama alone

Claim statement: That OpenAI's internal conflict cannot be reduced to personality drama alone. **Evidence status:** Documented **Fact Source support:** Source S-031 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-profitability-crisis-2023-claim-02

1.7.95. Claim C-095. Investor influence, employee incentives, and board power all belonged to the same...

Claim statement: That investor influence, employee incentives, and board power all belonged to the same governance problem. **Evidence status:** Documented **Fact Source support:** Source S-031 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-openai-profitability-crisis-2023-claim-03

1.7.96. Claim C-096. Pandemic conditions altered the policy and legitimacy environment around dominant...

Claim statement: That pandemic conditions altered the policy and legitimacy environment around dominant technology platforms, not only their earnings. **Evidence status:** Documented **Fact Source support:** Source S-032 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-pandemic-techlash-reprieve-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-01

1.7.97. Claim C-097. Chapter 3 can discuss a temporary softening of the pre-COVID techlash as part of...

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can discuss a temporary softening of the pre-COVID techlash as part of the concentration story rather than relying solely on financial-gains reporting. **Evidence status:** Documented **Fact Source support:** Source S-032 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-pandemic-techlash-reprieve-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

1.7.98. Claim C-098. The concentration narrative can include public dependence and political repriorit...

Claim statement: That the concentration narrative can include public dependence and political reprioritization, not just traditional antitrust metrics. **Evidence status:** Documented

Fact Source support: Source S-032 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-pandemic-teclash-reprieve-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-03

1.7.99. Claim C-099. One of OpenAI’s most important technical founders exited in the aftermath of the...

Claim statement: That one of OpenAI’s most important technical founders exited in the aftermath of the governance crisis. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-033 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-sutskever-exit-2024-claim-01

1.7.100. Claim C-100. The board conflict was not a closed one-week episode; it reshaped leadership over...

Claim statement: That the board conflict was not a closed one-week episode; it reshaped leadership over the following months. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-033 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-sutskever-exit-2024-claim-02

1.7.101. Claim C-101. Succession in frontier labs can involve both governance rhetoric and changes in t...

Claim statement: That succession in frontier labs can involve both governance rhetoric and changes in technical command. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-033 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-sutskever-exit-2024-claim-03

1.7.102. Claim C-102. The layoff cycle was large enough to materially change worker expectations across...

Claim statement: That the layoff cycle was large enough to materially change worker expectations across the sector. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-034 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-tech-layoffs-worker-confidence-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-02

1.7.103. Claim C-103. Chapter 5 can discuss the correction as a broad labor-market event rather than a...

Claim statement: That Chapter 5 can discuss the correction as a broad labor-market event rather than a collection of isolated firm stories. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-034 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** axios-tech-layoffs-worker-confidence-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-02

1.7.104. Claim C-104. The strongest primary legal record already separates the question of model traini...

Claim statement: That the strongest primary legal record already separates the question of model training from the question of how the training corpus was assembled. **Evidence status:** Docu-

mented Fact **Source support:** Source S-035 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** bartz-anthropic-fair-use-order-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.105. Claim C-105. By June 23, 2025, a federal court had accepted a fair-use defense for Anthropic’s...

Claim statement: That by June 23, 2025, a federal court had accepted a fair-use defense for Anthropic’s training on lawfully acquired books while preserving exposure for piracy-related conduct.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-035 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** bartz-anthropic-fair-use-order-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.106. Claim C-106. Chapter 4 can rely on a primary court order, not only press summaries, when argui...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can rely on a primary court order, not only press summaries, when arguing that AI copyright conflict has split into doctrinal and supply-chain layers.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-035 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** bartz-anthropic-fair-use-order-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.107. Claim C-107. A primary multilateral financial institution explicitly warned in June 2026 that...

Claim statement: That a primary multilateral financial institution explicitly warned in June 2026 that the AI capex race was outrunning earnings and free cash flow.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-036 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** bis-annual-economic-report-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs

1.7.108. Claim C-108. Debt issuance, leverage, opaque financing structures, and circular financing belo...

Claim statement: That debt issuance, leverage, opaque financing structures, and circular financing belong to the documented risk structure of the AI buildout.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-036 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** bis-annual-economic-report-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs

1.7.109. Claim C-109. Chapter 05 can support the thesis of structural correction and financial overhang...

Claim statement: That Chapter 05 can support the thesis of structural correction and financial overhang without relying only on opinion pieces or market chatter.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-036 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** bis-annual-economic-report-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs

1.7.110. Claim C-110. Chapters 06, 09, and 10 can discuss the “cheap AI” narrative against a documented...

Claim statement: That Chapters 06, 09, and 10 can discuss the “cheap AI” narrative against a documented backdrop of subsidy-like financing pressure and fragile commercial returns. **Evidence**

status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-036 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05,

Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** bis-annual-economic-report-2026-05-talent-hoardin

1.7.111. Claim C-111. There is a primary U.S. government record directly describing continued license r...

Claim statement: That there is a primary U.S. government record directly describing continued license requirements for advanced computing exports linked to Country Group D:5 and Macau. **Evi-**

dence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-037 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter

07 **Audit Claim ID:** bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026-07-export-controls-compute-def

1.7.112. Claim C-112. Chapter 07 can document a concrete implementation layer beneath abstract talk of...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 can document a concrete implementation layer beneath abstract talk of export controls and access politics. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-037 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:**

bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-

1.7.113. Claim C-113. The AI Diffusion Rule and the earlier November 2023 controls should be treated as...

Claim statement: That the AI Diffusion Rule and the earlier November 2023 controls should be treated as connected parts of a continuing export-control regime rather than isolated headlines. **Ev-**

dence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-037 **Appears in chapters:** Chap-

ter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026-07-export-controls-compute-c

1.7.114. Claim C-114. Large language models can sometimes reproduce identifiable verbatim material from...

Claim statement: That large language models can sometimes reproduce identifiable verbatim material from their training data rather than only abstract statistical patterns. **Evidence sta-**

tus: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-038 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit**

Claim ID: carlini-extracting-training-data-2020-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.115. Claim C-115. Chapter 4 can connect training-data appropriation to memorization and leakage wit...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can connect training-data appropriation to memorization and leakage with direct technical evidence. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-038 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:**

carlini-extracting-training-data-2020-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.116. Claim C-116. The notion of model weights as a harmlessly abstract transformation of source mat...

Claim statement: That the notion of model weights as a harmlessly abstract transformation of source material is too simple for the full technical record. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-038 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** carlini-extracting-training-data-2020-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.117. Claim C-117. As of capture on July 3, 2026, OpenAI’s official ChatGPT plan structure reserved...

Claim statement: That as of capture on July 3, 2026, OpenAI’s official ChatGPT plan structure reserved the highest-end reasoning access for upper paid tiers rather than offering it uniformly across all users. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-039 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** chatgpt-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-01

1.7.118. Claim C-118. Current official consumer access to reasoning-capable models is stratified by pla...

Claim statement: That current official consumer access to reasoning-capable models is stratified by plan, usage envelope, and feature set. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-039 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** chatgpt-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.119. Claim C-119. Chapter 06 can ground its reasoning-premium argument in a primary access-policy s...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can ground its reasoning-premium argument in a primary access-policy source rather than relying only on commentary about hype or control. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-039 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** chatgpt-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.120. Claim C-120. Access hierarchy in frontier AI is materially tied to compute concentration and n...

Claim statement: That access hierarchy in frontier AI is materially tied to compute concentration and not only to abstract innovation differences. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-040 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** compute-governance-ai-2024-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-01

1.7.121. Claim C-121. Chapter 09 can speak about unequal access to high-end intelligence as a documente...

Claim statement: That Chapter 09 can speak about unequal access to high-end intelligence as a documented infrastructure problem. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-040 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** compute-governance-ai-2024-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-02

1.7.122. Claim C-122. The project’s language of chokepoints and access stratification has direct support...

Claim statement: That the project’s language of chokepoints and access stratification has direct support in primary research on compute governance. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-040 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** compute-governance-ai-2024-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-03

1.7.123. Claim C-123. Congress formally treated the post-2021 Section 174 regime as burdensome enough t...

Claim statement: That Congress formally treated the post-2021 Section 174 regime as burdensome enough to warrant legislative reversal or postponement. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-041 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-summary-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-01

1.7.124. Claim C-124. The practical effect of the existing rule can be described in plain English: firm...

Claim statement: That the practical effect of the existing rule can be described in plain English: firms were being pushed into five-year deduction treatment for domestic research costs until the proposed relief would restore expensing through 2025. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-041 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-summary-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-02

1.7.125. Claim C-125. Chapter 5 can treat Section 174 as a material structural factor in the post-pande...

Claim statement: That Chapter 5 can treat Section 174 as a material structural factor in the post-pandemic correction without pretending it was the only cause of layoffs. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-041 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-summary-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-03

1.7.126. Claim C-126. Congress formally moved to soften or reverse the immediate impact of Section 174...

Claim statement: That Congress formally moved to soften or reverse the immediate impact of Section 174 on domestic research expensing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-042 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-tax-relief-2024-claim-01

1.7.127. Claim C-127. The practical consequences of Section 174 were concrete enough to generate a seri...

Claim statement: That the practical consequences of Section 174 were concrete enough to generate a serious legislative response. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-042 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-tax-relief-2024-claim-02

1.7.128. Claim C-128. Chapter 5 can frame Section 174 as a live business issue rather than an after-the...

Claim statement: That Chapter 5 can frame Section 174 as a live business issue rather than an after-the-fact excuse invented by commentators. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-042 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-tax-relief-2024-claim-03

1.7.129. Claim C-129. The practical Section 174 fight directly implicated software development, not onl...

Claim statement: That the practical Section 174 fight directly implicated software development, not only laboratory research. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-043 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-text-2024-05-talent-hoarding-sect

1.7.130. Claim C-130. The legislative response was not vague rhetoric; it proposed specific statutory l...

Claim statement: That the legislative response was not vague rhetoric; it proposed specific statutory language restoring domestic deductions and naming software explicitly. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-043 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-text-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-02

1.7.131. Claim C-131. Chapter 5 can connect the accounting treatment of software and R&D spending to th...

Claim statement: That Chapter 5 can connect the accounting treatment of software and R&D spending to the financial environment of large tech employers. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-043 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** congress-hr7024-text-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-03

1.7.132. Claim C-132. Serious contextual reporting publicly linked Anthropic, Christopher Olah, and con...

Claim statement: That serious contextual reporting publicly linked Anthropic, Christopher Olah, and constitutional-AI discourse to the reception environment around the 2026 Vatican encyclical. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-044 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** conversation-magnifica-humanitas-olah-anthropic-2026-08-power-network

1.7.133. Claim C-133. Chapter 08 can discuss a visible overlap between frontier-lab moral language and...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can discuss a visible overlap between frontier-lab moral language and religious-legitimacy discourse as a matter of public reporting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-044 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** conversation-magnifica-humanitas-olah-anthropic-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-c

1.7.134. Claim C-134. The dossier has a bounded contextual source for the Anthropic/Vatican adjacency s...

Claim statement: That the dossier has a bounded contextual source for the Anthropic/Vatican adjacency story, even though the encyclical itself remains the backbone source. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-044 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** conversation-magnifica-humanitas-olah-anthropic-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-cap

1.7.135. Claim C-135. The Musk-OpenAI dispute had a concrete federal-court vehicle with named defendant...

Claim statement: That the Musk-OpenAI dispute had a concrete federal-court vehicle with named defendants and an active procedural history. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-045 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** courtlistener-musk-v-altman-docket-2024-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-01

1.7.136. Claim C-136. Chapter 8 can describe the conflict as a formal institutional struggle rather tha...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can describe the conflict as a formal institutional struggle rather than a social-media quarrel. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-045 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** courtlistener-musk-v-altman-docket-2024-14-n

1.7.137. Claim C-137. The dossier can anchor named-individual discussion in court records when discussi...

Claim statement: That the dossier can anchor named-individual discussion in court records when discussing governance conflict. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-045 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** courtlistener-musk-v-altman-docket-2024-14-nam

1.7.138. Claim C-138. Heavy youth screen exposure was a measurable feature of the pandemic period, not...

Claim statement: That heavy youth screen exposure was a measurable feature of the pandemic period, not only a cultural impression. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-046 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** dai-pandemic-screen-time-mental-health-2025-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-01

1.7.139. Claim C-139. Chapter 3 can connect COVID-era digital dependence to youth mental-health strain...

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can connect COVID-era digital dependence to youth mental-health strain with population-scale evidence rather than only anecdote. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-046 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** dai-pandemic-screen-time-mental-health-2025-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

1.7.140. Claim C-140. Pandemic mediation extended into everyday child and adolescent life through scree...

Claim statement: That pandemic mediation extended into everyday child and adolescent life through screen-intensive routines linked to sleep and activity disruption. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-046 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** dai-pandemic-screen-time-mental-health-2025-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-03

1.7.141. Claim C-141. By January 1, 2026, Anthropic’s own CEO publicly described wealth concentration,...

Claim statement: That by January 1, 2026, Anthropic’s own CEO publicly described wealth concentration, backlash, and democratic erosion as serious AI-adjacent risks. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-047 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** dario-amodei-adolescence-of-technology-2026-08-power-networks-legitim

1.7.142. Claim C-142. The 80%-of-wealth pledge angle can be grounded in a primary source rather than on...

Claim statement: That the 80%-of-wealth pledge angle can be grounded in a primary source rather than only in a secondary or tertiary retelling. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-047 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** dario-amodei-adolescence-of-technology-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.143. Claim C-143. Chapter 08 can document elite self-legitimation through philanthropy and public m...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can document elite self-legitimation through philanthropy and public moral positioning without pretending philanthropy resolves the structural problem. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-047 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** dario-amodei-adolescence-of-technology-2026-08-power-net

1.7.144. Claim C-144. Chapter 09 can cite a frontier founder’s own warning that extreme wealth concentr...

Claim statement: That Chapter 09 can cite a frontier founder’s own warning that extreme wealth concentration under AI could destabilize democratic society. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-047 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** dario-amodei-adolescence-of-technology-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-

1.7.145. Claim C-145. Chapter 10 can explicitly distinguish between voluntary philanthropy and the stro...

Claim statement: That Chapter 10 can explicitly distinguish between voluntary philanthropy and the stronger structural responses the dossier argues are necessary. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-047 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10

Audit Claim ID: dario-amodei-adolescence-of-technology-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-captur

1.7.146. Claim C-146. By January 2025 a non-U.S. lab was publicly claiming frontier-adjacent reasoning...

Claim statement: That by January 2025 a non-U.S. lab was publicly claiming frontier-adjacent reasoning performance in a primary technical paper. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-048 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:**

deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-01

1.7.147. Claim C-147. Reasoning capability was not confined entirely to closed U.S. product stacks and...

Claim statement: That reasoning capability was not confined entirely to closed U.S. product stacks and was partly diffusing through open publication and model release. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-048 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07

Audit Claim ID: deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-cla

1.7.148. Claim C-148. Chapters 06 and 07 can contrast frontier-access politics with a documented patter...

Claim statement: That Chapters 06 and 07 can contrast frontier-access politics with a documented pattern of partial technical diffusion. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-048 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:**

deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.149. Claim C-149. By late 2024 there was already a competitor publicly claiming frontier-adjacent p...

Claim statement: That by late 2024 there was already a competitor publicly claiming frontier-adjacent performance through a technical report. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-049 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:**

deepseek-v3-technical-report-2024-claim-01

1.7.150. Claim C-150. The debate over reasoning, efficiency, and cost cannot be reduced to the marketin...

Claim statement: That the debate over reasoning, efficiency, and cost cannot be reduced to the marketing of a single Western actor. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-049 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:**

deepseek-v3-technical-report-2024-claim-02

1.7.151. Claim C-151. Narratives of absolute scarcity around advanced capabilities must be contrasted w...

Claim statement: That narratives of absolute scarcity around advanced capabilities must be contrasted with open technical reports and partial replicability. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-049 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** deepseek-v3-technical-report-2024-claim-03

1.7.152. Claim C-152. Dion Wiggins publicly defines digital sovereignty in survivability terms: whether...

Claim statement: That Dion Wiggins publicly defines digital sovereignty in survivability terms: whether systems still run under your authority when cooperation is withdrawn. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-050 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** digital-sovereignty-imperative-homepage-2026-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-01

1.7.153. Claim C-153. There is serious academic legal scholarship directly challenging the idea that ge...

Claim statement: That there is serious academic legal scholarship directly challenging the idea that generative AI training automatically falls under familiar exceptions. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-051 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** dornis-generative-ai-training-copyright-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.154. Claim C-154. Memorization is not only a technical curiosity but also part of the copyright debate

Claim statement: That memorization is not only a technical curiosity but also part of the copyright debate. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-051 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** dornis-generative-ai-training-copyright-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.155. Claim C-155. Chapter 4 can present the legal status of training as contested and actively theo...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can present the legal status of training as contested and actively theorized rather than settled by industry analogy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-051 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** dornis-generative-ai-training-copyright-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.156. Claim C-156. Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO f...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

1.7.157. Claim C-157. Facebook itself described Thiel not merely as a commentator or associate, but as...

Claim statement: That Facebook itself described Thiel not merely as a commentator or associate, but as an early investor and standing director. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

1.7.158. Claim C-158. Thiel occupied a structurally meaningful position in Facebook's governance archit...

Claim statement: That Thiel occupied a structurally meaningful position in Facebook's governance architecture, including a preferred-stock-designated seat and an audit-committee role. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

1.7.159. Claim C-159. Facebook's public-market transition preserved concentrated internal control over...

Claim statement: That Facebook's public-market transition preserved concentrated internal control over directors and corporate decisions. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-04

1.7.160. Claim C-160. Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO f...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.161. Claim C-161. Facebook itself described Thiel not merely as a commentator or associate, but as...

Claim statement: That Facebook itself described Thiel not merely as a commentator or associate, but as an early investor and standing director. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

1.7.162. Claim C-162. Thiel occupied a structurally meaningful position in Facebook's governance archit...

Claim statement: That Thiel occupied a structurally meaningful position in Facebook's governance architecture, including a preferred-stock-designated seat and an audit-committee role. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-03

1.7.163. Claim C-163. Facebook’s public-market transition preserved concentrated internal control over...

Claim statement: That Facebook’s public-market transition preserved concentrated internal control over directors and corporate decisions. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-04

1.7.164. Claim C-164. There is an official federal record for LEADING THE FUTURE as an active Super PAC...

Claim statement: That there is an official federal record for LEADING THE FUTURE as an active Super PAC in the 2025-2026 election cycle. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-053 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** fec-leading-the-future-committee-overview-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.165. Claim C-165. Chapter 08 can support the electoral-influence layer with a primary filing-backed...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can support the electoral-influence layer with a primary filing-backed source rather than relying only on secondary reporting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-053 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** fec-leading-the-future-committee-overview-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.166. Claim C-166. The political vehicle discussed in later secondary reporting had real, large-scal...

Claim statement: That the political vehicle discussed in later secondary reporting had real, large-scale financial activity documented by the FEC. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-053 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** fec-leading-the-future-committee-overview-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.167. Claim C-167. The state created an official consultation pathway for shaping the 2025 AI doctrine

Claim statement: That the state created an official consultation pathway for shaping the 2025 AI doctrine. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-054 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.168. Claim C-168. Legitimacy in AI was being organized through formal administrative processes, not...

Claim statement: That legitimacy in AI was being organized through formal administrative processes, not only informal lobbying. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-054 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.169. Claim C-169. Chapter 8 can map institutional capture or influence as a documented procedural f...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can map institutional capture or influence as a documented procedural field. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-054 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-08-power-networks-legit

1.7.170. Claim C-170. The language of AI dominance did not remain only in a political order, but moved...

Claim statement: That the language of AI dominance did not remain only in a political order, but moved into an official federal consultation process. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-054 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-claim-01

1.7.171. Claim C-171. OSTP and federal agencies activated a formal channel to gather input from industr...

Claim statement: That OSTP and federal agencies activated a formal channel to gather input from industry, academia, and other actors on U.S. AI strategy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-054 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-claim-02

1.7.172. Claim C-172. The dossier can document a clear institutional sequence between the presidential...

Claim statement: That the dossier can document a clear institutional sequence between the presidential order, the RFI, and later corporate policy positioning. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-054 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-claim-03

1.7.173. Claim C-173. AI enthusiasm did not eliminate the broader structural weakness of the sector

Claim statement: That AI enthusiasm did not eliminate the broader structural weakness of the sector. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-055 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-ai-exuberance-tech-weakness-2024-05-talent-hoarding

1.7.174. Claim C-174. A serious T2 source explicitly connects post-pandemic overhiring, weak demand, an...

Claim statement: That a serious T2 source explicitly connects post-pandemic overhiring, weak demand, and investment reallocation to the industry's ongoing malaise. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-055 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-ai-exuberance-tech-weakness-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-02

1.7.175. Claim C-175. Chapter 5 can argue AI was layered onto an existing correction rather than causin...

Claim statement: That Chapter 5 can argue AI was layered onto an existing correction rather than causing it from scratch. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-055 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-ai-exuberance-tech-weakness-2024-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-03

1.7.176. Claim C-176. By late 2024 major financial press was explicitly describing Meta as pivoting awa...

Claim statement: That by late 2024 major financial press was explicitly describing Meta as pivoting away from a lossmaking metaverse emphasis toward AI. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-056 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-meta-ai-spending-reality-labs-2024-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebran

1.7.177. Claim C-177. Investor concern over Reality Labs losses remained a live part of Meta's valuatio...

Claim statement: That investor concern over Reality Labs losses remained a live part of Meta's valuation story. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-056 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-meta-ai-spending-reality-labs-2024-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim-02

1.7.178. Claim C-178. The metaverse period can be discussed as a material financial cycle and not only...

Claim statement: That the metaverse period can be discussed as a material financial cycle and not only as a branding episode. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-056 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-meta-ai-spending-reality-labs-2024-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim-03

1.7.179. Claim C-179. By March 5, 2025 Musk had failed to obtain immediate judicial relief blocking Ope...

Claim statement: That by March 5, 2025 Musk had failed to obtain immediate judicial relief blocking OpenAI's conversion path. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-057 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-

1.7.180. Claim C-180. The dispute over OpenAI's nonprofit mission and restructuring remained procedural...

Claim statement: That the dispute over OpenAI's nonprofit mission and restructuring remained procedurally live even after that denial. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-057 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-claim-02

1.7.181. Claim C-181. Chapter 08 can distinguish between a live governance challenge and a successful m...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can distinguish between a live governance challenge and a successful merits finding. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-057 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-14-named-indiv

1.7.182. Claim C-182. Debate over wealthy foreigners seeking New Zealand bolt-holes remained live in se...

Claim statement: That debate over wealthy foreigners seeking New Zealand bolt-holes remained live in serious financial press by 2025. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-058 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-new-zealand-golden-visa-2025-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-01

1.7.183. Claim C-183. The Financial Times reported on September 24, 2025 that Peter Thiel's New Zealand...

Claim statement: That the Financial Times reported on September 24, 2025 that Peter Thiel's New Zealand citizenship had been granted under 'exceptional circumstances' despite reportedly spending 12 days in the country, and that his Lake Wānaka lodge plans were later rejected in 2022. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-058 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-new-zealand-golden-visa-2025-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.184. Claim C-184. By late 2024 a major T2 outlet was describing OpenAI's leadership changes as part...

Claim statement: That by late 2024 a major T2 outlet was describing OpenAI's leadership changes as part of a continuing post-coup power consolidation. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-059 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-consolidates-power-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-clai

1.7.185. Claim C-185. Murati's departure belonged to a broader cluster of executive exits rather than a...

Claim statement: That Murati's departure belonged to a broader cluster of executive exits rather than a lone event. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-059 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-consolidates-power-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

1.7.186. Claim C-186. The governance crisis remained relevant to interpreting later organizational changes

Claim statement: That the governance crisis remained relevant to interpreting later organizational changes. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-059 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-consolidates-power-2024-13-ope

1.7.187. Claim C-187. Serious T2 reporting documented OpenAI publicly acknowledging higher misuse risk...

Claim statement: That serious T2 reporting documented OpenAI publicly acknowledging higher misuse risk at the same moment it was releasing stronger reasoning models. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-060 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-o1-bioweapon-risk-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-01

1.7.188. Claim C-188. Chapter 06 can ground the dual-use argument in contemporaneous mainstream reporti...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can ground the dual-use argument in contemporaneous mainstream reporting, not only in retrospective critique. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-060 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-o1-bioweapon-risk-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.189. Claim C-189. Danger rhetoric around reasoning models accompanied commercialization rather than...

Claim statement: That danger rhetoric around reasoning models accompanied commercialization rather than halting deployment altogether. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-060 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-o1-bioweapon-risk-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.190. Claim C-190. OpenAI continued to load its board with elite finance and infrastructure figures...

Claim statement: That OpenAI continued to load its board with elite finance and infrastructure figures during its restructuring phase. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-061 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-ogunlesi-board-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.191. Claim C-191. Chapter 08 can treat board composition as part of a broader legitimacy and capita...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can treat board composition as part of a broader legitimacy and capital-alignment architecture, not just mission oversight. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-061 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-ogunlesi-board-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.192. Claim C-192. The company's governance network was expanding toward actors useful for large-sca...

Claim statement: That the company's governance network was expanding toward actors useful for large-scale infrastructure buildout and institutional credibility. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-061 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-ogunlesi-board-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.193. Claim C-193. Another OpenAI co-founder left for a direct frontier rival in 2024

Claim statement: That another OpenAI co-founder left for a direct frontier rival in 2024. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-062 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-schulman-anthropic-2024-13-openai-governance-q

1.7.194. Claim C-194. Alignment was publicly available as an explicit rationale for at least one major...

Claim statement: That alignment was publicly available as an explicit rationale for at least one major departure. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-062 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-schulman-anthropic-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

1.7.195. Claim C-195. Mainstream T2 reporting treated the exits as a pattern rather than isolated perso...

Claim statement: That mainstream T2 reporting treated the exits as a pattern rather than isolated personnel churn. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-062 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** ft-openai-schulman-anthropic-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-03

1.7.196. Claim C-196. The platform model relies on intensive extraction and processing of user data

Claim statement: That the platform model relies on intensive extraction and processing of user data. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-063 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens-claim-01

1.7.197. Claim C-197. Platforms cannot seriously be described as simple passive intermediaries

Claim statement: That platforms cannot seriously be described as simple passive intermediaries. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-063 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens-claim-02

1.7.198. Claim C-198. There is regulatory support for speaking of commercial surveillance at massive scale

Claim statement: That there is regulatory support for speaking of commercial surveillance at massive scale. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-063 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens-claim-03

1.7.199. Claim C-199. There is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed pers...

Claim statement: That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-064 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

1.7.200. Claim C-200. The Cambridge Analytica scandal was not only a media episode, but a point of inst...

Claim statement: That the Cambridge Analytica scandal was not only a media episode, but a point of institutional intervention with structural compliance consequences. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-064 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

1.7.201. Claim C-201. Facebook’s commercial-surveillance architecture generated enough risk to require...

Claim statement: That Facebook’s commercial-surveillance architecture generated enough risk to require extraordinary board-level oversight mechanisms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-064 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

1.7.202. Claim C-202. There is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed pers...

Claim statement: That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-064 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-claim-01

1.7.203. Claim C-203. The Cambridge Analytica scandal was not only a media episode, but a point of inst...

Claim statement: That the Cambridge Analytica scandal was not only a media episode, but a point of institutional intervention with structural compliance consequences. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-064 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-claim-02

1.7.204. Claim C-204. Facebook’s commercial-surveillance architecture generated enough risk to require...

Claim statement: That Facebook’s commercial-surveillance architecture generated enough risk to require extraordinary board-level oversight mechanisms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-064 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-claim-03

1.7.205. Claim C-205. Generative AI exposure reaches well beyond narrow routine clerical work and exten...

Claim statement: That generative AI exposure reaches well beyond narrow routine clerical work and extends into professional and white-collar task bundles. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-065 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** gpts-are-gpts-labor-impact-2023-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-01

1.7.206. Claim C-206. A future scenario of labor stratification can be grounded in documented different...

Claim statement: That a future scenario of labor stratification can be grounded in documented differential exposure rather than in pure speculation. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-065 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** gpts-are-gpts-labor-impact-2023-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-02

1.7.207. Claim C-207. Chapter 09 can discuss weakening bargaining power for parts of the technical and...

Claim statement: That Chapter 09 can discuss weakening bargaining power for parts of the technical and professional middle class without claiming universal job extinction. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-065 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** gpts-are-gpts-labor-impact-2023-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-03

1.7.208. Claim C-208. By February 15, 2018 New Zealand had already become a recognizable elite-safe-hav...

Claim statement: That by February 15, 2018 New Zealand had already become a recognizable elite-safe-haven trope in public collapse-prep discourse around Silicon Valley. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-066 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** guardian-billionaires-new-zealand-2018-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-01

1.7.209. Claim C-209. A serious 2018 longform outlet publicly connected Peter Thiel's New Zealand citiz...

Claim statement: That a serious 2018 longform outlet publicly connected Peter Thiel's New Zealand citizenship controversy, Sam Altman's retreat plan, and The Sovereign Individual within a single elite-safe-haven narrative. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-066 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** guardian-billionaires-new-zealand-2018-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.210. Claim C-210. Serious reporting documents a research finding that recommendation systems can in...

Claim statement: That serious reporting documents a research finding that recommendation systems can intensify misogynistic content exposure over a short period. **Evidence status:** Doc-

umented Fact **Source support:** Source S-067 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** guardian-tiktok-misogyny-amplification-2024-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-c

1.7.211. Claim C-211. Chapter 2 can discuss algorithmic amplification of misogynistic norm formation wi...

Claim statement: That Chapter 2 can discuss algorithmic amplification of misogynistic norm formation with more than anecdotal support. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-067 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** guardian-tiktok-misogyny-amplification-2024-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-clai

1.7.212. Claim C-212. The dossier can connect youth-facing platform design to moral and social reconfig...

Claim statement: That the dossier can connect youth-facing platform design to moral and social reconfiguration without reducing the argument to a generalized complaint about bad culture. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-067 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** guardian-tiktok-misogyny-amplification-2024-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hyper

1.7.213. Claim C-213. Mainstream visibility and platform design helped normalize monetized sexual conte...

Claim statement: That mainstream visibility and platform design helped normalize monetized sexual content creation among people with no prior sex-work history. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-068 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** hamilton-onlyfans-new-creators-2022-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.214. Claim C-214. The pandemic was a documented adoption driver in this transition

Claim statement: That the pandemic was a documented adoption driver in this transition. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-068 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** hamilton-onlyfans-new-creators-2022-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualiza

1.7.215. Claim C-215. Chapter 2 can discuss digital prostitution glamour and normalization with a real...

Claim statement: That Chapter 2 can discuss digital prostitution glamour and normalization with a real research basis rather than relying only on celebrity examples or moral impression. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-068 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** hamilton-onlyfans-new-creators-2022-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualiza

1.7.216. Claim C-216. There is serious institutional concern about the impact of social networks on minors

Claim statement: That there is serious institutional concern about the impact of social networks on minors. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-069 **Appears in**

chapters: Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** hhs-social-media-youth-advisory-2023-claim-01

1.7.217. Claim C-217. There is enough basis to discuss psychosocial harm and cumulative risks in young...

Claim statement: That there is enough basis to discuss psychosocial harm and cumulative risks in young populations. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-069 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** hhs-social-media-youth-advisory-2023-claim-02

1.7.218. Claim C-218. The discussion about youth does not depend only on moral intuition or cultural no...

Claim statement: That the discussion about youth does not depend only on moral intuition or cultural nostalgia. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-069 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** hhs-social-media-youth-advisory-2023-claim-03

1.7.219. Claim C-219. The dossier's copyright / weights / compensation framing entered a bipartisan U.S...

Claim statement: That the dossier's copyright / weights / compensation framing entered a bipartisan U.S. congressional policy record by December 17, 2024. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-070 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** house-ai-task-force-report-2024-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.220. Claim C-220. A formal House report recorded the AI-training dispute in terms that directly ove...

Claim statement: That a formal House report recorded the AI-training dispute in terms that directly overlap with the dossier's concern about reproduction, derivative-work theory, commercialization, consent, credit, and compensation. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-070 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** house-ai-task-force-report-2024-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.221. Claim C-221. Chapter 00 and Chapter 04 can cite institutional uptake of the argument without p...

Claim statement: That Chapter 00 and Chapter 04 can cite institutional uptake of the argument without pretending that congressional citation settles the underlying legal merits. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-070 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** house-ai-task-force-report-2024-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.222. Claim C-222. Labor-market exposure to generative AI is systematically uneven across geography,...

Claim statement: That labor-market exposure to generative AI is systematically uneven across geography, occupation, and class position. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-071 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** imf-gen-ai-future-of-work-2024-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-01

1.7.223. Claim C-223. A future of stratified labor outcomes can be documented from mainstream instituti...

Claim statement: That a future of stratified labor outcomes can be documented from mainstream institutional analysis rather than inferred only from anecdote. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-071 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** imf-gen-ai-future-of-work-2024-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-02

1.7.224. Claim C-224. Chapter 09 can connect AI deployment to differentiated middle-class risk without...

Claim statement: That Chapter 09 can connect AI deployment to differentiated middle-class risk without reducing the issue to a single universal displacement story. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-071 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** imf-gen-ai-future-of-work-2024-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-03

1.7.225. Claim C-225. Teen body-image discourse on Instagram is not confined to public feeds; it also u...

Claim statement: That teen body-image discourse on Instagram is not confined to public feeds; it also unfolds in private channels that shape social reinforcement and harm. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-072 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** instagram-body-image-dms-2025-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.226. Claim C-226. Platform architecture matters: the difference between one-on-one and group settin...

Claim statement: That platform architecture matters: the difference between one-on-one and group settings changes the texture of support, criticism, and shaming. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-072 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** instagram-body-image-dms-2025-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-02

1.7.227. Claim C-227. Chapter 2 can discuss mediated body-image norms with stronger empirical grounding...

Claim statement: That Chapter 2 can discuss mediated body-image norms with stronger empirical grounding than a general moral complaint. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-072 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** instagram-body-image-dms-2025-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-03

1.7.228. Claim C-228. By early 2023 Meta was already cutting prices and confronting evidence of weak VR...

Claim statement: That by early 2023 Meta was already cutting prices and confronting evidence of weak VR commercial traction. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-073 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** investopedia-meta-vr-price-cuts-2023-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim-01

1.7.229. Claim C-229. Investor pressure around Reality Labs was visible outside SEC filings and earning...

Claim statement: That investor pressure around Reality Labs was visible outside SEC filings and earnings materials. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-073 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** investopedia-meta-vr-price-cuts-2023-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim-02

1.7.230. Claim C-230. Vector 12 can support the claim that the metaverse push faced real underperforman...

Claim statement: That Vector 12 can support the claim that the metaverse push faced real underperformance and not only hostile commentary. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-073 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** investopedia-meta-vr-price-cuts-2023-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim-03

1.7.231. Claim C-231. There is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovat...

Claim statement: That there is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovation with intelligence, defense, and national-security needs. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

1.7.232. Claim C-232. Adjacency between venture capital, frontier tech, and the state apparatus is not...

Claim statement: That adjacency between venture capital, frontier tech, and the state apparatus is not speculation: in the case of IQT, it is expressed by the organization itself. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

1.7.233. Claim C-233. The dossier can discuss a technology-transfer infrastructure toward the state wit...

Claim statement: That the dossier can discuss a technology-transfer infrastructure toward the state without depending on conspiratorial sources. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

1.7.234. Claim C-234. There is a formal institutional channel connecting strategic technology developme...

Claim statement: That there is a formal institutional channel connecting strategic technology development with intelligence and defense needs. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 01, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.235. Claim C-235. The adjacency between frontier innovation and the state apparatus can be document...

Claim statement: That the adjacency between frontier innovation and the state apparatus can be documented from primary sources. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 01, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.236. Claim C-236. Chapter 8 can discuss legitimacy networks without relying on conspiratorial infer...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can discuss legitimacy networks without relying on conspiratorial inference. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 01, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.237. Claim C-237. There is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovat...

Claim statement: That there is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovation with intelligence, defense, and national-security needs. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-claim-01

1.7.238. Claim C-238. Adjacency between venture capital, frontier tech, and the state apparatus is not...

Claim statement: That adjacency between venture capital, frontier tech, and the state apparatus is not speculation: in the case of IQT, it is expressed by the organization itself. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-claim-02

1.7.239. Claim C-239. The dossier can discuss a technology-transfer infrastructure toward the state wit...

Claim statement: That the dossier can discuss a technology-transfer infrastructure toward the state without depending on conspiratorial sources. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-claim-03

1.7.240. Claim C-240. Section 174 amortization was not a rumor or niche accounting theory; it was the s...

Claim statement: That Section 174 amortization was not a rumor or niche accounting theory; it was the subject of active IRS guidance. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-075 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** irs-notice-2023-63-claim-01

1.7.241. Claim C-241. U.S. firms with research-heavy cost structures had to account for a changed tax t...

Claim statement: That U.S. firms with research-heavy cost structures had to account for a changed tax treatment of those expenditures. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-075 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** irs-notice-2023-63-claim-02

1.7.242. Claim C-242. Chapter 5 can legitimately treat Section 174 as one documented structural factor...

Claim statement: That Chapter 5 can legitimately treat Section 174 as one documented structural factor in the financial environment facing software and R&D-heavy companies. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-075 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** irs-notice-2023-63-claim-03

1.7.243. Claim C-243. There is direct technical pushback against simplistic claims that frontier reason...

Claim statement: That there is direct technical pushback against simplistic claims that frontier reasoning was trivially replicated. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-076 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** its-not-that-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-0

1.7.244. Claim C-244. The paper argued simple test-time scaling often reflects scaling down through len...

Claim statement: That the paper argued simple test-time scaling often reflects scaling down through length constraints rather than true scaling up. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-076 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** its-not-that-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.245. Claim C-245. The paper argued o1-like and DeepSeek-R1-like systems differ from simple replicat...

Claim statement: That the paper argued o1-like and DeepSeek-R1-like systems differ from simple replications because they learn to scale up test-time compute through reinforcement learning. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-076 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** its-not-that-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek

1.7.246. Claim C-246. AI systems depend on significant human labor for data generation, annotation, ver...

Claim statement: That AI systems depend on significant human labor for data generation, annotation, verification, and operational correction. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-077 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:**

le-ludec-cornet-casilli-annotation-madagascar-2023-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-0

1.7.247. Claim C-247. Chapter 4 can connect model building to undervalued and geographically externaliz...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can connect model building to undervalued and geographically externalized human work, not only to scraped copyrighted material. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-077 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:**

le-ludec-cornet-casilli-annotation-madagascar-2023-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-clai

1.7.248. Claim C-248. A serious research literature already frames AI production as a labor-reorganizat...

Claim statement: That a serious research literature already frames AI production as a labor-reorganization process rather than a purely autonomous technical breakthrough. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-077 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:**

le-ludec-cornet-casilli-annotation-madagascar-2023-04-data-to-models-copyright-weight

1.7.249. Claim C-249. The metaverse-era spending cycle remained financially salient well after the rebrand

Claim statement: That the metaverse-era spending cycle remained financially salient well after the rebrand. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-078

Appears in chapters: Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** marketwatch-reality-labs-overspending-20

1.7.250. Claim C-250. Serious market coverage treated Reality Labs losses as a continuing valuation pro...

Claim statement: That serious market coverage treated Reality Labs losses as a continuing valuation problem. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-078

Appears in chapters: Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:**

marketwatch-reality-labs-overspending-2024-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim

1.7.251. Claim C-251. Vector 12 can discuss the metaverse pivot as a material capital-allocation story,...

Claim statement: That Vector 12 can discuss the metaverse pivot as a material capital-allocation story, not only as branding theater. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-078

Appears in chapters: Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:**

marketwatch-reality-labs-overspending-2024-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-claim

1.7.252. Claim C-252. The metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and...

Claim statement: That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-079 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

1.7.253. Claim C-253. Meta's core economic engine remained advertising, even while management invested...

Claim statement: That Meta's core economic engine remained advertising, even while management invested heavily in AI and immersive technologies. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-079 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

1.7.254. Claim C-254. The rebrand period can be discussed in material financial terms rather than only...

Claim statement: That the rebrand period can be discussed in material financial terms rather than only as a symbolic communications move. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-079 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

1.7.255. Claim C-255. The metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and...

Claim statement: That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-079 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-claim-01

1.7.256. Claim C-256. Meta's core economic engine remained advertising, even while management invested...

Claim statement: That Meta's core economic engine remained advertising, even while management invested heavily in AI and immersive technologies. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-079 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-claim-02

1.7.257. Claim C-257. The rebrand period can be discussed in material financial terms rather than only...

Claim statement: That the rebrand period can be discussed in material financial terms rather than only as a symbolic communications move. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-079 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-claim-03

1.7.258. Claim C-258. Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

1.7.259. Claim C-259. The company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the en...

Claim statement: That the company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the end of his term at the 2022 annual meeting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

1.7.260. Claim C-260. Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before...

Claim statement: That Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before his departure from the board. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

1.7.261. Claim C-261. Continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era include...

Claim statement: That continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era included continuity in elite board membership. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-04

1.7.262. Claim C-262. Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.263. Claim C-263. The company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the en...

Claim statement: That the company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the end of his term at the 2022 annual meeting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

1.7.264. Claim C-264. Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before...

Claim statement: That Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before his departure from the board. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-03

1.7.265. Claim C-265. Continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era include...

Claim statement: That continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era included continuity in elite board membership. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-04

1.7.266. Claim C-266. The rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not me...

Claim statement: That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-081 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

1.7.267. Claim C-267. Meta itself created a reporting split capable of distinguishing its mature platfo...

Claim statement: That Meta itself created a reporting split capable of distinguishing its mature platform business from its speculative immersive-technology investment. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-081 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

1.7.268. Claim C-268. The company did not present the rebrand as a data-governance reset

Claim statement: That the company did not present the rebrand as a data-governance reset. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-081 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

1.7.269. Claim C-269. The rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not me...

Claim statement: That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-081 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-claim-01

1.7.270. Claim C-270. Meta itself created a reporting split capable of distinguishing its mature platfo...

Claim statement: That Meta itself created a reporting split capable of distinguishing its mature platform business from its speculative immersive-technology investment. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-081 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-claim-02

1.7.271. Claim C-271. The company did not present the rebrand as a data-governance reset

Claim statement: That the company did not present the rebrand as a data-governance reset. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-081 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-claim-03

1.7.272. Claim C-272. Meta itself framed layoffs as part of a structural response to financial conditio...

Claim statement: That Meta itself framed layoffs as part of a structural response to financial conditions and organizational inefficiency. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-082 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-year-of-efficiency-2023-claim-01

1.7.273. Claim C-273. AI investment was presented alongside workforce reduction, not as a later justifi...

Claim statement: That AI investment was presented alongside workforce reduction, not as a later justification invented by outsiders. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-082 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-year-of-efficiency-2023-claim-02

1.7.274. Claim C-274. Interest rates, regulation, and margin discipline were explicit parts of the comp...

Claim statement: That interest rates, regulation, and margin discipline were explicit parts of the company's own explanation. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-082 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-year-of-efficiency-2023-claim-03

1.7.275. Claim C-275. By October 3, 2016 Sam Altman publicly described keeping guns, gold, potassium io...

Claim statement: That by October 3, 2016 Sam Altman publicly described keeping guns, gold, potassium iodide, antibiotics, batteries, water, gas masks, and land in Big Sur as part of survival planning, and named Peter Thiel's house in New Zealand as a backup plan. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-083 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** newyorker-sam-altman-manifest-destiny-2016-08-power-networks-legitima

1.7.276. Claim C-276. The public record already contained elite retreat and private-fallback planning b...

Claim statement: That the public record already contained elite retreat and private-fallback planning by a future central AI executive years before the current frontier-AI dependency regime matured. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-083 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** newyorker-sam-altman-manifest-destiny-2016-09-future-i

Claim statement: That the public record already contained elite retreat and private-fallback planning by a future central AI executive years before the current frontier-AI dependency regime matured. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-083 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** newyorker-sam-altman-manifest-destiny-2016-09-future-i

1.7.277. Claim C-277. The pandemic-driven move to remote work created documented new privacy and survei...

Claim statement: That the pandemic-driven move to remote work created documented new privacy and surveillance risks, not just convenience or flexibility gains. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-084 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-01

Claim statement: That the pandemic-driven move to remote work created documented new privacy and surveillance risks, not just convenience or flexibility gains. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-084 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-01

1.7.278. Claim C-278. COVID-era digital dependence can be tied to intensified workplace monitoring and...

Claim statement: That COVID-era digital dependence can be tied to intensified workplace monitoring and governance, not only to consumer screen time. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-084 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

Claim statement: That COVID-era digital dependence can be tied to intensified workplace monitoring and governance, not only to consumer screen time. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-084 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

1.7.279. Claim C-279. Chapter 3 can connect pandemic mediation to surveillance expansion with academic...

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can connect pandemic mediation to surveillance expansion with academic support. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-084 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can connect pandemic mediation to surveillance expansion with academic support. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-084 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

1.7.280. Claim C-280. Another federal court outside the Anthropic case treated training-stage copying a...

Claim statement: That another federal court outside the Anthropic case treated training-stage copying and dataset assembly as central issues serious enough to survive dismissal. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-085 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** nyt-openai-motion-dismiss-order-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

Claim statement: That another federal court outside the Anthropic case treated training-stage copying and dataset assembly as central issues serious enough to survive dismissal. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-085 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** nyt-openai-motion-dismiss-order-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.281. Claim C-281. Chapter 4 can rely on more than one primary court record when arguing that the AI...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can rely on more than one primary court record when arguing that the AI copyright conflict has split into doctrinal and supply-chain layers. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-085 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** nyt-openai-motion-dismiss-order-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can rely on more than one primary court record when arguing that the AI copyright conflict has split into doctrinal and supply-chain layers. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-085 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** nyt-openai-motion-dismiss-order-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.282. Claim C-282. The legal record does not treat training as a purely abstract statistical process...

Claim statement: That the legal record does not treat training as a purely abstract statistical process detached from source acquisition and storage practices. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-085 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** nyt-openai-motion-dismiss-order-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.283. Claim C-283. The origin of COVID-19 remained a matter of official uncertainty and disputed ass...

Claim statement: That the origin of COVID-19 remained a matter of official uncertainty and disputed assessment. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-086 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** odni-covid-origin-report-2023-claim-01

1.7.284. Claim C-284. It is legitimate to treat the origin question as unresolved within the dossier

Claim statement: That it is legitimate to treat the origin question as unresolved within the dossier. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-086 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** odni-covid-origin-report-2023-claim-02

1.7.285. Claim C-285. Any closed narrative of total intent lacks sufficient support from this source

Claim statement: That any closed narrative of total intent lacks sufficient support from this source. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-086 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** odni-covid-origin-report-2023-claim-03

1.7.286. Claim C-286. OpenAI sought to restore legitimacy through a highly visible governance reset

Claim statement: That OpenAI sought to restore legitimacy through a highly visible governance reset. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-087 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023-08-power-ne

1.7.287. Claim C-287. Microsoft gained a formal institutional vantage point without outright board control

Claim statement: That Microsoft gained a formal institutional vantage point without outright board control. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-087 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.288. Claim C-288. Post-crisis authority was rebuilt through governance language, elite appointees,...

Claim statement: That post-crisis authority was rebuilt through governance language, elite appointees, and public commitments to review. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-087 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.289. Claim C-289. OpenAI formally responded to the crisis with a board reset and an explicit govern...

Claim statement: That OpenAI formally responded to the crisis with a board reset and an explicit governance-repair agenda. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-087 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023-claim-01

1.7.290. Claim C-290. Microsoft gained a defined institutional presence through a non-voting observer r...

Claim statement: That Microsoft gained a defined institutional presence through a non-voting observer role even though it did not control the board outright. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-087 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023-claim-02

1.7.291. Claim C-291. Safety language, product deployment, and governance restructuring were bundled to...

Claim statement: That safety language, product deployment, and governance restructuring were bundled together in the company's own recovery narrative. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-087 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023-claim-03

1.7.292. Claim C-292. As of July 3, 2026, OpenAI's official API pricing imposed a large price premium o...

Claim statement: That as of July 3, 2026, OpenAI's official API pricing imposed a large price premium on GPT-5.5 Pro relative to GPT-5.5. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-088 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-api-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-01

1.7.293. Claim C-293. OpenAI's current API record treats top-tier reasoning-capable access as materiall...

Claim statement: That OpenAI's current API record treats top-tier reasoning-capable access as materially higher-cost infrastructure rather than as a negligible increment over ordinary flagship use. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source

S-088 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-api-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.294. Claim C-294. Chapter 06 can document reasoning-premium monetization through a primary pricing...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can document reasoning-premium monetization through a primary pricing source rather than only through media summaries. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-088 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-api-pricing-2026-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.295. Claim C-295. OpenAI publicly moved safety and security oversight to a named board-level struct...

Claim statement: That OpenAI publicly moved safety and security oversight to a named board-level structure after the governance crisis. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-089 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-board-forms-safety-security-committee-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-cla

1.7.296. Claim C-296. The company explicitly linked committee formation to a new frontier-model trainin...

Claim statement: That the company explicitly linked committee formation to a new frontier-model training cycle. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-089 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-board-forms-safety-security-committee-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-cla

1.7.297. Claim C-297. Board governance, safety rhetoric, and external security expertise were being int...

Claim statement: That board governance, safety rhetoric, and external security expertise were being integrated into the same institutional response. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-089 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-board-forms-safety-security-committee-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-

1.7.298. Claim C-298. By June 16, 2025 OpenAI had formally reorganized and expanded its U.S. public-sec...

Claim statement: That by June 16, 2025 OpenAI had formally reorganized and expanded its U.S. public-sector work under an OpenAI for Government initiative rather than treating government use as incidental. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-090 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-for-government-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-01

1.7.299. Claim C-299. OpenAI publicly tied government access to secure environments, limited national-s...

Claim statement: That OpenAI publicly tied government access to secure environments, limited national-security custom models, and structured forward visibility into upcoming capabilities. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-090 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-for-government-2025-07-export-controls-compute-de

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-090 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-for-government-2025-07-export-controls-compute-de

1.7.300. Claim C-300. OpenAI's own record documents a formal DoD CDAO pilot with a \$200 million ceiling...

Claim statement: That OpenAI's own record documents a formal DoD CDAO pilot with a \$200 million ceiling under this initiative. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-090 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-for-government-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-03

1.7.301. Claim C-301. OpenAI publicly elevated existential-risk and governance language well before the...

Claim statement: That OpenAI publicly elevated existential-risk and governance language well before the 2024 departures and 2025-2026 access fights. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-091 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-introducing-superalignment-2023-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-c

1.7.302. Claim C-302. Sutskever and Leike were formally paired in a flagship safety-governance project,...

Claim statement: That Sutskever and Leike were formally paired in a flagship safety-governance project, which matters when later departures are interpreted. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-091 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-introducing-superalignment-2023-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-c

1.7.303. Claim C-303. Chapter 6 and Chapter 8 can document safety rhetoric as an institutional commitme...

Claim statement: That Chapter 6 and Chapter 8 can document safety rhetoric as an institutional commitment with compute allocation, not only as later public-relations packaging. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-091 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-introducing-superalignment-2023-13-openai-governance-qsta

1.7.304. Claim C-304. OpenAI publicly treated its crisis as a governance and legitimacy rupture, not as...

Claim statement: That OpenAI publicly treated its crisis as a governance and legitimacy rupture, not as a simple executive reshuffle. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-092 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.305. Claim C-305. The nonprofit-mission structure remained central to how the company justified pow...

Claim statement: That the nonprofit-mission structure remained central to how the company justified power over leadership. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-092 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.306. Claim C-306. Chapter 8 can discuss institutional legitimacy as embedded in corporate form, boa...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can discuss institutional legitimacy as embedded in corporate form, board authority, and mission rhetoric. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-092 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.307. Claim C-307. The November 2023 crisis was recognized by OpenAI itself as a formal governance r...

Claim statement: That the November 2023 crisis was recognized by OpenAI itself as a formal governance rupture. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-092 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023-claim-01

1.7.308. Claim C-308. The board justified Altman’s removal in terms of candor, oversight capacity, and...

Claim statement: That the board justified Altman’s removal in terms of candor, oversight capacity, and institutional mission. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-092 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023-claim-02

1.7.309. Claim C-309. OpenAI still publicly presented itself as an exceptional structure where nonprofi...

Claim statement: That OpenAI still publicly presented itself as an exceptional structure where nonprofit mission and oversight were meant to prevail over ordinary corporate logic. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-092 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023-claim-03

1.7.310. Claim C-310. OpenAI publicly framed reasoning as a new scaling layer based on additional train...

Claim statement: That OpenAI publicly framed reasoning as a new scaling layer based on additional train-time and test-time compute. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-093 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-learning-to-reason-with-llms-20

1.7.311. Claim C-311. The company explicitly marketed o1 as a major reasoning advance over GPT-4o in ma...

Claim statement: That the company explicitly marketed o1 as a major reasoning advance over GPT-4o in math, coding, and science-heavy evaluations. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-093 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-learning-to-reason-with-llms-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.312. Claim C-312. Chapter 06 can document reasoning as a commercial and strategic product category,...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can document reasoning as a commercial and strategic product category, not just a later media label. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-093 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-learning-to-reason-with-llms-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.313. Claim C-313. OpenAI deliberately rebuilt its board with figures carrying regulatory, legal, no...

Claim statement: That OpenAI deliberately rebuilt its board with figures carrying regulatory, legal, nonprofit, and major-platform credentials. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-094 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-new-board-members-2024-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.314. Claim C-314. Legitimacy and governance repair were explicit parts of OpenAI's public response...

Claim statement: That legitimacy and governance repair were explicit parts of OpenAI's public response to the November 2023 crisis. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-094 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-new-board-members-2024-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.315. Claim C-315. Chapter 8 can discuss institutional board composition as a structural mechanism o...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can discuss institutional board composition as a structural mechanism of legitimacy, not just a public-relations detail. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-094 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-new-board-members-2024-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.316. Claim C-316. OpenAI itself paired stronger reasoning capability with formal safety, preparedne...

Claim statement: That OpenAI itself paired stronger reasoning capability with formal safety, preparedness, and misuse-risk framing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-095 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-o1-system-card-2024-06-ai-doubl

1.7.317. Claim C-317. The company documented a training-data mix combining public web-scale material, p...

Claim statement: That the company documented a training-data mix combining public web-scale material, proprietary partnership data, and internal datasets. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-095 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-o1-system-card-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.318. Claim C-318. Chapter 06 can ground the coexistence of capability escalation and safety-governa...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can ground the coexistence of capability escalation and safety-governance packaging in a primary source. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-095 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-o1-system-card-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.319. Claim C-319. OpenAI presents itself as a policy actor seeking to co-design national AI strategy

Claim statement: That OpenAI presents itself as a policy actor seeking to co-design national AI strategy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-096 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07, Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.320. Claim C-320. The company publicly links its commercial and technical agenda to national-securi...

Claim statement: That the company publicly links its commercial and technical agenda to national-security and industrial-policy language. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-096 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07, Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.321. Claim C-321. Chapter 8 can treat lobbying and doctrinal positioning as part of the legitimacy...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can treat lobbying and doctrinal positioning as part of the legitimacy architecture of frontier firms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-096 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07, Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.322. Claim C-322. OpenAI officially defended a public-policy agenda combining global commercial exp...

Claim statement: That OpenAI officially defended a public-policy agenda combining global commercial expansion, export controls, and preservation of access to copyrighted material for training. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source

S-096 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-claim-01

1.7.323. Claim C-323. The company presents itself not only as a model provider, but as an actor seeking...

Claim statement: That the company presents itself not only as a model provider, but as an actor seeking to co-design U.S. national AI strategy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-096 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-claim-02

1.7.324. Claim C-324. The language of national security, competition with the PRC, and government adopt...

Claim statement: That the language of national security, competition with the PRC, and government adoption is already integrated into its official 2025 discourse. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-096 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-claim-03

1.7.325. Claim C-325. Palantir publicly presents itself as a mission-driven company built around diffic...

Claim statement: That Palantir publicly presents itself as a mission-driven company built around difficult institutional data problems. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-097 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-about-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.326. Claim C-326. Palantir explicitly frames its products as tools for human-driven, machine-assist...

Claim statement: That Palantir explicitly frames its products as tools for human-driven, machine-assisted analysis rather than purely autonomous systems. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-097 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-about-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

1.7.327. Claim C-327. Palantir’s own self-description centers on work for organizations handling sensit...

Claim statement: That Palantir’s own self-description centers on work for organizations handling sensitive data, public-interest claims, and civil-liberties tradeoffs. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-097 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-about-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-03

1.7.328. Claim C-328. By November 7, 2024 Anthropic model access for U.S. intelligence and defense agen...

Claim statement: That by November 7, 2024 Anthropic model access for U.S. intelligence and defense agencies was being publicly distributed through a Palantir-plus-AWS stack. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-098 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024-07-export-controls-c

1.7.329. Claim C-329. Classified-environment frontier-model access was tied to specific accredited infr...

Claim statement: That classified-environment frontier-model access was tied to specific accredited infrastructure and defense-cleared platform arrangements rather than open public availability. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-098 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024-07-ex

1.7.330. Claim C-330. Chapter 07 can document a concrete distribution channel where model access, cloud...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 can document a concrete distribution channel where model access, cloud concentration, accreditation, and defense use cases are bundled together. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-098 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024-07-export-controls-c

1.7.331. Claim C-331. Palantir openly markets Gotham to defense agencies and the intelligence community

Claim statement: That Palantir openly markets Gotham to defense agencies and the intelligence community. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-099 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-gotham-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-c

1.7.332. Claim C-332. Palantir's public product framing already includes operational AI, deployment at...

Claim statement: That Palantir's public product framing already includes operational AI, deployment at the edge, and mission-critical decision support. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-099 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-gotham-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

1.7.333. Claim C-333. Palantir's position inside security-state and defense workflows does not need to...

Claim statement: That Palantir's position inside security-state and defense workflows does not need to be inferred from hostile or conspiratorial sources because the company states it directly. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-099 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-gotham-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-c

1.7.334. Claim C-334. The dossier’s response layer has a documented co-framing source for reading AI ba...

Claim statement: That the dossier’s response layer has a documented co-framing source for reading AI backlash as a social-governance problem rather than only as irrational fear. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-100 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-anti-technological-extremism-2026-10-counterstrategy-response-ag

1.7.335. Claim C-335. Chapter 09 and Chapter 10 can discuss the risk of anti-technological backlash and...

Claim statement: That Chapter 09 and Chapter 10 can discuss the risk of anti-technological backlash and securitized overreaction as part of the future-if-unchecked scenario. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-100 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-anti-technological-extremism-2026-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-

1.7.336. Claim C-336. Paul Lara’s contribution to the project includes a political warning about how re...

Claim statement: That Paul Lara’s contribution to the project includes a political warning about how resistance itself may be governed or criminalized. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-100 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-anti-technological-extremism-2026-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-03

1.7.337. Claim C-337. The dossier’s smoke-economy language has a documented public framing source in Pa...

Claim statement: That the dossier’s smoke-economy language has a documented public framing source in Paul Lara’s June 2026 writing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-101 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-digital-smoke-economy-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-01

1.7.338. Claim C-338. Chapter 05 and Chapter 09 can use the vocabulary of digital smoke, slop, and valu...

Claim statement: That Chapter 05 and Chapter 09 can use the vocabulary of digital smoke, slop, and value/noise divergence as contextual interpretation rather than improvised rhetoric. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-101 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-digital-smoke-economy-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-la

1.7.339. Claim C-339. The co-framing bundle includes a direct critique of synthetic abundance without d...

Claim statement: That the co-framing bundle includes a direct critique of synthetic abundance without durable return. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-101 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-digital-smoke-economy-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-03

1.7.340. Claim C-340. By June 2026 the dossier’s debt-and-overhang thesis had a published contextual co...

Claim statement: That by June 2026 the dossier’s debt-and-overhang thesis had a published contextual counterpart in Paul Lara’s public writing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-102 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-great-ai-debt-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-01

1.7.341. Claim C-341. Chapter 05 can use a documented co-framing vocabulary around debt, leverage, and...

Claim statement: That Chapter 05 can use a documented co-framing vocabulary around debt, leverage, and narrative inflation without treating this column as hard financial proof. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-102 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-great-ai-debt-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-cl

1.7.342. Claim C-342. Paul Lara’s contribution to the project’s framing is materially different from Ha...

Claim statement: That Paul Lara’s contribution to the project’s framing is materially different from Hassan Uriostegui’s weights/enclosure thread and complements it on the debt-finance axis. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-102 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-great-ai-debt-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-

1.7.343. Claim C-343. By mid-June 2026 the dossier’s paper-valuation critique had a published co-framin...

Claim statement: That by mid-June 2026 the dossier’s paper-valuation critique had a published co-framing source in Paul Lara’s writing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-103 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-paper-trillionaire-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-01

1.7.344. Claim C-344. Chapter 05 and Chapter 09 can use the language of paper wealth and circular valua...

Claim statement: That Chapter 05 and Chapter 09 can use the language of paper wealth and circular valuation as documented interpretive framing rather than as an ad hoc slogan. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-103 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-paper-trillionaire-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-cl

1.7.345. Claim C-345. The co-authorial frame includes a critique of media normalization of speculative...

Claim statement: That the co-authorial frame includes a critique of media normalization of speculative AI-adjacent wealth. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-103 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-paper-trillionaire-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-03

1.7.346. Claim C-346. By late June 2026 the dossier’s response layer had a documented co-framing voice...

Claim statement: That by late June 2026 the dossier’s response layer had a documented co-framing voice organized around technofeudalism, democratic governance, and digital sovereignty.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-104 **Appears in chapters:**

Chapter 00, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-tecnofeudalismo-2026-10-counterstrategy

1.7.347. Claim C-347. Chapter 10 can draw on a public interpretive vocabulary that connects critique of...

Claim statement: That Chapter 10 can draw on a public interpretive vocabulary that connects critique of extraction to concrete institutional countermeasures. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-104 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Au-**

dit Claim ID: paul-lara-tecnofeudalismo-2026-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-02

1.7.348. Claim C-348. The dossier’s framing partnership with Paul Lara is textually grounded in an exis...

Claim statement: That the dossier’s framing partnership with Paul Lara is textually grounded in an existing public essay sequence rather than improvised after the fact. **Evidence status:**

Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-104 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 09,

Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-tecnofeudalismo-2026-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-cla

1.7.349. Claim C-349. The dossier’s geopolitical-imperial vocabulary has a published co-framing source...

Claim statement: That the dossier’s geopolitical-imperial vocabulary has a published co-framing source in Paul Lara’s writing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source sup-**

port: Source S-105 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:**

paul-lara-war-and-ai-iran-2026-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-01

1.7.350. Claim C-350. Chapter 07 can use a bounded interpretive bridge between AI access politics and e...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 can use a bounded interpretive bridge between AI access politics and energy / war vulnerability without pretending that this column is hard geopolitical proof.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-105 **Appears in chapters:**

Chapter 07, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** paul-lara-war-and-ai-iran-2026-07-export-controls-compute-d

1.7.351. Claim C-351. The co-authorial frame includes a direct argument that frontier-AI buildout is en...

Claim statement: That the co-authorial frame includes a direct argument that frontier-AI buildout is entangled with geopolitical and energy conditions. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-105 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:**

paul-lara-war-and-ai-iran-2026-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-03

1.7.352. Claim C-352. By December 20, 2024 Mark Zuckerberg publicly minimized the Kauai bunker as 'just...

Claim statement: That by December 20, 2024 Mark Zuckerberg publicly minimized the Kauai bunker as 'just a little shelter,' creating a documented contrast between the estate's described features and his own framing of them. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-106 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** people-zuckerberg-little-shelter-2024-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.353. Claim C-353. Cross-national evidence supports the claim that social media is widely associated...

Claim statement: That cross-national evidence supports the claim that social media is widely associated with manipulation, division, and declining civility, even where some respondents still see democratic benefits. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-107 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** pew-social-media-democracy-outlier-2022-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.354. Claim C-354. The United States can be treated as an unusually severe case in the trust-and-fra...

Claim statement: That the United States can be treated as an unusually severe case in the trust-and-fragmentation story rather than as just another generic example. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-107 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** pew-social-media-democracy-outlier-2022-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.355. Claim C-355. Chapter 2 can connect platform-mediated social erosion to democratic trust and ci...

Claim statement: That Chapter 2 can connect platform-mediated social erosion to democratic trust and civic coherence with stronger evidence than youth-harm studies alone provide. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-107 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** pew-social-media-democracy-outlier-2022-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.356. Claim C-356. A major OpenAI training corpus was explicitly built from socially filtered outbou...

Claim statement: That a major OpenAI training corpus was explicitly built from socially filtered outbound links shared on Reddit. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-108 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** radford-gpt2-webtext-2019-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.357. Claim C-357. Chapter 4 can make a direct bridge from social-platform activity to model-trainin...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can make a direct bridge from social-platform activity to model-training inputs instead of speaking only in broad abstractions about "the internet." **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-108 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** radford-gpt2-webtext-2019-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.358. Claim C-358. Training-data pipelines can incorporate unpaid human curation signals even when t...

Claim statement: That training-data pipelines can incorporate unpaid human curation signals even when the final corpus is presented as a neutral technical dataset. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-108 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** radford-gpt2-webtext-2019-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.359. Claim C-359. The CIA chartered In-Q-Tel in February 1999 as a government-sponsored venture-cap...

Claim statement: That the CIA chartered In-Q-Tel in February 1999 as a government-sponsored venture-capital structure. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-109 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reinert-iqt-venture-capitalist-2013-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.360. Claim C-360. In-Q-Tel used CIA-supplied funds to make strategic investments in startup firms d...

Claim statement: That In-Q-Tel used CIA-supplied funds to make strategic investments in startup firms developing technologies of interest to the CIA and the broader intelligence community. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-109 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reinert-iqt-venture-capitalist-2013-11-facebook-t

1.7.361. Claim C-361. Serious legal scholarship treated the In-Q-Tel model as a governance and ethical...

Claim statement: That serious legal scholarship treated the In-Q-Tel model as a governance and ethical controversy rather than a neutral innovation mechanism. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-109 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reinert-iqt-venture-capitalist-2013-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-cl

1.7.362. Claim C-362. By November 23, 2023 there was serious mainstream reporting explicitly linking th...

Claim statement: That by November 23, 2023 there was serious mainstream reporting explicitly linking the board crisis to a letter about an AI breakthrough. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-110 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-qstar-board-warning-2023-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-0

1.7.363. Claim C-363. Chapter 06 can describe the Q* thread as a real reporting trail in the public rec...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can describe the Q* thread as a real reporting trail in the public record rather than a purely fringe rumor. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-110 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-qstar-board-warning-2023-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

1.7.364. Claim C-364. The dossier should treat Q*-related causal claims as reported and contested rather than as settled fact. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-110 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-qstar-board-warning-2023-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-03

Claim statement: That the dossier should treat Q*-related causal claims as reported and contested rather than as settled fact. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-110 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-qstar-board-warning-2023-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-03

1.7.365. Claim C-365. By mid-July 2024 Reuters had published serious reporting about an OpenAI reasoning project under the codename Strawberry. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-111 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

Claim statement: That by mid-July 2024 Reuters had published serious reporting about an OpenAI reasoning project under the codename Strawberry. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-111 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

1.7.366. Claim C-366. The dossier can document a pre-release public reporting trail for reasoning-model development before o1 entered the market. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-111 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

Claim statement: That the dossier can document a pre-release public reporting trail for reasoning-model development before o1 entered the market. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-111 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

1.7.367. Claim C-367. Chapter 06 can connect later reasoning-model branding to an earlier, serious reporting record without overstating certainty about every internal detail. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-111 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can connect later reasoning-model branding to an earlier, serious reporting record without overstating certainty about every internal detail. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-111 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-claim-02

1.7.368. Claim C-368. OpenAI's reasoning launch quickly triggered open replication efforts focused on test-time scaling. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-112 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

Claim statement: That OpenAI's reasoning launch quickly triggered open replication efforts focused on test-time scaling. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-112 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.369. Claim C-369. The paper proposed budget forcing as a simple way to control test-time compute by truncating or extending model thinking. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-112 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

Claim statement: That the paper proposed budget forcing as a simple way to control test-time compute by truncating or extending model thinking. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-112 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.370. Claim C-370. The paper reported benchmark gains substantial enough to challenge simplistic fro...

Claim statement: That the paper reported benchmark gains substantial enough to challenge simplistic frontier-exclusivity narratives. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:**

Source S-112 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-06-ai

1.7.371. Claim C-371. Simon & Schuster's official page for The Sovereign Individual states that the edi...

Claim statement: That Simon & Schuster's official page for The Sovereign Individual states that the edition now features a new preface by Peter Thiel. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-113 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:**

simon-schuster-sovereign-individual-2020-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.372. Claim C-372. The publisher page for the Thiel-prefaced edition excerpts the book's vision of a...

Claim statement: That the publisher page for the Thiel-prefaced edition excerpts the book's vision of a 'cognitive elite' operating outside political boundaries, clarifying the ideological field with which Thiel publicly associated himself. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source**

support: Source S-113 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:**

simon-schuster-sovereign-individual-2020-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

1.7.373. Claim C-373. Pandemic-era remote work was not only temporary improvisation; it reshaped post-p...

Claim statement: That pandemic-era remote work was not only temporary improvisation; it reshaped post-pandemic organizational policy and worker expectations. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact

Source support: Source S-114 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim**

ID: smite-wfh-here-to-stay-2022-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-01

1.7.374. Claim C-374. COVID accelerated a durable normalization of digitally mediated work arrangements

Claim statement: That COVID accelerated a durable normalization of digitally mediated work arrangements. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-114 **Appears in**

chapters: Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** smite-wfh-here-to-stay-2022-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopol

1.7.375. Claim C-375. Chapter 3 can discuss the pandemic as a long-tail institutional accelerator rathe...

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can discuss the pandemic as a long-tail institutional accelerator rather than only as a short emergency episode. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-114 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:**

smite-wfh-here-to-stay-2022-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-03

1.7.376. Claim C-376. Test-time compute scaling is a documented technical mechanism rather than only a...

Claim statement: That test-time compute scaling is a documented technical mechanism rather than only a commercial branding story. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-115 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** snell-test-time-compute-2024-06-ai-dou

1.7.377. Claim C-377. The paper reported a compute-optimal strategy improving test-time compute efficie...

Claim statement: That the paper reported a compute-optimal strategy improving test-time compute efficiency by more than 4x over a best-of-N baseline. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-115 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** snell-test-time-compute-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.378. Claim C-378. Under a FLOPs-matched evaluation the paper found cases where a smaller model usin...

Claim statement: That under a FLOPs-matched evaluation the paper found cases where a smaller model using test-time compute outperformed a model 14x larger. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-115 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** snell-test-time-compute-2024-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.379. Claim C-379. Inference-time reasoning carries real serving and latency overhead rather than be...

Claim statement: That inference-time reasoning carries real serving and latency overhead rather than being only a benchmark abstraction. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-116 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** specreason-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-01

1.7.380. Claim C-380. The paper reported 1.4-3.0x speedups over vanilla large-reasoning-model inference...

Claim statement: That the paper reported 1.4-3.0x speedups over vanilla large-reasoning-model inference while also improving accuracy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-116 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** specreason-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-02

1.7.381. Claim C-381. Chapter 06 can connect reasoning-tier politics to a concrete deployment problem b...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 can connect reasoning-tier politics to a concrete deployment problem because long chains of thought are expensive and slow enough to motivate specialized acceleration techniques. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-116 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** specreason-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

1.7.382. Claim C-382. Serious trade-technology reporting quickly translated the BIS warning into a broa...

Claim statement: That serious trade-technology reporting quickly translated the BIS warning into a broader public narrative about bubble risk. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-117 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** thereg-ai-bubble-bis-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-01

1.7.383. Claim C-383. The AI financing debate had become visible enough by late June 2026 to move from...

Claim statement: That the AI financing debate had become visible enough by late June 2026 to move from specialist financial documents into sector press. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-117 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** thereg-ai-bubble-bis-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim

1.7.384. Claim C-384. The dossier can use this source as contextual support while keeping the BIS repor...

Claim statement: That the dossier can use this source as contextual support while keeping the BIS report as the factual backbone. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-117 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** thereg-ai-bubble-bis-2026-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-claim-03

1.7.385. Claim C-385. A child-directed platform mode can still expose minors to content that the resear...

Claim statement: That a child-directed platform mode can still expose minors to content that the researchers did not classify as child-directed. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-118 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** tiktok-kids-mode-2025-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.386. Claim C-386. Chapter 2 can discuss platform design failures affecting minors with concrete evi...

Claim statement: That Chapter 2 can discuss platform design failures affecting minors with concrete evidence rather than only generalized concern. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-118 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** tiktok-kids-mode-2025-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-02

1.7.387. Claim C-387. Youth-risk arguments in the dossier can include the mismatch between stated safet...

Claim statement: That youth-risk arguments in the dossier can include the mismatch between stated safety framing and actual curation outcomes. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-118 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** tiktok-kids-mode-2025-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-03

1.7.388. Claim C-388. Pandemic-era digital concentration can be documented through a concrete divergenc...

Claim statement: That pandemic-era digital concentration can be documented through a concrete divergence between large tech earnings and small-business stress. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-119 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** time-big-tech-pandemic-gains-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-01

1.7.389. Claim C-389. Chapter 3 can discuss COVID as an accelerator of already dominant digital interme...

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can discuss COVID as an accelerator of already dominant digital intermediaries rather than only as a public-health disruption. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-119 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** time-big-tech-pandemic-gains-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

1.7.390. Claim C-390. The metaverse growth narrative emerged in a moment when platform incumbents were...

Claim statement: That the metaverse growth narrative emerged in a moment when platform incumbents were already benefiting from pandemic-era asymmetry. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-119 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** time-big-tech-pandemic-gains-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-03

1.7.391. Claim C-391. Trust in social media is a serious research problem with enough literature to sup...

Claim statement: That trust in social media is a serious research problem with enough literature to support systematic synthesis. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-120 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** trust-social-media-systematic-review-2023-02-s

1.7.392. Claim C-392. Trust in platforms, users, and content should not be treated as interchangeable c...

Claim statement: That trust in platforms, users, and content should not be treated as interchangeable concepts inside the dossier. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-120 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** trust-social-media-systematic-review-2

1.7.393. Claim C-393. Chapter 2 can discuss erosion of trust and social interpretation with scholarly s...

Claim statement: That Chapter 2 can discuss erosion of trust and social interpretation with scholarly support, while still keeping conceptual precision. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-120 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** trust-social-media-systematic-review-2023-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-0

1.7.394. Claim C-394. Pandemic dependence on digital platforms was not limited to remote work

Claim statement: That pandemic dependence on digital platforms was not limited to remote work.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-121 **Appears in chapters:**

Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** tsao-social-media-covid-scoping-review-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and

1.7.395. Claim C-395. Social media became a critical layer of mediation for health information, public...

Claim statement: That social media became a critical layer of mediation for health information, public interpretation, mental-health discourse, and misinformation management during the first COVID wave.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-121 **Appears in**

chapters: Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** tsao-social-media-covid-scoping-review-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-03

1.7.396. Claim C-396. Chapter 3 can support claims about platform-mediated pandemic life with evidence...

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can support claims about platform-mediated pandemic life with evidence broader than workplace digitization alone.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-121 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:**

tsao-social-media-covid-scoping-review-2021-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-03

1.7.397. Claim C-397. The dossier has a coherent thesis about how weights condense previously captured...

Claim statement: That the dossier has a coherent thesis about how weights condense previously captured human labor.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-122 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:**

twinchat-ai-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.398. Claim C-398. There is a clear argumentative line linking AI, property, and enclosure

Claim statement: That there is a clear argumentative line linking AI, property, and enclosure.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-122 **Appears in chapters:**

Chapter 00, Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** twinchat-ai-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.399. Claim C-399. There is a consistent argumentative line for reading surveillance, social deterioration...

Claim statement: That there is a consistent argumentative line for reading surveillance, social deterioration, and AI within a single political framework.

Evidence status: Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-123 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 01, Chapter 04,

Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** twinchat-ai-ruining-your-life-claim-01

1.7.400. Claim C-400. The dossier will not be a simple collection of scandals, but an integrated theory...

Claim statement: That the dossier will not be a simple collection of scandals, but an integrated theory of the process. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-123 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 01, Chapter 04, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** twinchat-ai-ruining-your-life-claim-02

1.7.401. Claim C-401. A primary U.S. education authority already treated AI-mediated learning as a gove...

Claim statement: That a primary U.S. education authority already treated AI-mediated learning as a governance question rather than as a neutral productivity upgrade. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-124 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-01

1.7.402. Claim C-402. Institutional guidance had already identified bias, privacy, safety, and over-del...

Claim statement: That institutional guidance had already identified bias, privacy, safety, and over-delegation as central risks in educational AI adoption. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-124 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-02

1.7.403. Claim C-403. Chapter 09 can ground dependency and cognitive-mediation concerns in official edu...

Claim statement: That Chapter 09 can ground dependency and cognitive-mediation concerns in official educational governance language. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-124 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023-09-future-if-unchecked-claim-03

1.7.404. Claim C-404. An institutional response agenda can legitimately include cognitive literacy, hum...

Claim statement: That an institutional response agenda can legitimately include cognitive literacy, human oversight, and training rather than relying on consumer self-control alone. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-124 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-04

1.7.405. Claim C-405. The response layer in Chapter 10 can draw on primary public guidance for educatio...

Claim statement: That the response layer in Chapter 10 can draw on primary public guidance for educational governance under AI. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-124 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-02

1.7.406. Claim C-406. Defensive measures around attention, judgment, and delegated cognition are compat...

Claim statement: That defensive measures around attention, judgment, and delegated cognition are compatible with mainstream institutional guidance. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-124 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-03

1.7.407. Claim C-407. By August 2023 the U.S. Copyright Office had already elevated AI training inputs...

Claim statement: That by August 2023 the U.S. Copyright Office had already elevated AI training inputs and disclosure questions into a formal federal inquiry. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-125 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** usco-ai-noi-2023-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.408. Claim C-408. The legal and policy debate around training data was not retrofitted later; it wa...

Claim statement: That the legal and policy debate around training data was not retrofitted later; it was a live official issue near the start of the generative AI boom. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-125 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** usco-ai-noi-2023-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-02

1.7.409. Claim C-409. Chapter 4 can document a formal institutional path from open questions about AI t...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can document a formal institutional path from open questions about AI training to later official analysis and policy conflict. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-125 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** usco-ai-noi-2023-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.410. Claim C-410. The U.S. Copyright Office treats AI training on copyrighted works as a major live...

Claim statement: That the U.S. Copyright Office treats AI training on copyrighted works as a major live legal and policy problem rather than a settled matter. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-126 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** usco-ai-training-report-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-01

1.7.411. Claim C-411. Official analysis recognizes copyrighted creative works as central inputs to gene...

Claim statement: That official analysis recognizes copyrighted creative works as central inputs to generative AI development. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-126 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** usco-ai-training-report-2025-04-data-to-models-co

1.7.412. Claim C-412. Chapter 4 can ground its argument about training-data appropriation and licensing...

Claim statement: That Chapter 4 can ground its argument about training-data appropriation and licensing conflict in a primary U.S. government source. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-126 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** usco-ai-training-report-2025-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-claim-03

1.7.413. Claim C-413. By May 15, 2026, the AI legitimacy field had expanded into a formal Vatican encyc...

Claim statement: That by May 15, 2026, the AI legitimacy field had expanded into a formal Vatican encyclical rather than remaining confined to industry, policy, or technical discourse. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-127 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vatican-magnifica-humanitas-2026-08-power-networks-legitima

1.7.414. Claim C-414. A primary moral-religious authority explicitly treated concentration of digital p...

Claim statement: That a primary moral-religious authority explicitly treated concentration of digital power, hidden labor, and AI-enabled war as matters of public ethics and institutional responsibility. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-127 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vatican-magnifica-humanitas-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.415. Claim C-415. Chapter 08 can document legitimacy formation through religious and civilizational...

Claim statement: That Chapter 08 can document legitimacy formation through religious and civilizational authority without falling into conspiratorial overreach. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-127 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vatican-magnifica-humanitas-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.416. Claim C-416. Chapters 09 and 10 can cite a major normative institution when discussing concent...

Claim statement: That Chapters 09 and 10 can cite a major normative institution when discussing concentration, dehumanization, and the need for response beyond consumer choice. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-127 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vatican-magnifica-humanitas-2026-08-power-networks-legit

1.7.417. Claim C-417. Refactoring Section 230 for sovereign-scale platforms requires treating platforms...

Claim statement: That refactoring Section 230 for sovereign-scale platforms requires treating platforms as ordinary publishers for every user utterance regardless of design, amplification,

targeting, or monetization. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-063 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector01-publisher-liability-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.418. Claim C-418. Sovereign-scale platforms should be regulated on the basis of design, amplificati...

Claim statement: That sovereign-scale platforms should be regulated on the basis of design, amplification, targeting, monetization, moderation architecture, and systemic reach rather than passive-hosting logic. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-063, Source S-079 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector01-section230-sovereign-scale-reform-claim-01

1.7.419. Claim C-419. Documented youth exposure, recommendation amplification, trust erosion, and fragm...

Claim statement: That documented youth exposure, recommendation amplification, trust erosion, and fragmentation support a sovereign-scale duty framework under a refactored Section 230 focused on design, exposure, and systemic harm. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-069, Source S-107 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector02-design-duty-section230-claim-01

1.7.420. Claim C-420. Platform optimization alone fully explains contemporary moral decline, every yout...

Claim statement: That platform optimization alone fully explains contemporary moral decline, every youth pathology, or every downstream cultural change associated with digital life. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-069 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** vector02-total-moral-decline-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.421. Claim C-421. COVID can be treated as a historic accelerator of digital dependence, mediation,...

Claim statement: That COVID can be treated as a historic accelerator of digital dependence, mediation, and concentration without claiming that the pandemic sequence itself was intentionally engineered. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-114, Source S-119, Source S-121 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** vector03-acceleration-without-intent-claim-01

1.7.422. Claim C-422. Documented pandemic acceleration effects alone prove COVID-19 was a deliberate ge...

Claim statement: That documented pandemic acceleration effects alone prove COVID-19 was a deliberate geopolitical operation or “Cold War act”. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-086 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** vector03-covid-cold-war-act-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.423. Claim C-423. The balance of probability between zoonotic spillover and laboratory-related orig...

Claim statement: That the balance of probability between zoonotic spillover and laboratory-related origin remains contested across official and expert communities. **Evidence status:** Disputed Fact **Source support:** Source S-086 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** vector03-origin-balance-contested-claim-01

1.7.424. Claim C-424. Dominant intermediaries can consolidate value and pricing power by controlling th...

Claim statement: That dominant intermediaries can consolidate value and pricing power by controlling the access layer through which users reach collectively produced goods, even when they did not produce the underlying material. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-108, Source S-024, Source S-077, Source S-123 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** vector04-access-layer-power-claim-01

1.7.425. Claim C-425. The AI prompt box, chatbot, or subscription layer can be read as the new shelf or...

Claim statement: That the AI prompt box, chatbot, or subscription layer can be read as the new shelf or point of sale of intelligence because it mediates access to capabilities condensed from collective human production. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-108, Source S-024, Source S-077, Source S-123 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** vector04-interface-as-shelf-claim-01

1.7.426. Claim C-426. Current legal uncertainty or congressional uptake already proves frontier AI weig...

Claim statement: That current legal uncertainty or congressional uptake already proves frontier AI weights are legally settled as patrimony, public trust property, or common heritage. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-070, Source S-126 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector04-patrimony-already-settled-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.427. Claim C-427. The supermarket / shelf analogy is itself established as a documented fact by the...

Claim statement: That the supermarket / shelf analogy is itself established as a documented fact by the legal or regulatory record rather than as a bounded interpretation built on that record. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-126, Source S-024, Source S-077 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Claim ID:** vector04-shelf-analogy-overproof-claim-01

1.7.428. Claim C-428. Whether existing copyright and fair-use doctrine can absorb frontier-model traini...

Claim statement: That whether existing copyright and fair-use doctrine can absorb frontier-model training without consent or compensation remains actively contested across agencies, courts, and litigants. **Evidence status:** Disputed Fact **Source support:** Source S-126, Source S-035 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector04-training-legality-contested-claim-01

1.7.429. Claim C-429. Frontier AI weights can be read as condensed claims over collectively produced hu...

Claim statement: That frontier AI weights can be read as condensed claims over collectively produced human expression and therefore justify patrimony-oriented governance. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-122, Source S-126, Source S-070 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector04-weights-patrimony-governance-claim-01

1.7.430. Claim C-430. AI alone caused the post-pandemic tech layoff cycle or fully explains wage compre...

Claim statement: That AI alone caused the post-pandemic tech layoff cycle or fully explains wage compression and labor insecurity across the sector. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-075, Source S-013, Source S-036 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Claim ID:** vector05-ai-alone-caused-layoffs-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.431. Claim C-431. AI was often used as a legitimizing narrative layered on top of an existing struc...

Claim statement: That AI was often used as a legitimizing narrative layered on top of an existing structural correction driven by overhiring, rates, margin pressure, and tax-treatment shifts rather than as the sole cause of the cuts. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-075, Source S-082, Source S-055, Source S-036 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector05-legitimizing-narrative-synthesis-claim-01

1.7.432. Claim C-432. Open reasoning papers or public model releases eliminate the politics of compute...

Claim statement: That open reasoning papers or public model releases eliminate the politics of compute concentration, distribution control, or institutional gatekeeping around frontier AI. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-049, Source S-048 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** vector06-open-publication-solves-control-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.433. Claim C-433. Frontier labs are turning reasoning into a premium political-economic category by...

Claim statement: That frontier labs are turning reasoning into a premium political-economic category by coupling genuine capability gains to danger rhetoric, controlled release, and tiered strategic positioning. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-095, Source S-060 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector06-reasoning-premium-control-claim-01

1.7.434. Claim C-434. Whether simple budget-forcing style replications capture the same scaling mechani...

Claim statement: That whether simple budget-forcing style replications capture the same scaling mechanism as o1-like or R1-like reasoning remains contested. **Evidence status:** Disputed Fact **Source support:** Source S-112, Source S-076 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Claim ID:** vector06-simple-replication-contested-claim-01

1.7.435. Claim C-435. Current official pricing and launch records from OpenAI and Anthropic show reason...

Claim statement: That current official pricing and launch records from OpenAI and Anthropic show reasoning capability being commercialized through tiered plans, priority access, and premium API pricing rather than released as an equal-access utility. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-039, Source S-088, Source S-005, Source S-003 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector06-tiered-reasoning-access-synthesis-claim-01

1.7.436. Claim C-436. Chapter 06 is strongest when it argues that reasoning tiers package a real comput...

Claim statement: That Chapter 06 is strongest when it argues that reasoning tiers package a real compute-intensive technical mechanism inside a commercial and political access regime rather than treating the whole phenomenon as fake. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-115, Source S-095, Source S-039, Source S-088 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector06-ttc-real-but-packaged-claim-01

1.7.437. Claim C-437. Current official records show frontier labs and adjacent platform firms building...

Claim statement: That current official records show frontier labs and adjacent platform firms building formal government-facing channels through secure offerings, classified-environment access, accredited cloud stacks, and even military personnel embedding rather than engaging the state only through lobbying or abstract policy rhetoric. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-090, Source S-004, Source S-098, Source S-026 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector07-formal-government-interface-synthesis-claim-01

1.7.438. Claim C-438. Firms benefiting from export-control protection, strategic state access, or privi...

Claim statement: That firms benefiting from export-control protection, strategic state access, or privileged public coordination should face stronger transparency and public-obligation duties than ordinary software vendors. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-130, Source S-096, Source S-037 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector07-public-obligations-for-protected-firms-claim-01

1.7.439. Claim C-439. Export controls, hyperscaler dependence, and defense adjacency prove total state...

Claim statement: That export controls, hyperscaler dependence, and defense adjacency prove total state ownership or seamless command over every frontier-model training, deployment, or access pathway. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-037, Source S-002 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector07-total-state-command-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.440. Claim C-440. Bunker ownership, survival planning, or retreat architecture prove elite foreknow...

Claim statement: That bunker ownership, survival planning, or retreat architecture prove elite foreknowledge of imminent collapse or that frontier firms are knowingly engineering it. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-083, Source S-133, Source S-106, Source S-066, Source S-058 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-bunker-foreknowledge-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.441. Claim C-441. By June 2025 official records documented frontier AI firms and adjacent partners...

Claim statement: That by June 2025 official records documented frontier AI firms and adjacent partners operating government-only offerings, classified-environment distribution channels, and direct military personnel interfaces rather than engaging the state only through lobbying or public rhetoric. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-090, Source S-004, Source S-098, Source S-026 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-formal-state-interface-documented-claim-01

1.7.442. Claim C-442. Elite overlap among frontier labs, venture capital, lobbying networks, and defens...

Claim statement: That elite overlap among frontier labs, venture capital, lobbying networks, and defense-adjacent institutions proves a single hidden command chain. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-074, Source S-021, Source S-027 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-hidden-command-chain-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.443. Claim C-443. The precise degree of direct OpenAI alignment behind LEADING THE FUTURE remains c...

Claim statement: That the precise degree of direct OpenAI alignment behind LEADING THE FUTURE remains contested beyond the current FEC filing record and should remain bounded to the Axios reporting layer. **Evidence status:** Disputed Fact **Source support:** Source S-030, Source S-053 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-leading-the-future-linkage-bounded-claim-01

1.7.444. Claim C-444. Frontier legitimacy is increasingly stabilized through a combination of mission r...

Claim statement: That frontier legitimacy is increasingly stabilized through a combination of mission rhetoric, elite board composition, security credentials, policy access, and political spending. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-094, Source S-021, Source S-027, Source S-053 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-legitimacy-stabilized-through-institutions-claim-01

1.7.445. Claim C-445. The legitimacy field around frontier AI is now reinforced not only by boards, pol...

Claim statement: That the legitimacy field around frontier AI is now reinforced not only by boards, policy essays, and lobbying, but by operational state interfaces that normalize privileged access and public-private dependence. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-090, Source S-004, Source S-098, Source S-026, Source S-096 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-legitimacy-through-operational-state-interfaces-claim-01

1.7.446. Claim C-446. Founder philanthropy or public warnings about concentration prove elite foreknowl...

Claim statement: That founder philanthropy or public warnings about concentration prove elite foreknowledge of imminent collapse or absolve frontier firms of responsibility. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-047 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-philanthropy-foreknowledge-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.447. Claim C-447. The strongest bounded reading of elite fallback architecture is a revealed-prefer...

Claim statement: That the strongest bounded reading of elite fallback architecture is a revealed-preference gap between public stewardship language and private survivability hedging. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-083, Source S-133, Source S-106, Source S-058 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-revealed-preference-gap-claim-01

1.7.448. Claim C-448. Formal government-only model channels, classified distribution stacks, or militar...

Claim statement: That formal government-only model channels, classified distribution stacks, or military personnel embedding by themselves prove unlawful capture or total hidden state control over frontier AI firms. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-090, Source S-004, Source S-098, Source S-026 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector08-state-interface-hidden-control-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.449. Claim C-449. The continuity scenario includes asymmetry of fallback and exit, with elite actor...

Claim statement: That the continuity scenario includes asymmetry of fallback and exit, with elite actors purchasing redundancy while ordinary users are asked to normalize dependence. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-083, Source S-133, Source S-106, Source S-066, Source S-058, Source S-050 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector09-asymmetric-fallback-continuity-claim-01

1.7.450. Claim C-450. If current platform habits, labor structures, and compute chokepoints continue re...

Claim statement: That if current platform habits, labor structures, and compute chokepoints continue reinforcing one another, the most credible continuity scenario is dependency, access hierarchy, and weaker cognitive sovereignty. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-065, Source S-071, Source S-040, Source S-047 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector09-continuity-scenario-dependency-hierarchy-claim-01

1.7.451. Claim C-451. The continuity scenario is a fixed prophecy of inevitable collapse rather than a...

Claim statement: That the continuity scenario is a fixed prophecy of inevitable collapse rather than a political trajectory that could still be altered by institutional reform. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-036, Source S-127 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector09-inevitable-collapse-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.452. Claim C-452. Frontier firms receiving material public support, state coordination, export-cont...

Claim statement: That frontier firms receiving material public support, state coordination, export-control protection, or national-security access should face heightened transparency, audit, conflict-of-interest, procurement-visibility, and exclusive-benefit limits. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-096, Source S-010, Source S-037 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector10-anti-capture-public-obligations-claim-01

1.7.453. Claim C-453. Individual digital hygiene, consumer choice, or personal restraint alone can solv...

Claim statement: That individual digital hygiene, consumer choice, or personal restraint alone can solve sovereign-scale platform harm, enclosure of frontier weights, or opaque state-company entanglement without structural reform. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-124, Source S-001 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector10-personal-hygiene-alone-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.454. Claim C-454. Voluntary elite philanthropy or self-limitation can substitute for structural ref...

Claim statement: That voluntary elite philanthropy or self-limitation can substitute for structural reform of platform power, frontier-weight ownership, and state-company capture. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-047, Source S-127 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector10-philanthropy-substitute-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.455. Claim C-455. Sovereign-scale platforms should face a Section 230 framework keyed to systemic r...

Claim statement: That sovereign-scale platforms should face a Section 230 framework keyed to systemic reach, design, amplification, targeting, monetization, moderation architecture, and measurable harm rather than passive-hosting logic alone. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-063, Source S-069 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector10-section230-reform-doctrine-claim-01

1.7.456. Claim C-456. Cognitive literacy, labor organization, competition policy, and public-interest i...

Claim statement: That cognitive literacy, labor organization, competition policy, and public-interest infrastructure should function as implementation arms of the dossier's three reforms rather than as disconnected options. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-124, Source S-134, Source S-001, Source S-063 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector10-three-reforms-implementation-arms-claim-01

1.7.457. Claim C-457. Frontier AI weights trained on collectively produced human expression justify a p...

Claim statement: That frontier AI weights trained on collectively produced human expression justify a patrimony-oriented governance response including public-trust logic, anti-monopoly limits, democratic oversight, and restrictions on exclusive commercialization. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-126, Source S-070, Source S-122 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** vector10-weights-patrimony-response-claim-01

1.7.458. Claim C-458. Intelligence adjacency or early-investor overlap alone proves direct CIA control...

Claim statement: That intelligence adjacency or early-investor overlap alone proves direct CIA control of Facebook. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-074, Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-direct-cia-control-facebook-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.459. Claim C-459. The Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record supports a bounded argument about net...

Claim statement: That the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record supports a bounded argument about networked governance continuity between surveillance platforms, venture capital, and security-state technology ecosystems. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-052, Source S-080, Source S-097, Source S-074 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-networked-adjacency-synthesis-claim-01

1.7.460. Claim C-460. Peter Thiel's New Zealand retreat logic, ideological associations, and political...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel's New Zealand retreat logic, ideological associations, and political ties prove a unified hidden command chain linking platform governance, Palantir, and civilizational collapse planning. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-066, Source S-058, Source S-113, Source S-025 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-prepper-command-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.461. Claim C-461. The strongest reading of the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record is a structu...

Claim statement: That the strongest reading of the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record is a structured adjacency model built through board power, venture sponsorship, and government contracting rather than evidence of covert command. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-052, Source S-080, Source S-074, Source S-109, Source S-099, Source S-129, Source S-131 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-structured-adjacency-not-command-claim-01

1.7.462. Claim C-462. The Meta rebrand by itself proves a singular covert intent to erase Cambridge Ana...

Claim statement: That the Meta rebrand by itself proves a singular covert intent to erase Cambridge Analytica from public memory or that the metaverse pivot was primarily a cover-up operation. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-064, Source S-081 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** vector12-coverup-intent-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.463. Claim C-463. The Meta rebrand and metaverse cycle can be read as a narrative and capital-alloc...

Claim statement: That the Meta rebrand and metaverse cycle can be read as a narrative and capital-allocation redirection after a reputational-regulatory rupture, even if the precise intent behind the timing cannot be proved conclusively. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-064, Source S-081, Source S-079, Source S-056 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** vector12-rebrand-redirection-synthesis-claim-01

1.7.464. Claim C-464. OpenAI's governance rupture, safety rhetoric, leadership churn, and reasoning-mod...

Claim statement: That OpenAI's governance rupture, safety rhetoric, leadership churn, and reasoning-model rollout are best read as parts of one reorganization of authority rather than as isolated episodes. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-092, Source S-089, Source S-111, Source S-059 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector13-governance-productization-synthesis-claim-01

1.7.465. Claim C-465. The public record does not settle whether Q* or any specific capability breakthro...

Claim statement: That the public record does not settle whether Q* or any specific capability breakthrough directly triggered the November 2023 OpenAI board rupture. **Evidence status:** Disputed Fact **Source support:** Source S-110, Source S-092 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector13-qstar-causality-contested-claim-01

1.7.466. Claim C-466. One secret breakthrough, letter, or hidden internal discovery fully explains the...

Claim statement: That one secret breakthrough, letter, or hidden internal discovery fully explains the OpenAI governance crisis, later product strategy, and subsequent talent exodus by itself. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-110, Source S-111 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector13-secret-trigger-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.467. Claim C-467. Elite overlap, litigation conflict, or reputational controversy around named indi...

Claim statement: That elite overlap, litigation conflict, or reputational controversy around named individuals justifies guilt by association without direct structural proof. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Source S-045, Source S-019 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector14-guilt-by-association-overclaim-claim-01

1.7.468. Claim C-468. Allegations advanced by named actors in OpenAI governance disputes are procedural...

Claim statement: That allegations advanced by named actors in OpenAI governance disputes are procedurally live and politically relevant without being established as true. **Evidence status:** Disputed Fact **Source support:** Source S-045, Source S-019 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector14-live-allegations-not-established-claim-01

1.7.469. Claim C-469. Named-individual disputes should enter the dossier only when they clarify governa...

Claim statement: That named-individual disputes should enter the dossier only when they clarify governance structure, institutional control, or legal architecture rather than functioning as scandal collage. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Source S-045, Source S-020, Source S-017 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** vector14-structural-relevance-synthesis-claim-01

1.7.470. Claim C-470. Large-scale platform social organization can be measured as fragmented and politi...

Claim statement: That large-scale platform social organization can be measured as fragmented and politically polarized rather than discussed only impressionistically. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-128 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** waller-anderson-online-polarization-2020-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.471. Claim C-471. Chapter 2 can move beyond youth harm alone and document broader social fragmentat...

Claim statement: That Chapter 2 can move beyond youth harm alone and document broader social fragmentation as a platform-structured phenomenon. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-128 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** waller-anderson-online-polarization-2020-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.472. Claim C-472. The strongest version of the argument should focus on system-level polarization d...

Claim statement: That the strongest version of the argument should focus on system-level polarization dynamics, not a simplistic claim that every individual user became equally radicalized. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-128 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Claim ID:** waller-anderson-online-polarization-2020-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-claim-01

1.7.473. Claim C-473. By December 4, 2025 a serious T2 source described Palantir as a major government...

Claim statement: That by December 4, 2025 a serious T2 source described Palantir as a major government contractor whose software was being used in ICE enforcement and other U.S. government operations. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:**

Source S-129 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wapo-palantir-explainer-2025-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.474. Claim C-474. Palantir was co-founded in 2003 by Peter Thiel, Alex Karp, Joe Lonsdale, Nathan G...

Claim statement: That Palantir was co-founded in 2003 by Peter Thiel, Alex Karp, Joe Lonsdale, Nathan Gettings, and Stephen Cohen, and that its seed funding included \$2 million from a CIA incubator. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-129 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wapo-palantir-explainer-2025-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

1.7.475. Claim C-475. Peter Thiel remained Palantir’s board chair in 2025 while the same source identif...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel remained Palantir’s board chair in 2025 while the same source identified him as an early Facebook backer. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-129 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wapo-palantir-explainer-2025-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-03

1.7.476. Claim C-476. The dossier can document continuity between Thiel’s platform-era influence and a...

Claim statement: That the dossier can document continuity between Thiel’s platform-era influence and a company embedded in government enforcement and defense contracting without relying on unsupported association chains. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-129 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wapo-palantir-explainer-2025-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-04

1.7.477. Claim C-477. AI dominance was elevated to explicit state doctrine in 2025

Claim statement: That AI dominance was elevated to explicit state doctrine in 2025. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-130 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-0

1.7.478. Claim C-478. Deregulatory and dominance-oriented state framing can serve as an external source...

Claim statement: That deregulatory and dominance-oriented state framing can serve as an external source of legitimacy for frontier firms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-130 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.479. Claim C-479. Chapter 8 can ground the idea of institutional capture or mutual reinforcement in...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can ground the idea of institutional capture or mutual reinforcement in official state language rather than metaphor alone. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-130 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Audit Claim ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

1.7.480. Claim C-480. Competition over AI was officially framed by the U.S. government in terms of glob...

Claim statement: That competition over AI was officially framed by the U.S. government in terms of global dominance, economic competitiveness, and national security. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-130 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-claim-01

1.7.481. Claim C-481. There was an explicit turn toward a more deregulatory line aimed at removing inte...

Claim statement: That there was an explicit turn toward a more deregulatory line aimed at removing internal barriers for U.S. actors. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-130 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-claim-02

1.7.482. Claim C-482. The 2025 state framework directly connected AI policy, national security, and adm...

Claim statement: That the 2025 state framework directly connected AI policy, national security, and administrative reordering. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-130 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-claim-03

1.7.483. Claim C-483. An archival source described In-Q-Tel both as a CIA-linked venture structure and...

Claim statement: That an archival source described In-Q-Tel both as a CIA-linked venture structure and as a private company with its own board operating under government contract. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-131 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wired-in-q-tel-cia-2002-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.484. Claim C-484. Vector 11 can document legal-form boundedness and intelligence adjacency in the s...

Claim statement: That vector 11 can document legal-form boundedness and intelligence adjacency in the same source rather than relying on one-sided retrospective framing. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-131 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wired-in-q-tel-cia-2002-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

1.7.485. Claim C-485. By August 23, 2002 In-Q-Tel was already publicly described as a substantial bridg...

Claim statement: That by August 23, 2002 In-Q-Tel was already publicly described as a substantial bridge institution evaluating thousands of business plans and establishing strategic relationships with more than 20 companies. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-131 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wired-in-q-tel-cia-2002-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-03

1.7.486. Claim C-486. By late 2024 there was a well-documented public narrative of repeated senior depa...

Claim statement: That by late 2024 there was a well-documented public narrative of repeated senior departures from OpenAI. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-132 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wired-openai-talent-exodus-2024-claim-01

1.7.487. Claim C-487. Mainstream reporting connected those exits to commercialization pressure and chan...

Claim statement: That mainstream reporting connected those exits to commercialization pressure and changing hiring priorities. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-132 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wired-openai-talent-exodus-2024-claim-02

1.7.488. Claim C-488. Vector 13 can be treated as a governance-and-talent pattern rather than a one-wee...

Claim statement: That vector 13 can be treated as a governance-and-talent pattern rather than a one-week board scandal. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-132 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Claim ID:** wired-openai-talent-exodus-2024-claim-03

1.7.489. Claim C-489. WIRED reported on December 14, 2023 that Mark Zuckerberg's Kauai compound include...

Claim statement: That WIRED reported on December 14, 2023 that Mark Zuckerberg's Kauai compound included a 5,000-square-foot underground shelter connected by tunnel, with living space, a mechanical room, and an escape hatch. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-133 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** wired-zuckerberg-hawaii-compound-2023-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

1.7.490. Claim C-490. WIRED reported strict NDAs, crew separation, self-sufficiency features, and secre...

Claim statement: That WIRED reported strict NDAs, crew separation, self-sufficiency features, and secrecy controls around the Kauai project that resembled secure-installation practices more

than ordinary private construction. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-133 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** wired-zuckerberg-hawaii-compound-2023-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

1.7.491. Claim C-491. Workers can be treated as governance actors in AI deployment rather than only as...

Claim statement: That workers can be treated as governance actors in AI deployment rather than only as subjects of managerial adoption. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-134 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** workers-role-ai-ethics-governance-2021-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-01

1.7.492. Claim C-492. Chapter 10 can legitimately include bargaining, protected reporting, and workplac...

Claim statement: That Chapter 10 can legitimately include bargaining, protected reporting, and workplace consultation as part of a serious response agenda. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-134 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** workers-role-ai-ethics-governance-2021-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-claim-02

1.7.493. Claim C-493. Labor organization belongs inside AI governance discussions, not outside them

Claim statement: That labor organization belongs inside AI governance discussions, not outside them. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-134 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Claim ID:** workers-role-ai-ethics-governance-2021-10-counterstrategy-respon

1.7.494. Claim C-494. COVID forced a historically abrupt transition into remote, digitally mediated wor...

Claim statement: That COVID forced a historically abrupt transition into remote, digitally mediated work for large numbers of information workers. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-135 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** yang-wfh-collaboration-2020-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-01

1.7.495. Claim C-495. Pandemic-era mediation cannot be reduced to one simple effect; lockdown condition...

Claim statement: That pandemic-era mediation cannot be reduced to one simple effect; lockdown conditions and work-from-home conditions must be analytically separated. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-135 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** yang-wfh-collaboration-2020-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-02

1.7.496. Claim C-496. Chapter 3 can discuss a documented reorganization of communication patterns durin...

Claim statement: That Chapter 3 can discuss a documented reorganization of communication patterns during the pandemic rather than only making a general claim about “more online life.” **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Source S-135 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Claim ID:** yang-wfh-collaboration-2020-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-claim-03

1.8. Entity Register

1.8.1. Entity E-001. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Entity type: government_agency **Relevance:** Government-enforcement actor used in the dossier as a concrete example of Palantir software operating inside domestic surveillance and deportation infrastructure. **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Source support:** Source S-129 **Audit Entity ID:** agency-ice

1.8.2. Entity E-002. AI compute chokepoints

Entity type: infrastructure **Relevance:** Infrastructure layer for explaining access hierarchy and capability concentration. **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Source support:** Source S-040 **Audit Entity ID:** concept-ai-compute-chokepoint

1.8.3. Entity E-003. Digital public infrastructure

Entity type: governance_framework **Relevance:** Framework for public-interest AI infrastructure, interoperability, and civic-service design. **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **Source support:** Source S-001 **Audit Entity ID:** concept-digital-public-infrastructure

1.8.4. Entity E-004. Test-time compute scaling

Entity type: technical_mechanism **Relevance:** Technical mechanism central to the dossier’s reasoning chapter because it explains why some premium reasoning layers may reflect real compute intensity while still being commercially packaged and politically rationed. **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **Source support:** Source S-115, Source S-112, Source S-076 **Audit Entity ID:** concept-test-time-compute-scaling

1.8.5. Entity E-005. Workers as AI governance actors

Entity type: labor **Relevance:** Framework actor for labor bargaining, whistleblowing, and workplace consultation in AI deployment. **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **Source support:** Source S-134 **Audit Entity ID:** group-workers-ai-governance

1.8.6. Entity E-006. Amazon

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Relevant to the access-control vector as a hyperscaler, investor, and infrastructure provider whose relationship with Anthropic documents capital-plus-cloud

dependence in frontier AI. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **Source support:** Source S-002 **Audit Entity ID:** org-amazon

1.8.7. Entity E-007. Andreessen Horowitz

Entity type: investment_firm **Relevance:** Relevant to the legitimacy and policy-influence vector through its ties to AI political spending and industry-backed national-framework advocacy. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-030 **Audit Entity ID:** org-andreessen-horowitz

1.8.8. Entity E-008. Anthropic

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Central actor in access control, safety rhetoric, state relations, lobbying escalation, hyperscaler dependence, government-restricted model channels, and the unresolved legal conflict over how frontier AI systems are trained on copyrighted material. **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights, Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek, Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Source support:** Source S-009, Source S-035, Source S-006, Source S-007, Source S-027, Source S-002, Source S-005, Source S-003, Source S-004, Source S-098 **Audit Entity ID:** org-anthropic

1.8.9. Entity E-009. Amazon Web Services

Entity type: cloud_provider **Relevance:** Primary hyperscaler infrastructure layer for documenting cloud concentration, chip dependence, and compute chokepoints in frontier model development. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **Source support:** Source S-002 **Audit Entity ID:** org-aws

1.8.10. Entity E-010. Bureau of Industry and Security

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Primary institutional actor for export-control implementation, advanced-computing licensing, and the legal chokepoints behind U.S. frontier-access policy. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **Source support:** Source S-037 **Audit Entity ID:** org-bis

1.8.11. Entity E-011. Build American AI

Entity type: nonprofit **Relevance:** Affiliated nonprofit in the electoral-policy layer around AI governance and national-framework advocacy. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-030 **Audit Entity ID:** org-build-american-ai

1.8.12. Entity E-012. Central Intelligence Agency

Entity type: government_agency **Relevance:** Core state actor for the dossier's bounded account of venture-state technology adjacency through the In-Q-Tel model and related strategic-technology demand. **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Source support:** Source S-109, Source S-074, Source S-131 **Audit Entity ID:** org-cia

1.8.13. Entity E-013. DeepSeek

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Key actor for the reasoning, efficiency, open-release, and open-versus-closed competition vector. **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek, Export controls, compute, and defense **Source support:** Source S-049, Source S-048 **Audit Entity ID:** org-deepseek

1.8.14. Entity E-014. U.S. Department of Defense Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Office

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Formal institutional interface for the OpenAI for Government pilot and a key node in the dossier's anti-capture analysis of how frontier labs enter U.S. defense workflows. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-090 **Audit Entity ID:** org-dod-cdao

1.8.15. Entity E-015. Facebook / Meta

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Central actor for commercial surveillance, Cambridge Analytica, rebranding, data monetization, AI training conflict, pandemic-era windfall narratives, and the long continuity of elite board governance. **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture, COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena, From human data to models and weights, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the meta-verse diversion **Source support:** Source S-064, Source S-052, Source S-080, Source S-081, Source S-011, Source S-056, Source S-012, Source S-078, Source S-073, Source S-119 **Audit Entity ID:** org-facebook

1.8.16. Entity E-016. Federal Election Commission

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Primary institutional source for committee registration, filings, and financial records in the electoral-influence layer of the dossier. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-053 **Audit Entity ID:** org-fec

1.8.17. Entity E-017. Federal Trade Commission

Entity type: regulator **Relevance:** Regulatory anchor for the commercial-surveillance vector and for the Facebook privacy and data-governance enforcement record that underpins the dossier's early platform chapter. **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **Source support:** Source S-063, Source S-064 **Audit Entity ID:** org-ftc

1.8.18. Entity E-018. Google

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Critical bridge actor in the dossier for turning public conversational archives into frontier-AI training and search-product inputs. **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Source support:** Source S-024 **Audit Entity ID:** org-google

1.8.19. Entity E-019. U.S. Surgeon General / HHS

Entity type: public_health **Relevance:** Institutional anchor for youth harm and mental health. **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Source support:** Source S-069 **Audit Entity ID:** org-hhs-surgeon-general

1.8.20. Entity E-020. International Monetary Fund

Entity type: multilateral **Relevance:** Institutional source for uneven labor exposure, transition risk, and distributional effects under generative AI. **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **Source support:** Source S-071 **Audit Entity ID:** org-imf

1.8.21. Entity E-021. Instagram

Entity type: platform **Relevance:** Relevant to the youth-harm vector as a private communication environment where body-image support, criticism, and shaming among teens can be empirically observed. **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Source support:** Source S-072 **Audit Entity ID:** org-instagram

1.8.22. Entity E-022. In-Q-Tel

Entity type: organization **Relevance:** Bridge infrastructure between venture capital, strategic technology, and intelligence/defense partners, useful both for the Facebook/Thiel adjacency vector and for Chapter 01's bounded discussion of state-venture permeability. **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Source support:** Source S-074, Source S-131, Source S-109 **Audit Entity ID:** org-iqt

1.8.23. Entity E-023. Internal Revenue Service

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Primary institutional actor for documenting Section 174 guidance and the tax-policy environment behind the tech correction. **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Source support:** Source S-075 **Audit Entity ID:** org-irs

1.8.24. Entity E-024. Leading the Future

Entity type: political_committee **Relevance:** Super PAC relevant to the institutional-capture vector because it documents an electoral vehicle aligned with frontier AI interests and a national-framework strategy. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-030, Source S-053 **Audit Entity ID:** org-leading-the-future

1.8.25. Entity E-025. Office of the Director of National Intelligence

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Official source for the uncertainty layer in COVID origins. **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Source support:** Source S-086 **Audit Entity ID:** org-odni

1.8.26. Entity E-026. OnlyFans

Entity type: platform **Relevance:** Relevant to the youth-harm and moral-reconfiguration vector as a platform where monetized sexual self-presentation, mainstream acceptance, and platform affordances intersect with broader shifts in social norms and gig-economy incentives. **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Source support:** Source S-068 **Audit Entity ID:** org-onlyfans

1.8.27. Entity E-027. OpenAI

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Central actor in frontier AI, access, governance, legitimacy, restructuring, reasoning-model productization, Washington influence, government-facing offerings, and the struggle over nonprofit control. **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights, Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek, Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Named individuals, disputes, and risk **Source support:** Source S-092, Source S-096, Source S-016, Source S-089, Source S-021, Source S-022, Source S-019, Source S-091, Source S-018, Source S-020, Source S-045, Source S-057, Source S-015, Source S-085, Source S-108, Source S-093, Source S-095, Source S-060, Source S-061, Source S-027, Source S-030, Source S-110, Source S-111, Source S-039, Source S-088, Source S-090 **Audit Entity ID:** org-openai

1.8.28. Entity E-028. OpenAI Foundation

Entity type: organization **Relevance:** Named nonprofit vehicle in the 2025 restructuring, central to arguments about whether mission control remained substantive or became largely formal. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Named individuals, disputes, and risk **Source support:** Source S-022 **Audit Entity ID:** org-openai-foundation

1.8.29. Entity E-029. Palantir Technologies

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Key Thiel-linked company for documenting the overlap between venture power, institutional data analysis, defense, intelligence-adjacent infrastructure, and accredited government distribution channels for frontier AI models. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Source support:** Source S-097, Source S-099, Source S-098, Source S-026 **Audit Entity ID:** org-palantir

1.8.30. Entity E-030. Pew Research Center

Entity type: research_institution **Relevance:** Cross-national survey and public-opinion anchor for the chapter on trust, democratic fragmentation, and mediated civic norms. **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Source support:** Source S-107 **Audit Entity ID:** org-pew-research-center

1.8.31. Entity E-031. Reality Labs

Entity type: business_unit **Relevance:** Meta’s loss-making segment at the center of the meta-verse spending cycle and investor pressure narrative. **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion, Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Source support:** Source S-081, Source S-079, Source S-013, Source S-014, Source S-056, Source S-078, Source S-073 **Audit Entity ID:** org-reality-labs

1.8.32. Entity E-032. Reddit

Entity type: platform **Relevance:** Important bridge platform for documenting both system-level online polarization and the reuse of socially filtered outbound links as model-training inputs. **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration, From human data to models and weights **Source support:** Source S-024, Source S-108, Source S-128 **Audit Entity ID:** org-reddit

1.8.33. Entity E-033. Salesforce

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Major software company used in Chapter 5 to show how pandemic overhiring, macro slowdown, and margin discipline fed layoffs independently of later AI rhetoric. **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Source support:** Source S-008, Source S-034 **Audit Entity ID:** org-salesforce

1.8.34. Entity E-034. TikTok

Entity type: platform **Relevance:** Relevant to the youth-harm vector as a recommendation platform whose child-directed mode can be audited for safety, content-categorization, recommendation dynamics, and design failures affecting minors. **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **Source support:** Source S-118, Source S-067 **Audit Entity ID:** org-tiktok

1.8.35. Entity E-035. United States Army

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Primary military institution for documenting the Detachment 201 personnel interface between senior commercial-tech executives and formal Army innovation structures. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-026 **Audit Entity ID:** org-us-army

1.8.36. Entity E-036. U.S. Congress / Congress.gov / CRS

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Legislative anchor for the attempt to restore immediate domestic R&D expensing. **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Source support:** Source S-042, Source S-041, Source S-043 **Audit Entity ID:** org-us-congress

1.8.37. Entity E-037. U.S. Copyright Office

Entity type: government_agency **Relevance:** Primary institutional actor for documenting how AI training, copyrighted inputs, disclosure, and licensing became formal federal copyright-policy issues. **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **Source support:** Source S-125, Source S-126 **Audit Entity ID:** org-us-copyright-office

1.8.38. Entity E-038. U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Primary public-sector actor for the education, cognition, and governance layer of the conclusion chapters. **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Source support:** Source S-124 **Audit Entity ID:** org-us-ed-oet

1.8.39. Entity E-039. The White House / OSTP process

Entity type: government **Relevance:** Institutional node for the doctrine of AI dominance and its administrative translation. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-130, Source S-054 **Audit Entity ID:** org-white-house

1.8.40. Entity E-040. xAI

Entity type: company **Relevance:** Relevant only as part of Musk’s structurally significant challenge to OpenAI’s control and mission architecture. **Connected vectors:** Named individuals, disputes, and risk **Source support:** Source S-017 **Audit Entity ID:** org-xai

1.8.41. Entity E-041. Adebayo Ogunlesi

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Infrastructure and finance executive whose appointment to OpenAI’s board helps document the company’s turn toward elite capital and buildout legitimacy. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-061 **Audit Entity ID:** person-adebayo-ogunlesi

1.8.42. Entity E-042. Andy Jassy

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Amazon CEO whose public layoff framing provides direct evidence about stronger cost structure, macro caution, and post-pandemic correction logic. **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Source support:** Source S-008 **Audit Entity ID:** person-andy-jassy

1.8.43. Entity E-043. Bret Taylor

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Board chair figure in OpenAI’s post-crisis governance reset and later mission-control disputes. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Named individuals, disputes, and risk **Source support:** Source S-087, Source S-094, Source S-017 **Audit Entity ID:** person-bret-taylor

1.8.44. Entity E-044. Dario Amodei

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Anthropic CEO whose January 1, 2026 essay provides a primary-source record of elite AI risk framing around wealth concentration, backlash, philanthropy, and democratic strain. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **Source support:** Source S-047 **Audit Entity ID:** person-dario-amodei

1.8.45. Entity E-045. Elon Musk

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Structurally relevant challenger in the dispute over OpenAI's nonprofit mission, conversion path, and institutional control. **Connected vectors:** Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-017, Source S-020, Source S-045, Source S-057, Source S-015 **Audit Entity ID:** person-elon-musk

1.8.46. Entity E-046. Fidji Simo

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Board appointee representing major-platform operating experience in OpenAI's legitimacy architecture. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-094 **Audit Entity ID:** person-fidji-simo

1.8.47. Entity E-047. Greg Brockman

Entity type: person **Relevance:** OpenAI cofounder and participant witness in the November 2023 board rupture. **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Source support:** Source S-029, Source S-087, Source S-045 **Audit Entity ID:** person-greg-brockman

1.8.48. Entity E-048. Hassan Uriostegui

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Authorial and policy-cited framing voice for the dossier's enclosure, weights, consent, credit, and compensation argument; relevant as an example of institutional uptake once the House AI Task Force cited his essay. **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-122, Source S-123, Source S-070 **Audit Entity ID:** person-hassan-uriostegui

1.8.49. Entity E-049. Ilya Sutskever

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Technical founder whose role in the board coup and later departure make him central to the governance-and-talent vector. **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Source support:** Source S-029, Source S-033, Source S-023, Source S-087, Source S-091 **Audit Entity ID:** person-ilya-sutskever

1.8.50. Entity E-050. Jakub Pachocki

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Technical successor to Sutskever whose rise helps document how OpenAI translated governance rupture into research leadership succession. **Connected vectors:**

OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Source support:** Source S-023, Source S-089 **Audit Entity ID:** person-jakub-pachocki

1.8.51. Entity E-051. John Schulman

Entity type: person **Relevance:** OpenAI co-founder whose move to Anthropic became a high-signal data point in the post-crisis talent and alignment story. **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Source support:** Source S-062, Source S-089 **Audit Entity ID:** person-john-schulman

1.8.52. Entity E-052. Larry Summers

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Elite institutional appointee in OpenAI's post-crisis governance structure. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Source support:** Source S-087, Source S-094 **Audit Entity ID:** person-larry-summers

1.8.53. Entity E-053. Marc Benioff

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Salesforce CEO whose layoff explanation explicitly tied job cuts to pandemic overhiring and economic slowdown, making him a useful comparator to Meta. **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **Source support:** Source S-008 **Audit Entity ID:** person-marc-benioff

1.8.54. Entity E-054. Mark Zuckerberg

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Key corporate actor for the Meta rebrand, AI investment, and the overlap between platform power and elite fallback infrastructure, with the Kauai compound becoming a bounded case study in the gap between public stewardship language and private survivability design. **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **Source support:** Source S-082, Source S-081, Source S-011, Source S-056, Source S-133, Source S-106 **Audit Entity ID:** person-mark-zuckerberg

1.8.55. Entity E-055. Mira Murati

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Key leadership figure spanning the ouster episode, interim leadership, and later talent-exodus reporting. **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Source support:** Source S-092, Source S-087, Source S-132, Source S-059, Source S-018 **Audit Entity ID:** person-mira-murati

1.8.56. Entity E-056. Nicole Seligman

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Board appointee representing legal and corporate-governance legitimacy in OpenAI's post-crisis rebuild. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-094 **Audit Entity ID:** person-nicole-seligman

1.8.57. Entity E-057. Paul Nakasone

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Former NSA and U.S. Cyber Command chief whose appointment to OpenAI's board and safety committee documents a direct defense-legitimacy bridge. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **Source support:** Source S-021, Source S-089 **Audit Entity ID:** person-paul-nakasone

1.8.58. Entity E-058. Peter Thiel

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Bridge between venture capital, Facebook, Palantir, national politics, New Zealand retreat logic, and the ideological field around The Sovereign Individual, making him central to the dossier's bounded account of elite continuity without converting continuity into hidden-command theory. **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **Source support:** Source S-052, Source S-080, Source S-066, Source S-058, Source S-113, Source S-025 **Audit Entity ID:** person-peter-thiel

1.8.59. Entity E-059. Sam Altman

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Central figure for OpenAI governance, AI lobbying, restructuring, and the public legitimacy of the frontier stack, now also relevant to the dossier's revealed-preference file because a serious 2016 profile placed survival-prep and New Zealand fallback planning on the public record long before OpenAI became a state-adjacent infrastructure actor. **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Named individuals, disputes, and risk **Source support:** Source S-092, Source S-096, Source S-016, Source S-022, Source S-019, Source S-020, Source S-045, Source S-057, Source S-015, Source S-083 **Audit Entity ID:** person-sam-altman

1.8.60. Entity E-060. Sue Desmond-Hellmann

Entity type: person **Relevance:** Board appointee representing nonprofit, scientific, and regulatory legitimacy in OpenAI's post-crisis rebuild. **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **Source support:** Source S-094 **Audit Entity ID:** person-sue-desmond-hellmann

1.8.61. Entity E-061. FTC inquiry into AI investments and partnerships

Entity type: regulatory_action **Relevance:** Competition-policy anchor for structural scrutiny of hyperscaler-model partnerships. **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **Source support:** Source S-010 **Audit Entity ID:** program-ftc-ai-partnerships-inquiry

1.8.62. Entity E-062. Big Tech

Entity type: industry_block **Relevance:** Useful aggregation for the pandemic concentration story, where dependence and regulatory pressure shifted at the sector level rather than around one

single firm. **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **Source support:** Source S-032, Source S-119 **Audit Entity ID:** sector-big-tech

1.9. Event Register

1.9.1. Event T-001. Wired archives In-Q-Tel’s dual public framing as CIA-linked and privately boarded under government contract

Date: 2002-08-23 **Description:** Important boundedness milestone because a contemporaneous archival feature described In-Q-Tel as CIA-linked while also quoting its communications leadership framing it as a private company with its own board and a government contract. **Source support:** Source S-131 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-wired-iqt-boundedness-2002-08-23

1.9.2. Event T-002. Facebook’s S-1 publicly documents Peter Thiel’s board seat and early-investor role

Date: 2012-02-01 **Description:** The IPO filing records Thiel as a director since 2005, an early investor, and a board actor inside Facebook’s concentrated governance structure. **Source support:** Source S-052 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-facebook-s1-thiel-2012-02-01

1.9.3. Event T-003. Northwestern legal scholarship characterizes In-Q-Tel as a CIA-sponsored venture-capital structure

Date: 2013-01-01 **Description:** Useful milestone for documenting that the In-Q-Tel model was legible as a formal venture-state bridge and governance controversy well before the current AI boom. **Source support:** Source S-109 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-reinert-iqt-paper-2013-01-01

1.9.4. Event T-004. The New Yorker profile records Sam Altman’s survival-prep measures and New Zealand fallback plan with Peter Thiel

Date: 2016-10-03 **Description:** A major-profile milestone that put survivalist preparation, private fallback architecture, and a Thiel-linked New Zealand escape plan on the public record for a future central AI governance actor. **Source support:** Source S-083 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-altman-prep-new-zealand-2016-10-03

1.9.5. Event T-005. OpenAI documents a Reddit-filtered corpus for GPT-2 training

Date: 2019-02-14 **Description:** The GPT-2 paper describes WebText as a corpus built from outbound Reddit links with at least 3 karma, creating a direct documented bridge between social-platform attention signals and model-training inputs. **Source support:** Source S-108 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-gpt2-webtext-reddit-2019-02-14

1.9.6. Event T-006. The FTC imposes a record penalty and new privacy restrictions on Facebook

Date: 2019-07-24 **Description:** Key regulatory milestone showing that the Facebook/Cambridge Analytica data scandal had structural compliance and oversight consequences. **Source support:** Source S-064 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-ftc-facebook-2019-07-24

1.9.7. Event T-007. A large-scale COVID work-from-home study documents changed collaboration and communication patterns

Date: 2020-07-30 **Description:** Academic evidence that the pandemic's forced shift into remote work reorganized collaboration, focus time, and communication media for information workers. **Source support:** Source S-135 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-pandemic-wfh-collaboration-2020-07-30

1.9.8. Event T-008. A large-scale study documents system-level polarization dynamics across Reddit communities

Date: 2020-10-01 **Description:** Waller and Anderson analyze 5.1 billion Reddit comments across 10,000 communities and report that Reddit underwent a significant polarization event around the 2016 U.S. presidential election that remained elevated for years. **Source support:** Source S-128 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Event ID:** event-reddit-polarization-study-2020-10-01

1.9.9. Event T-009. A scoping review documents social media as core pandemic communication infrastructure

Date: 2021-01-05 **Description:** Review evidence shows that COVID-era platform dependence extended beyond remote work into information consumption, mental-health discourse, public-attitude tracking, and infodemic management. **Source support:** Source S-121 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-covid-social-media-mediation-2021-01-05

1.9.10. Event T-010. Axios reports a sharp pre-pandemic versus pandemic jump in children's screen time

Date: 2021-01-17 **Description:** Survey-based reporting indicates that household expectations around children's screen time changed significantly during the pandemic, providing a direct comparison between pre-pandemic and mid-2020 routines. **Source support:** Source S-028 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-pandemic-kids-screen-migration-2021-01-17

1.9.11. Event T-011. Pandemic-era dependence gives dominant tech firms a temporary reprieve from the pre-COVID techlash

Date: 2021-03-10 **Description:** Supporting event for treating COVID as not only a health shock but also a concentration and legitimacy shock that changed the policy atmosphere around large platforms. **Source support:** Source S-032 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-pandemic-techlash-reprieve-2021-03-10

1.9.12. Event T-012. Research highlights new surveillance and privacy risks in pandemic remote work

Date: 2021-07-08 **Description:** COVID-era remote work is documented as expanding security risks and adoption of workplace monitoring and surveillance technologies. **Source support:** Source S-084 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-pandemic-remote-surveillance-2021-07-08

1.9.13. Event T-013. A pandemic-era earnings cycle highlights the divergence between big tech gains and small-business strain

Date: 2021-08-02 **Description:** TIME reports extraordinary earnings for major technology firms alongside Federal Reserve evidence of widespread small-business closures and distress, illustrating the asymmetry of pandemic-era digital concentration. **Source support:** Source S-119 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-big-tech-pandemic-gains-2021-08-02

1.9.14. Event T-014. The role of workers in AI ethics and governance is posted to arXiv

Date: 2021-08-05 **Description:** Analytical milestone for treating labor voice and worker reporting as part of AI governance. **Source support:** Source S-134 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-workers-ai-governance-2021-08-05

1.9.15. Event T-015. Facebook formally rebrands its parent company as Meta

Date: 2021-10-28 **Description:** Public launch of the metaverse-centered corporate narrative and the announced reporting split between Family of Apps and Reality Labs. **Source support:** Source S-081 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-rebrand-2021-10-28

1.9.16. Event T-016. Cross-company research argues work from home became a normalized post-pandemic expectation

Date: 2022-03-21 **Description:** Evidence from 17 companies across 12 countries suggests pandemic work-from-home arrangements altered policy, flexibility, and the psychological contract of work. **Source support:** Source S-114 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-post-pandemic-wfh-entitlement-2022-03-21

1.9.17. Event T-017. Meta's proxy states that Peter Thiel will retire from the board after the annual meeting

Date: 2022-04-08 **Description:** The proxy shows the durability of Thiel's board role from 2005 into the Meta era and records his committee-level governance authority at the point of departure. **Source support:** Source S-080 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-proxy-thiel-retirement-2022-04-08

1.9.18. Event T-018. A study documents mainstream acceptance as a driver of new OnlyFans sexual-content creators

Date: 2022-05-20 **Description:** A qualitative study of U.S.-based creators with no prior sex-work background finds that mainstream visibility, platform affordances, and pandemic disruption all helped normalize entry into monetized sexual content creation. **Source support:** Source S-068 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Event ID:** event-onlyfans-mainstreaming-study-2022-05-20

1.9.19. Event T-019. Pew publishes cross-national findings on social media, democracy, manipulation, and division

Date: 2022-12-06 **Description:** The report gives Chapter 2 a stronger bridge from social-platform effects to civic trust, democratic legitimacy, and perceived social division. **Source support:** Source S-107 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Event ID:** event-pew-social-democracy-outlier-2022-12-06

1.9.20. Event T-020. Amazon and Salesforce tie layoffs to overhiring and cost structure

Date: 2023-01-04 **Description:** AP reports that both companies cut jobs after pandemic-era expansion, with executives explicitly citing stronger cost structure, economic downturn, and overhiring. **Source support:** Source S-008 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Event ID:** event-amazon-salesforce-purge-2023-01-04

1.9.21. Event T-021. Meta cuts Quest prices amid weak VR demand and heavy Reality Labs losses

Date: 2023-03-06 **Description:** Investopedia reports price cuts, plunging VR shipments, major Reality Labs losses, and investor pressure to reduce metaverse spending. **Source support:** Source S-073 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-vr-price-cuts-2023-03-06

1.9.22. Event T-022. Meta announces deeper cuts in its Year of Efficiency

Date: 2023-03-14 **Description:** Meta ties further layoffs, role closures, and organizational flattening to financial performance, a difficult environment, and continued AI investment. **Source support:** Source S-082 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-efficiency-2023-03-14

1.9.23. Event T-023. OpenAI and OpenResearch authors publish GPTs are GPTs on occupational exposure to LLMs

Date: 2023-03-17 **Description:** Early analytical anchor for arguing that generative-AI exposure reaches deep into professional and white-collar task structures. **Source support:** Source S-065 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Event ID:** event-gpts-are-gpts-2023-03-17

1.9.24. Event T-024. The U.S. Department of Education releases its AI teaching-and-learning recommendations

Date: 2023-05-24 **Description:** Institutional anchor for treating cognitive literacy, educator oversight, and delegated judgment as governance issues. **Source support:** Source S-124 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-us-ed-ai-report-2023-05-24

1.9.25. Event T-025. ODNI publishes its report on possible links between the WIV and the origins of COVID-19

Date: 2023-06-23 **Description:** Baseline event for treating the origin of COVID as an officially disputed, unresolved matter. **Source support:** Source S-086 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-odni-report-2023-06-23

1.9.26. Event T-026. OpenAI launches the Superalignment initiative

Date: 2023-07-05 **Description:** OpenAI publicly commits compute, leadership, and governance rhetoric to the problem of controlling superintelligent systems under Ilya Sutskever and Jan Leike. **Source support:** Source S-091 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-superalignment-2023-07-05

1.9.27. Event T-027. A peer-reviewed study maps outsourced annotation labor in AI production chains

Date: 2023-07-25 **Description:** Big Data & Society publishes research showing that AI production relies on outsourced data workers in Madagascar and lengthens externalization chains rather than simply eliminating work through automation. **Source support:** Source S-077 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-annotation-madagascar-paper-2023-07-25

1.9.28. Event T-028. The U.S. Copyright Office opens a formal inquiry into AI training and copyright

Date: 2023-08-30 **Description:** Federal Register notice launches official comment-gathering on copyrighted training inputs, disclosure, and the legal status of AI outputs. **Source support:** Source S-125 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-usco-ai-noi-2023-08-30

1.9.29. Event T-029. The IRS issues Notice 2023-63 on Section 174 expenditures

Date: 2023-09-08 **Description:** Official tax-administration milestone showing that research-expense amortization was an active and documented policy condition during the tech correction. **Source support:** Source S-075 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Event ID:** event-irs-section174-2023-09-08

1.9.30. Event T-030. Amazon and Anthropic tie frontier-model development to AWS cloud and chips

Date: 2023-09-25 **Description:** Amazon announces Anthropic will use AWS as its primary cloud provider, run the majority of its workloads on AWS, and use Trainium and Inferen-

tia chips for future model training and deployment while Amazon invests up to \$4 billion. **Source support:** Source S-002 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Audit Event ID:** event-amazon-anthropic-collaboration-2023-09-25

1.9.31. Event T-031. Meta keeps pairing metaverse rhetoric with a growing AI product story

Date: 2023-09-27 **Description:** Connect 2023 shows Meta still selling the metaverse while leaning harder on AI assistants, smart glasses, and engagement infrastructure amid a slower-than-expected transition. **Source support:** Source S-011 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-connect-2023-09-27

1.9.32. Event T-032. OpenAI announces Sam Altman’s departure and names Mira Murati interim CEO

Date: 2023-11-17 **Description:** Turning point in OpenAI’s governance crisis and a documentary anchor for the vector on political-corporate control of the lab. **Source support:** Source S-092 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-board-2023-11-17

1.9.33. Event T-033. Reuters publishes a Q*-linked reporting trail tied to the OpenAI board crisis {#event-t-033-reuters-publishes-a-q-linked-reporting-trail-tied-to-the-openai-board-crisis}

Date: 2023-11-23 **Description:** Public citation metadata identifies a Reuters report saying researchers warned OpenAI’s board about an AI breakthrough ahead of Altman’s ouster, making the Q* thread part of the serious contemporaneous reporting record even though causality remained contested. **Source support:** Source S-110 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-reuters-qstar-report-2023-11-23

1.9.34. Event T-034. OpenAI restores Altman and installs a new initial board

Date: 2023-11-29 **Description:** Institutional settlement of the November crisis, including a new board, Microsoft observer role, and formal governance-review promises. **Source support:** Source S-087 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-return-2023-11-29

1.9.35. Event T-035. WIRED documents bunker-like features, secrecy controls, and self-sufficiency planning at Zuckerberg’s Kauai compound

Date: 2023-12-14 **Description:** Investigation milestone showing that one of the central platform-era power holders was building a tightly secured estate with underground shelter and private redundancy features that later became relevant to the dossier’s unequal-fallback argument. **Source support:** Source S-133 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-wired-zuckerberg-kauai-compound-2023-12-14

1.9.36. Event T-036. The IMF publishes Gen-AI: Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work

Date: 2024-01-14 **Description:** Institutional milestone for documenting uneven labor exposure and distributional risk under generative AI. **Source support:** Source S-071 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Event ID:** event-imf-gen-ai-work-2024-01-14

1.9.37. Event T-037. The FTC opens an inquiry into major AI investments and partnerships

Date: 2024-01-25 **Description:** Competition-policy turning point showing official scrutiny of startup-hyperscaler dependencies in frontier AI. **Source support:** Source S-010 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-ftc-ai-partnerships-inquiry-2024-01-25

1.9.38. Event T-038. The House passes H.R. 7024 with Section 174 relief language

Date: 2024-01-31 **Description:** Congressional attempt to restore immediate domestic R&D expensing, indicating the perceived weight of the Section 174 change. **Source support:** Source S-042 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Event ID:** event-hr7024-passed-house-2024-01-31

1.9.39. Event T-039. Congressional summary explains temporary domestic R&D expensing relief

Date: 2024-01-31 **Description:** CRS summary for H.R. 7024 states that domestic research costs could be expensed through 2025 and that the five-year deduction start date would be delayed until 2026. **Source support:** Source S-041 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Event ID:** event-hr7024-summary-2024-01-31

1.9.40. Event T-040. Meta files its 2023 annual report with continued Reality Labs losses

Date: 2024-02-02 **Description:** SEC filing confirms the Family of Apps / Reality Labs split, continued loss expectations for Reality Labs, and parallel investment in AI and the metaverse. **Source support:** Source S-079 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-10k-2024-02-02

1.9.41. Event T-041. Researchers report rapid amplification of misogynistic recommendations on TikTok

Date: 2024-02-06 **Description:** Guardian coverage of UCL and Kent research says TikTok recommendations showed a four-fold increase in misogynistic content over a short monitoring period, supporting claims about algorithmic norm escalation. **Source support:** Source S-067 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Audit Event ID:** event-tiktok-misogyny-amplification-2024-02-06

1.9.42. Event T-042. Researchers publish Computing Power and the Governance of Artificial Intelligence on arXiv

Date: 2024-02-13 **Description:** Research milestone for treating compute concentration as a material chokepoint in AI capability and access. **Source support:** Source S-040 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Audit Event ID:** event-compute-governance-paper-2024-02-13

1.9.43. Event T-043. Reddit announces a \$60 million agreement allowing Google to train AI models on Reddit posts

Date: 2024-02-22 **Description:** Late-stage commercial licensing milestone that extends the social-data-to-models bridge from early web corpora into the frontier-AI platform economy. **Source support:** Source S-024 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-reddit-google-training-deal-2024-02-22

1.9.44. Event T-044. OpenAI says Musk agreed the company would need a for-profit structure

Date: 2024-03-06 **Description:** AP reports OpenAI's formal rebuttal to Musk's nonprofit-mission claims, documenting that the institutional fight over structure and control was active and contested. **Source support:** Source S-020 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-musk-response-2024-03-06

1.9.45. Event T-045. OpenAI expands its board with new institutional figures

Date: 2024-03-08 **Description:** Post-crisis board expansion adds nonprofit, legal, and major-platform credentials to OpenAI's governance structure. **Source support:** Source S-094, Source S-016 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-board-expansion-2024-03-08

1.9.46. Event T-046. H.R. 7024 text explicitly covers domestic R&D deductions and software

Date: 2024-03-21 **Description:** Congressional bill text records the proposed restoration of deductions for domestic research expenditures and states that software-development spending is treated as research or experimental expenditure. **Source support:** Source S-043 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Audit Event ID:** event-hr7024-text-2024-03-21

1.9.47. Event T-047. Ilya Sutskever leaves OpenAI

Date: 2024-05-14 **Description:** A core technical founder exits months after the governance crisis, reinforcing the link between board rupture and leadership reconfiguration. **Source support:** Source S-033, Source S-023 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-sutskever-exit-2024-05-14

1.9.48. Event T-048. OpenAI forms a board-level Safety and Security Committee

Date: 2024-05-28 **Description:** OpenAI links frontier-model training, safety oversight, and external security expertise in a formal board structure after the governance crisis. **Source support:** Source S-089 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-safety-committee-2024-05-28

1.9.49. Event T-049. OpenAI appoints Paul Nakasone to its board and safety committee

Date: 2024-06-14 **Description:** The board rebuild adds a former NSA and U.S. Cyber Command chief, strengthening the documented defense and security character of OpenAI's legitimacy network. **Source support:** Source S-021 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-nakasone-board-2024-06-14

1.9.50. Event T-050. Reuters reports on OpenAI's Strawberry reasoning project before o1 release

Date: 2024-07-15 **Description:** Public citation metadata identifies a Reuters report on an OpenAI reasoning effort under the codename Strawberry, documenting a pre-release public trail for the reasoning-model line later associated with o1. **Source support:** Source S-111 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-reuters-strawberry-2024-07-15

1.9.51. Event T-051. Musk files a federal complaint against Altman and OpenAI entities

Date: 2024-08-05 **Description:** CourtListener's docket records a federal complaint with jury demand against Altman, Brockman, OpenAI, Inc., OpenAI, L.L.C., OpenAI, L.P., and related entities, formalizing the governance dispute as continuing litigation. **Source support:** Source S-045 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-musk-v-altman-docket-2024-08-05

1.9.52. Event T-052. John Schulman leaves OpenAI for Anthropic

Date: 2024-08-06 **Description:** A co-founder departs for a direct rival and publicly frames the move around alignment, deepening the governance-and-talent pattern around OpenAI. **Source support:** Source S-062 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-schulman-anthropic-2024-08-06

1.9.53. Event T-053. Researchers publish a compute-optimal test-time scaling paper for LLMs

Date: 2024-08-06 **Description:** Research milestone showing that inference-time compute allocation could be treated as a serious performance and efficiency lever before frontier reasoning products became a mainstream commercial category. **Source support:** Source S-115 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Event ID:** event-snell-test-time-compute-2024-08-06

1.9.54. Event T-054. Financial Times says AI exuberance masks broader tech weakness

Date: 2024-09-09 **Description:** FT reports that large parts of the tech sector are still unwinding pandemic overhiring and weak demand even as AI attracts renewed capital and attention. **Source support:** Source S-055 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06 **Audit Event ID:** event-ft-tech-weakness-ai-2024-09-09

1.9.55. Event T-055. OpenAI launches o1-preview as a reasoning-focused model line

Date: 2024-09-12 **Description:** OpenAI publicly frames a new product tier around reinforcement learning, deliberate reasoning, and benchmark gains over GPT-4o in math, coding, and science tasks. **Source support:** Source S-093 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-o1-preview-2024-09-12

1.9.56. Event T-056. Financial Times reports OpenAI acknowledging higher bioweapon misuse risk for o1

Date: 2024-09-13 **Description:** Mainstream reporting documents that OpenAI's stronger reasoning-model release was accompanied by explicit public acknowledgement of higher misuse risk in biological domains. **Source support:** Source S-060 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Event ID:** event-ft-openai-bioweapon-risk-2024-09-13

1.9.57. Event T-057. Mira Murati and other research leaders leave OpenAI

Date: 2024-09-25 **Description:** AP reports that Murati, Bob McGrew, and Barret Zoph depart, broadening the post-crisis leadership exodus beyond the earlier founder-level exits. **Source support:** Source S-018 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-murati-execs-depart-2024-09-25

1.9.58. Event T-058. Market pressure intensifies around continued Reality Labs losses

Date: 2024-10-31 **Description:** MarketWatch reports that investor concern over Reality Labs overspending remained active even after Meta's broader rebound, reinforcing the financial fragility of the metaverse narrative. **Source support:** Source S-078 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-reality-labs-overspending-2024-10-31

1.9.59. Event T-059. Palantir, Anthropic, and AWS announce a classified-environment channel for Claude in U.S. defense and intelligence work

Date: 2024-11-07 **Description:** Palantir announces a partnership with Anthropic and AWS to provide U.S. intelligence and defense agencies access to Claude through Palantir AIP on AWS, including an IL6-accredited environment. **Source support:** Source S-098 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-palantir-anthropic-aws-government-channel-2024-11-

1.9.60. Event T-060. OpenAI updates the o1 system card with preparedness and training-data details

Date: 2024-12-05 **Description:** OpenAI documents large-scale reinforcement learning for reasoning, a mixed public and proprietary training-data base, and deployment gates tied to post-mitigation risk scores. **Source support:** Source S-095 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-o1-system-card-2024-12-05

1.9.61. Event T-061. Researchers publish a paper on AI and digital public infrastructure

Date: 2024-12-07 **Description:** Research milestone for integrating public-value infrastructure, interoperability, and AI governance in the response agenda. **Source support:** Source S-001 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-ai-dpi-paper-2024-12-07

1.9.62. Event T-062. The Bipartisan House AI Task Force report cites Hassan Uriostegui’s weights-and-copyright argument

Date: 2024-12-17 **Description:** The official U.S. House report records the AI-training dispute in terms overlapping with the dossier’s enclosure framework and cites Uriostegui’s essay in footnote 40 on physical page 116, marking institutional uptake rather than proof by authority. **Source support:** Source S-070 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-house-ai-task-force-report-2024-12-17

1.9.63. Event T-063. DeepSeek presents the DeepSeek-V3 technical report on arXiv

Date: 2024-12-27 **Description:** Technical milestone useful for challenging exclusivity narratives by pointing to a competitor publicly claiming strong efficiency and performance. **Source support:** Source S-049 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Event ID:** event-deepseek-v3-2024-12-27

1.9.64. Event T-064. OpenAI adds Adebayo Ogunlesi to its board during restructuring

Date: 2025-01-14 **Description:** FT reports that OpenAI appointed infrastructure and finance executive Adebayo Ogunlesi to its board as the company pursued restructuring and capital-intensive expansion. **Source support:** Source S-061 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-ogunlesi-board-2025-01-14

1.9.65. Event T-065. DeepSeek publishes its R1 reasoning paper and open release claims

Date: 2025-01-22 **Description:** DeepSeek presents R1-Zero and R1 as reinforcement-learning-based reasoning models, claims comparison with OpenAI-o1-1217 on reasoning tasks, and announces open releases and distilled variants. **Source support:** Source S-048 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Audit Event ID:** event-deepseek-r1-2025-01-22

1.9.66. Event T-066. The White House makes sustaining and enhancing U.S. global AI dominance official policy

Date: 2025-01-23 **Description:** Doctrinal event linking national security, competitiveness, and removal of regulatory barriers in U.S. AI policy. **Source support:** Source S-130 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-eo-14179-2025-01-23

1.9.67. Event T-067. Researchers release s1 as an open attempt to replicate test-time scaling

Date: 2025-01-31 **Description:** A visible open-research milestone showing that OpenAI's reasoning launch quickly triggered replication efforts built around budget forcing and small reasoning datasets. **Source support:** Source S-112 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Event ID:** event-s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-01-31

1.9.68. Event T-068. The AI Action Plan RFI is published in the Federal Register

Date: 2025-02-06 **Description:** Administrative step that operationalizes the White House order and opens a formal channel of influence over U.S. AI strategy. **Source support:** Source S-054 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-fr-rfi-2025-02-06

1.9.69. Event T-069. Musk's bid sharpens the legal fight over OpenAI's nonprofit mission

Date: 2025-02-11 **Description:** The public dispute over sale constraints and charitable purpose becomes a concrete pressure point in OpenAI's conversion path. **Source support:** Source S-019 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-musk-bid-2025-02-11

1.9.70. Event T-070. OpenAI's board rejects Elon Musk's bid for the nonprofit controller

Date: 2025-02-14 **Description:** Public dispute over mission, ownership, and conversion pathways at the institutional core of OpenAI. **Source support:** Source S-017 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-musk-bid-2025-02-14

1.9.71. Event T-071. Judge denies Musk's immediate bid to block OpenAI's conversion path and offers an expedited trial track

Date: 2025-03-05 **Description:** Combined AP and FT procedural milestone showing that Musk's governance challenge remained live but did not secure immediate judicial relief stopping OpenAI's restructuring direction, while the court signaled public-interest stakes and willingness to move the case quickly. **Source support:** Source S-015, Source S-057 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-03-05

1.9.72. Event T-072. OpenAI publishes its proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan

Date: 2025-03-13 **Description:** Direct corporate intervention in the policy debate over export controls, copyright, infrastructure, and state adoption of AI. **Source support:** Source

S-096 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-action-plan-2025-03-13

1.9.73. Event T-073. A federal court lets core New York Times copyright claims against OpenAI and Microsoft proceed

Date: 2025-04-04 **Description:** Judge Sidney H. Stein’s opinion on motions to dismiss keeps core copyright theories alive and treats training-stage collection, storage, scraping, and dataset construction as central features of the dispute. **Source support:** Source S-085 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-nyt-openai-dismissal-order-2025-04-04

1.9.74. Event T-074. Researchers publish SpecReason on latency overhead and acceleration for large reasoning models

Date: 2025-04-10 **Description:** Systems milestone showing that long chains of thought create real inference latency overhead and motivate specialized acceleration techniques for large reasoning-model serving. **Source support:** Source S-116 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Event ID:** event-specreason-2025-04-10

1.9.75. Event T-075. The U.S. Copyright Office releases its pre-publication report on generative AI training

Date: 2025-05-09 **Description:** Official report formalizes the federal analysis of infringement, fair use, market harm, and licensing in the use of copyrighted works for AI training. **Source support:** Source S-126 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-usco-ai-training-report-2025-05-09

1.9.76. Event T-076. Anthropic launches Claude 4 with extended-thinking commercial availability

Date: 2025-05-22 **Description:** Anthropic launches Claude Opus 4 and Claude Sonnet 4 as hybrid models capable of near-instant responses and extended thinking, while immediately distributing them through paid plans, API access, and major cloud platforms with differentiated pricing. **Source support:** Source S-003 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-anthropic-claude-4-launch-2025-05-22

1.9.77. Event T-077. Anthropic launches Claude Gov for U.S. national security customers

Date: 2025-06-06 **Description:** Anthropic announces a custom set of Claude Gov models built exclusively for U.S. national security customers, already deployed in classified environments and tailored for intelligence, defense, and cybersecurity tasks. **Source support:** Source S-004 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-anthropic-claude-gov-2025-06-06

1.9.78. Event T-078. The U.S. Army launches Detachment 201 and commissions senior tech executives into a reserve innovation corps

Date: 2025-06-13 **Description:** The Army creates Detachment 201 to embed senior commercial-tech leaders as part-time reserve advisors, naming executives from Palantir, Meta, and OpenAI in the initial cohort. **Source support:** Source S-026 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-army-detachment-201-2025-06-13

1.9.79. Event T-079. OpenAI launches OpenAI for Government and announces a DoD CDAO pilot

Date: 2025-06-16 **Description:** OpenAI formally consolidates its U.S. public-sector work under OpenAI for Government, offering secure access paths and limited national-security custom models while announcing a DoD CDAO pilot with a \$200 million ceiling. **Source support:** Source S-090 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-for-government-2025-06-16

1.9.80. Event T-080. Judge Alsup’s order separates AI training fair use from pirate-library exposure

Date: 2025-06-23 **Description:** Primary court order holds Anthropic’s training on lawfully acquired books to be fair use while refusing to excuse the creation and retention of a permanent pirate-sourced central library. **Source support:** Source S-035 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-bartz-anthropic-order-2025-06-23

1.9.81. Event T-081. A federal ruling splits Anthropic’s training defense from piracy-related exposure

Date: 2025-06-24 **Description:** AP reports that a judge treated AI training as potentially fair use while allowing a separate trial over allegations tied to pirated book acquisition. **Source support:** Source S-009 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-anthropic-fair-use-split-ruling-2025-06-24

1.9.82. Event T-082. A follow-up paper challenges simplistic readings of simple test-time scaling

Date: 2025-07-19 **Description:** Boundary-setting research milestone arguing that budget-forcing replications can mimic the appearance of scaling without reproducing the same mechanism as o1-like or R1-like systems. **Source support:** Source S-076 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Audit Event ID:** event-its-not-that-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-07-19

1.9.83. Event T-083. A national study documents heavy youth screen exposure during the pandemic period

Date: 2025-08-13 **Description:** Analysis of 2020 to 2021 NSCH data links 4 or more hours of daily screen time among U.S. children and adolescents to worse mental-health and behavioral outcomes,

with sleep and physical activity acting as mediators. **Source support:** Source S-046 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Audit Event ID:** event-pandemic-youth-screen-time-nsch-2025-08-13

1.9.84. Event T-084. OpenAI completes its public benefit restructuring with regulators standing down

Date: 2025-10-28 **Description:** OpenAI formalizes a new legal and capital structure that combines nonprofit control claims, public-benefit form, and an updated Microsoft arrangement. **Source support:** Source S-022 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-pbc-restructure-2025-10-28

1.9.85. Event T-085. The Washington Post summarizes Palantir’s CIA-incubator origin, Thiel connection, and government-enforcement role

Date: 2025-12-04 **Description:** Secondary-reporting milestone that ties Palantir’s early seed funding, Peter Thiel’s continuing role, and the company’s later integration into U.S. government and ICE operations into one bounded institutional profile. **Source support:** Source S-129 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-wapo-palantir-explainer-2025-12-04

1.9.86. Event T-086. Dario Amodei publicly warns of AI-driven wealth concentration and describes Anthropic’s 80% wealth pledge

Date: 2026-01-01 **Description:** A primary founder essay ties frontier-AI progress to backlash, democratic strain, and extreme concentration risk while presenting philanthropy as one partial response. **Source support:** Source S-047 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Audit Event ID:** event-dario-adolescence-technology-2026-01-01

1.9.87. Event T-087. Anthropic revises its Responsible Scaling Policy around collective-action logic

Date: 2026-02-24 **Description:** Anthropic’s RSP v3.0 frames frontier safety governance in competitor-contingent and policy-advocacy terms, documenting how safety rhetoric also functions as strategic legitimacy infrastructure. **Source support:** Source S-006, Source S-007 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-anthropic-rsp-v3-2026-02-24

1.9.88. Event T-088. FEC data shows large-scale activity for Leading the Future in the 2025-2026 cycle

Date: 2026-03-31 **Description:** The FEC committee overview page for Leading the Future records an active Super PAC registered on August 15, 2025 and reports more than \$75.5 million in receipts and more than \$24.3 million in disbursements through March 31, 2026. **Source support:** Source S-053 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-fec-leading-the-future-overview-2026-03-31

1.9.89. Event T-089. Axios reports record lobbying quarters for Anthropic and OpenAI

Date: 2026-04-21 **Description:** Axios reports, based on federal lobbying disclosures and Senate data, that Anthropic and OpenAI posted their biggest lobbying quarters to date while pressing issues tied to export controls, procurement, cloud infrastructure, copyright, and national security. **Source support:** Source S-027 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-anthropic-openai-lobbying-2026-04-21

1.9.90. Event T-090. Major publishers sue Meta over Llama training inputs

Date: 2026-05-05 **Description:** Large publishers and author Scott Turow file a class-action lawsuit alleging that Meta used millions of copyrighted books and journal articles to train Llama without permission or compensation; Meta denies wrongdoing and says it will fight the case. **Source support:** Source S-012 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-publishers-lawsuit-2026-05-05

1.9.91. Event T-091. An OpenAI-linked PAC network pushes a national AI framework through midterm politics

Date: 2026-05-22 **Description:** Axios reports that Leading the Future and Build American AI, tied to OpenAI interests and Andreessen Horowitz, were spending in the 2026 midterms to back allies for a national AI framework and push against state-by-state governance. **Source support:** Source S-030 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-linked-pac-kentucky-2026-05-22

1.9.92. Event T-092. BIS reaffirms license requirements for advanced computing items tied to D:5 and Macau entities

Date: 2026-05-31 **Description:** BIS issues guidance clarifying that advanced computing exports to entities headquartered in Country Group D:5 or Macau still require licenses, explicitly linking the regime to the November 2023 controls and the January 2025 AI Diffusion Rule. **Source support:** Source S-037 **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Audit Event ID:** event-bis-advanced-computing-guidance-2026-05-31

1.10. Note Register

1.10.1. Note N-001. Source note for Facebook, Inc. Registration Statement on Form S-1

Related source: Source S-052 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **What the note primarily proves:** That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the early Facebook governance structure joined large-scale data-platform growth with venture-capital influence at the board level. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-01-surveillance-capitalism-notes-facebook-s1-2012-md **Audit Source ID:** facebook-s1-2012

1.10.2. Note N-002. Source note for A Look Behind the Screens: Examining the Data Practices of Social Media and Video Streaming Services

Related source: Source S-063 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **What the note primarily proves:** That the platform model relies on intensive extraction and processing of user data. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the free capture of social behavior precedes and feeds the later development of AI systems trained on large human corpora. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-01-surveillance-capitalism-notes-ftc-2024-look-behind-screens-md **Audit Source ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens

1.10.3. Note N-003. Source note for FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sweeping New Privacy Restrictions on Facebook

Related source: Source S-064 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **What the note primarily proves:** That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight. **What the note additionally suggests:** That mass data extraction and opacity about its use were not marginal deviations, but central traits of the platform business model. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-01-surveillance-capitalism-notes-ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-md **Audit Source ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019

1.10.4. Note N-004. Source note for In-Q-Tel

Related source: Source S-074 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **What the note primarily proves:** That there is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovation with intelligence, defense, and national-security needs. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the boundary between commercial innovation and national security has become increasingly porous and strategic. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-01-surveillance-capitalism-notes-iqt-homepage-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** iqt-homepage-2026

1.10.5. Note N-005. Source note for Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Report (Form 10-K)

Related source: Source S-079 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **What the note primarily proves:** That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the Meta story is best read as a layered one: reputational repair, strategic redirection, and high-cost experimentation funded by a still-dominant surveillance-advertising machine. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-01-surveillance-capitalism-notes-meta-2023-10k-md **Audit Source ID:** meta-2023-10k

1.10.6. Note N-006. Source note for Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement

Related source: Source S-080 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **What the note primarily proves:** That Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Thiel’s connection to Facebook / Meta should be treated as a durable governance relationship rather than a one-time seed investment anecdote. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-01-surveillance-capitalism-notes-meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-md **Audit Source ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement

1.10.7. Note N-007. Source note for The Facebook Company Is Now Meta

Related source: Source S-081 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01 **Connected vectors:** Surveillance capitalism and data capture **What the note primarily proves:** That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the Meta pivot can be read as an attempt to redirect attention, valuation logic, and future expectations toward a new technological horizon after years of reputational and regulatory damage. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-01-surveillance-capitalism-notes-meta-rebrand-2021-md **Audit Source ID:** meta-rebrand-2021

1.10.8. Note N-008. Source note for Social media algorithms ‘amplifying misogynistic content’

Related source: Source S-067 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **What the note primarily proves:** That serious reporting documents a research finding that recommendation systems can intensify misogynistic content exposure over a short period. **What the note additionally suggests:** That recommendation systems can do more than reflect existing demand; they can escalate and normalize more extreme material. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-notes-guardian-tiktok-misogyny-amplification-2024 **Audit Source ID:** guardian-tiktok-misogyny-amplification-2024

1.10.9. Note N-009. Source note for Nudes? Shouldn’t I charge for these?: Motivations of New Sexual Content Creators on OnlyFans

Related source: Source S-068 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **What the note primarily proves:** That mainstream visibility and platform design helped normalize monetized sexual content creation among people with no prior sex-work history. **What the note additionally suggests:** That sexual-commercial aesthetics online can spread through a mix of culture, platform affordance, and economic pressure. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-notes-hamilton-onlyfans-new-creators-2022 **Audit Source ID:** hamilton-onlyfans-new-creators-2022

1.10.10. Note N-010. Source note for Social Media and Youth Mental Health: The U.S. Surgeon General’s Advisory

Related source: Source S-069 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **What the note primarily proves:** That there is serious institutional concern about the impact of social networks on minors. **What the note additionally suggests:** That a digital ecosystem optimized for attention can degrade autonomy, emotional regulation, and self-worth. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-notes-hhs-social-media-youth-advisory-2023 **Audit Source ID:** hhs-social-media-youth-advisory-2023

1.10.11. Note N-011. Source note for Unfiltered: How Teens Engage in Body Image and Shaming Discussions via Instagram Direct Messages (DMs)

Related source: Source S-072 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **What the note primarily proves:** That teen body-image discourse on Instagram is not confined to public feeds; it also unfolds in private channels that shape social reinforcement and harm. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-notes-instagram-body-image-dms-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** instagram-body-image-dms-2025

1.10.12. Note N-012. Source note for Social Media Seen as Mostly Good for Democracy Across Many Nations, But U.S. is a Major Outlier

Related source: Source S-107 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **What the note primarily proves:** That cross-national evidence supports the claim that social media is widely associated with manipulation, division, and declining civility, even where some respondents still see democratic benefits. **What the note additionally suggests:** That platform harms should be read not only through mental-health or attention metrics, but also through their effects on civic legitimacy and shared interpretive ground. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-notes-pew-social-media-democracy-outlier-2022 **Audit Source ID:** pew-social-media-democracy-outlier-2022

1.10.13. Note N-013. Source note for When Kids Mode Isn’t For Kids: Investigating TikTok’s Under 13 Experience

Related source: Source S-118 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **What the note primarily proves:** That a child-directed platform mode can still expose minors to content that the researchers did not classify as child-directed. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-notes-tiktok-kids-mode-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** tiktok-kids-mode-2025

1.10.14. Note N-014. Source note for What Do We Mean When We Talk about Trust in Social Media? A Systematic Review

Related source: Source S-120 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **What the note primarily proves:** That trust in social media is a serious research problem with enough literature to support systematic synthesis. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-notes-trust-social-media-systematic-review-2023 **Audit Source ID:** trust-social-media-systematic-review-2023

1.10.15. Note N-015. Source note for Quantifying social organization and political polarization in online platforms

Related source: Source S-128 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 02 **Connected vectors:** Social harm, youth, and moral reconfiguration **What the note primarily proves:** That large-scale platform social organization can be measured as fragmented and politically polarized rather than discussed only impressionistically. **What the note additionally suggests:** That platform design and community sorting can reinforce separation into like-minded clusters even when the causal story remains historically contingent. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-02-social-decay-youth-harm-hypersexualization-notes-waller-anderson-online-polarization-2020 **Audit Source ID:** waller-anderson-online-polarization-2020

1.10.16. Note N-016. Source note for Kids' screen time up 50% during pandemic

Related source: Source S-028 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That pandemic-era digital dependence in family life can be described with a direct pre-pandemic versus pandemic comparison rather than only with pandemic-period association data. **What the note additionally suggests:** That emergency digitization extended deeply into home life, not only into formal work or school systems. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-axios-kids-screen-time-pandemic-2021-md **Audit Source ID:** axios-kids-screen-time-pandemic-2021

1.10.17. Note N-017. Source note for Pandemic provides a techlash reprieve

Related source: Source S-032 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That pandemic conditions altered the policy and legitimacy environment around dominant technology platforms, not only their earnings. **What the note additionally suggests:** That crises can reinforce platform power not only by growing usage, but by making dependence feel socially necessary and politically harder to challenge in the short run. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-axios-pandemic-techlash-reprieve-2021-md **Audit Source ID:** axios-pandemic-techlash-reprieve-2021

1.10.18. Note N-018. Source note for Excessive Screen Time is Associated with Mental Health Problems and ADHD in US Children and Adolescents: Physical Activity and Sleep as Parallel Mediators

Related source: Source S-046 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That heavy youth screen exposure was a measurable feature of the pandemic period, not only a cultural impression. **What the note additionally suggests:** For Chapter 3, this source helps move the pandemic argument beyond remote work and adult labor. It supports the claim that lockdown-era digital dependence also reorganized childhood and adolescence, embedding screen-heavy mediation into ordinary routines and correlating that shift with broader health and behavioral stress. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-dai-pandemic-screen-time-mental-he **Audit Source ID:** dai-pandemic-screen-time-mental-health-2025

1.10.19. Note N-019. Source note for Remote Working Pre- and Post-COVID-19: An Analysis of New Threats and Risks to Security and Privacy

Related source: Source S-084 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That the pandemic-driven move to remote work created documented new privacy and surveillance risks, not just convenience or flexibility gains. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021 **Audit Source ID:** nurse-remote-working-security-privacy-2021

1.10.20. Note N-020. Source note for Report on Potential Links Between the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the Origins of COVID-19

Related source: Source S-086 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That the origin of COVID-19 remained a matter of official uncertainty and disputed assessment. **What the note additionally suggests:** That ambiguity around the origin opened space for geopolitical instrumentalization and narrative warfare. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-odni-covid-origin-report-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** odni-covid-origin-report-2023

1.10.21. Note N-021. Source note for Work-From-Home is Here to Stay: Call for Flexibility in Post-Pandemic Work Policies

Related source: Source S-114 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That pandemic-era remote work was not only temporary improvisation; it reshaped post-pandemic organizational policy and worker expectations. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-smite-wfh-here-to-stay-2022-md **Audit Source ID:** smite-wfh-here-to-stay-2022

1.10.22. Note N-022. Source note for Big Tech Announces Striking Pandemic Gains as Small Businesses Strain to Find Their Footing

Related source: Source S-119 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That pandemic-era digital concentration can be documented through a concrete divergence between large tech earnings and small-business stress. **What the note additionally suggests:** That crisis conditions materially favored already dominant digital platforms and gave them room to redirect capital and attention into new strategic narratives. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-time-big-tech-pandemic-gains-2021-md **Audit Source ID:** time-big-tech-pandemic-gains-2021

1.10.23. Note N-023. Source note for What social media told about us in the time of COVID-19: a scoping review

Related source: Source S-121 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That pandemic dependence on digital platforms was not limited to remote work. **What the note additionally suggests:** For Chapter 3, this supports a broader argument that COVID accelerated everyday dependence on platform-mediated reality, not only labor digitization. It also helps connect the pandemic to intensified informational vulnerability, where attention, trust, and public health interpretation became more dependent on privately governed feeds and recommendation systems. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-tsao-social-media-covid-scoping-review-2021-md **Audit Source ID:** tsao-social-media-covid-scoping-review-2021

1.10.24. Note N-024. Source note for How Work From Home Affects Collaboration: A Large-Scale Study of Information Workers in a Natural Experiment During COVID-19

Related source: Source S-135 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** COVID as accelerator and geopolitical arena **What the note primarily proves:** That COVID forced a historically abrupt transition into remote, digitally mediated work for large numbers of information workers. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-03-covid-acceleration-and-geopolitics-notes-yang-wfh-collaboration-2020-md **Audit Source ID:** yang-wfh-collaboration-2020

1.10.25. Note N-025. Source note for Anthropic wins ruling on AI training in copyright lawsuit but must face trial on pirated books

Related source: Source S-009 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That by June 24, 2025, the legal conflict around AI training had already split into distinct layers: training-use doctrine on one side and input-acquisition legality on the other. **What the note additionally suggests:** For Chapter 4, this strengthens the argument that the political

economy of AI training cannot be reduced to a simple “training is legal” or “training is theft” binary. Even where training arguments gain judicial traction, the supply chain of how copyrighted material was assembled remains a separate and materially important conflict. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-ap-anthropic-fair-use-ruling-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-anthropic-fair-use-ruling-2025

1.10.26. Note N-026. Source note for Mark Zuckerberg ‘personally authorized’ Meta’s copyright infringement, publishers allege

Related source: Source S-012 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That by May 5, 2026, the conflict over uncompensated training inputs had escalated into a direct lawsuit by large commercial publishers against Meta. **What the note additionally suggests:** For Chapter 4, this supports the argument that training disputes are also market-structure disputes: rights holders increasingly frame frontier-model development as appropriation of economically valuable archives without negotiated compensation. It also helps tie the question of model weights to a broader fight over who captures downstream value from cultural production. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-ap-meta-publishers-lawsuit-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-meta-publishers-lawsuit-2026

1.10.27. Note N-027. Source note for Reddit strikes \$60M deal allowing Google to train AI models on its posts, unveils IPO plans

Related source: Source S-024 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That by February 2024 a major social platform was explicitly licensing accumulated user conversation data for frontier-AI training. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the value of social platforms increasingly lies not only in advertising inventory or engagement, but in their stockpiles of human conversation as model fuel. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-ap-reddit-google-ai-training-deal-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-reddit-google-ai-training-deal-2024

1.10.28. Note N-028. Source note for Bartz et al. v. Anthropic PBC, Order on Fair Use

Related source: Source S-035 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That the strongest primary legal record already separates the question of model training from the question of how the training corpus was assembled. **What the note additionally suggests:** For Chapter 4, this order sharpens the political-economy argument: even if some courts accept training as transformative, the economic and legal conflict may reappear at the level of acquisition, storage, licensing, and the scale at which firms assemble permanent private libraries from others’ work. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-bartz-anthropic-fair-use-order-2025- **Audit Source ID:** bartz-anthropic-fair-use-order-2025

1.10.29. Note N-029. Source note for Extracting Training Data from Large Language Models

Related source: Source S-038 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That large language models can sometimes reproduce identifiable verbatim material from their training data rather than only abstract statistical patterns. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-carlini-extracting-training-data-2020-md **Audit Source ID:** carlini-extracting-training-data-2020

1.10.30. Note N-030. Source note for Generative AI Training and Copyright Law

Related source: Source S-051 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That there is serious academic legal scholarship directly challenging the idea that generative AI training automatically falls under familiar exceptions. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-dornis-generative-ai-training-copyright-2025 **Audit Source ID:** dornis-generative-ai-training-copyright-2025

1.10.31. Note N-031. Source note for Bipartisan House Task Force Report on Artificial Intelligence

Related source: Source S-070 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That the dossier's copyright / weights / compensation framing entered a bipartisan U.S. congressional policy record by December 17, 2024. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the conflict over weights and training inputs had already moved beyond niche commentary into mainstream federal policy formation. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-house-ai-task-force-report **Audit Source ID:** house-ai-task-force-report-2024

1.10.32. Note N-032. Source note for The problem with annotation. Human labour and outsourcing between France and Madagascar

Related source: Source S-077 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That AI systems depend on significant human labor for data generation, annotation, verification, and operational correction. **What the note additionally suggests:** For Chapter 4, this source broadens the appropriation argument. It suggests that the value captured in model systems comes not only from cultural archives and user-generated data, but also from underpaid and often invisible labor embedded across the training and maintenance chain. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-le-ludec-cornet-casilli-annotation-madagascar **Audit Source ID:** le-ludec-cornet-casilli-annotation-madagascar-2023

1.10.33. Note N-033. Source note for The New York Times Company v. Microsoft Corporation et al, Opinion on Motions to Dismiss

Related source: Source S-085 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That another federal court outside the Anthropic case treated training-stage copying and dataset assembly as central issues serious enough to survive dismissal. **What the note additionally suggests:** That large AI firms face parallel legal pressure not only over fair use, but over the concrete mechanics of how training corpora are built and retained. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-nyt-openai-motion-dismiss-order-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** nyt-openai-motion-dismiss-order-2025

1.10.34. Note N-034. Source note for Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners

Related source: Source S-108 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That a major OpenAI training corpus was explicitly built from socially filtered outbound links shared on Reddit. **What the note additionally suggests:** That large-model development depended not only on scraped content, but also on the behavioral traces of platform users who collectively surfaced what counted as worth reading. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-radford-gpt2-webtext-2019-md **Audit Source ID:** radford-gpt2-webtext-2019

1.10.35. Note N-035. Source note for AI Copyright Weights: A New Frontier in Intellectual Property Law

Related source: Source S-122 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That the dossier has a coherent thesis about how weights condense previously captured human labor. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the legal dispute over model weights is also a dispute over sovereignty and accumulation. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-twinchat-ai-copyright-weights-md **Audit Source ID:** twinchat-ai-copyright-weights

1.10.36. Note N-036. Source note for How AI Is Ruining Your Life to Build an Empire

Related source: Source S-123 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 01, Chapter 04, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights, Surveillance capitalism and data capture, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That there is a consistent argumentative line for reading surveillance, social deterioration, and AI within a single political framework. **What the note additionally suggests:** That degraded social experience is not an isolated side effect, but a condition of possibility for the new AI economy. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-twinchat-ai-ruining-your-life-md **Audit Source ID:** twinchat-ai-ruining-your-life

1.10.37. Note N-037. Source note for Copyright and Artificial Intelligence; Notice of Inquiry

Related source: Source S-125 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That by August 2023 the U.S. Copyright Office had already elevated AI training inputs and disclosure questions into a formal federal inquiry. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-usco-ai-noi-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** usco-ai-noi-2023

1.10.38. Note N-038. Source note for Copyright and Artificial Intelligence, Part 3: Generative AI Training (Pre-publication Version)

Related source: Source S-126 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That the U.S. Copyright Office treats AI training on copyrighted works as a major live legal and policy problem rather than a settled matter. **What the note additionally suggests:** Review causal relationships carefully before elevating them into a thesis. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-04-data-to-models-copyright-weights-notes-usco-ai-training-report-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** usco-ai-training-report-2025

1.10.39. Note N-039. Source note for Amazon, Salesforce jettison jobs in latest tech worker purge

Related source: Source S-008 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **What the note primarily proves:** That major tech layoffs were publicly tied to pandemic overexpansion and deteriorating macro conditions. **What the note additionally suggests:** That later AI rhetoric often sat on top of an already established cost-structure and overhiring correction. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-ap-amazon-salesforce-tech-purge-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-amazon-salesforce-tech-purge-2023

1.10.40. Note N-040. Source note for Meta posts lower Q4 profit, announces huge stock buyback

Related source: Source S-013 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **What the note primarily proves:** That a major tech company publicly linked layoffs to pandemic overhiring and slowing post-lockdown growth. **What the note additionally suggests:** That later AI-centered labor narratives should be read against an earlier cycle of overexpansion, rising discipline from capital markets, and pressure to defend margins. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-ap-meta-q4-2022-overhiring-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-meta-q4-2022-overhiring

1.10.41. Note N-041. Source note for Meta posts sharp profit, revenue increase in Q4 thanks to cost cuts and advertising rebound

Related source: Source S-014 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **What the note primarily proves:** That Meta’s improved financial results were publicly tied to both cost cutting and layoffs, not just to a magical AI turnaround. **What the note additionally suggests:** That “AI efficiency” can operate as a selective investment story inside a broader program of labor discipline and capital-market repair. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-ap-meta-q4-2023-year-of-efficiency **Audit Source ID:** ap-meta-q4-2023-year-of-efficiency

1.10.42. Note N-042. Source note for Big Tech layoffs shattered industry, worker confidence

Related source: Source S-034 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **What the note primarily proves:** That the layoff cycle was large enough to materially change worker expectations across the sector. **What the note additionally suggests:** That part of the power of the correction was cultural and disciplinary, not only financial. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-axios-tech-layoffs-worker-confidence-2024-r **Audit Source ID:** axios-tech-layoffs-worker-confidence-2024

1.10.43. Note N-043. Source note for Annual Economic Report 2026

Related source: Source S-036 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That a primary multilateral financial institution explicitly warned in June 2026 that the AI capex race was outrunning earnings and free cash flow. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some of the public discourse about inexpensive, inevitable AI may rest on financing arrangements that are less stable than the surface growth story suggests. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-bis-annual-economic-report-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** bis-annual-economic-report-2026

1.10.44. Note N-044. Source note for H.R. 7024: Tax Relief for American Families and Workers Act of 2024 (CRS summary)

Related source: Source S-041 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **What the note primarily proves:** That Congress formally treated the post-2021 Section 174 regime as burdensome enough to warrant legislative reversal or postponement. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the tax treatment of domestic research costs was important enough to become part of a broader business-pressure story facing software and R&D-heavy companies. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-congress-hr7024-summary-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** congress-hr7024-summary-2024

1.10.45. Note N-045. Source note for H.R. 7024: Tax Relief for American Families and Workers Act of 2024

Related source: Source S-042 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **What the note primarily proves:** That Congress formally moved to soften or reverse the immediate impact of Section 174 on domestic research expensing. **What the note additionally suggests:** That companies and policymakers alike viewed the amortization rule as a meaningful drag on research-heavy firms. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-congress-hr7024-tax-relief-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** congress-hr7024-tax-relief-2024

1.10.46. Note N-046. Source note for H.R. 7024 text: Deduction for domestic research and experimental expenditures

Related source: Source S-043 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **What the note primarily proves:** That the practical Section 174 fight directly implicated software development, not only laboratory research. **What the note additionally suggests:** That firms with large software payrolls had reason to care materially about the treatment of domestic R&D costs. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-congress-hr7024-text-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** congress-hr7024-text-2024

1.10.47. Note N-047. Source note for AI exuberance masks broad weakness in tech sector, say investors

Related source: Source S-055 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **What the note primarily proves:** That AI enthusiasm did not eliminate the broader structural weakness of the sector. **What the note additionally suggests:** That “AI boom” and “tech recession outside AI” can coexist in the same period. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-ft-ai-exuberance-tech-weakness-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ft-ai-exuberance-tech-weakness-2024

1.10.48. Note N-048. Source note for Notice 2023-63: Guidance on Section 174 and specified research or experimental expenditures

Related source: Source S-075 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs **What the note primarily proves:** That Section 174 amortization was not a rumor or niche accounting theory; it was the subject of active IRS guidance. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the tax treatment of software and research spending belongs in any serious explanation of the post-2022 tech correction. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-irs-notice-2023-63-md **Audit Source ID:** irs-notice-2023-63

1.10.49. Note N-049. Source note for Mark Zuckerberg’s Message to Meta Employees

Related source: Source S-082 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174,

and layoffs, Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **What the note primarily proves:** That Meta itself framed layoffs as part of a structural response to financial conditions and organizational inefficiency. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the dossier can argue AI often served as a legitimizing growth narrative layered on top of a preexisting correction in labor and capital allocation. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-meta-year-of-efficiency-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** meta-year-of-efficiency-2023

1.10.50. Note N-050. Source note for La economía del humo digital

Related source: Source S-101 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That the dossier's smoke-economy language has a documented public framing source in Paul Lara's June 2026 writing. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the future-risk chapter can treat cognitive clutter and declining signal quality as part of the AI political economy, not only as a cultural annoyance. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-paul-lara-digital-smoke-economy-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** paul-lara-digital-smoke-economy-2026

1.10.51. Note N-051. Source note for La gran deuda por la IA

Related source: Source S-102 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That by June 2026 the dossier's debt-and-overhang thesis had a published contextual counterpart in Paul Lara's public writing. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the layoffs, IPO, and valuation story can be narrated as a debt-management story as well as a labor story. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-paul-lara-great-ai-debt-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** paul-lara-great-ai-debt-2026

1.10.52. Note N-052. Source note for El trillonario de papel

Related source: Source S-103 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That by mid-June 2026 the dossier's paper-valuation critique had a published co-framing source in Paul Lara's writing. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the future-risk chapter can connect valuation theater to social loss of economic autonomy. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-paul-lara-paper-trillionaire-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** paul-lara-paper-trillionaire-2026

1.10.53. Note N-053. Source note for How the AI bubble could pop and take down the global economy, according to the BIS

Related source: Source S-117 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 05, Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Talent hoarding, Section 174, and layoffs, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That

serious trade-technology reporting quickly translated the BIS warning into a broader public narrative about bubble risk. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the financial-risk language around AI was becoming public and legible outside central-bank or policy circles. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-05-talent-hoarding-section174-layoffs-notes-thereg-ai-bubble-bis-2026-md
Audit Source ID: thereg-ai-bubble-bis-2026

1.10.54. Note N-054. Source note for Introducing Claude 4

Related source: Source S-003 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That on May 22, 2025 Anthropic launched reasoning-oriented hybrid frontier models together with immediate commercial distribution across subscription plans, API access, and major cloud platforms. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier reasoning products can be marketed simultaneously as a capability milestone and as a commercial platform layer. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-anthropic-claude-4-release-2025-md
Audit Source ID: anthropic-claude-4-release-2025

1.10.55. Note N-055. Source note for Plans & Pricing | Claude by Anthropic

Related source: Source S-005 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That as of July 3, 2026, Anthropic’s official current pricing structure tied higher usage, priority access, and stronger feature access to premium paid plans. **What the note additionally suggests:** That competitive frontier labs converge on a similar political economy: broad public presence at the edge, premium access and larger usage at the core. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-anthropic-claude-pricing-2026-md
Audit Source ID: anthropic-claude-pricing-2026

1.10.56. Note N-056. Source note for ChatGPT Plans | Free, Go, Plus, Pro, Business, and Enterprise

Related source: Source S-039 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That as of capture on July 3, 2026, OpenAI’s official ChatGPT plan structure reserved the highest-end reasoning access for upper paid tiers rather than offering it uniformly across all users. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier reasoning is being packaged not just as a technical capability, but as a premium subscription layer with differentiated cognitive access. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-chatgpt-pricing-2026-md
Audit Source ID: chatgpt-pricing-2026

1.10.57. Note N-057. Source note for DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning Capability in LLMs via Reinforcement Learning

Related source: Source S-048 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek, Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That by January 2025 a non-U.S. lab was publicly claim-

ing frontier-adjacent reasoning performance in a primary technical paper. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some reasoning advances were likely to propagate through distillation, open release, and engineering adaptation rather than remain permanently enclosed. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025-m **Audit Source ID:** deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025

1.10.58. Note N-058. Source note for DeepSeek-V3 Technical Report

Related source: Source S-049 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek, Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That by late 2024 there was already a competitor publicly claiming frontier-adjacent performance through a technical report. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some of the value attributed to certain commercial packages may come from integration, distribution, and political capital as much as from pure algorithmic novelty. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-deepseek-v3-technical-report-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** deepseek-v3-technical-report-2024

1.10.59. Note N-059. Source note for OpenAI acknowledges new models increase risk of misuse to create bioweapons

Related source: Source S-060 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That serious T2 reporting documented OpenAI publicly acknowledging higher misuse risk at the same moment it was releasing stronger reasoning models. **What the note additionally suggests:** That public acknowledgement of risk can also reinforce a model’s frontier status and political significance. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-ft-openai-o1-bioweapon-risk-20 **Audit Source ID:** ft-openai-o1-bioweapon-risk-2024

1.10.60. Note N-060. Source note for It’s Not That Simple. An Analysis of Simple Test-Time Scaling

Related source: Source S-076 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That there is direct technical pushback against simplistic claims that frontier reasoning was trivially replicated. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the dual-use chapter is strongest when it rejects both extremes: total frontier mystique and total frontier demystification. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-its-not-that-simple-test-time-scaling-2025-m **Audit Source ID:** its-not-that-simple-test-time-scaling-2025

1.10.61. Note N-061. Source note for Pricing | OpenAI API

Related source: Source S-088 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That as of July 3, 2026, OpenAI’s official API pricing imposed a large price premium on GPT-5.5 Pro relative to GPT-5.5. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the market story of “cheap AI” can coexist with steep price differen-

tials once users seek the most capable or least constrained reasoning tier. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-openai-api-pricing-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** openai-api-pricing-2026

1.10.62. Note N-062. Source note for Learning to reason with LLMs

Related source: Source S-093 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI publicly framed reasoning as a new scaling layer based on additional train-time and test-time compute. **What the note additionally suggests:** That part of the frontier competition was shifting from pretraining scale alone toward inference-time and reinforcement-learning-heavy differentiation. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-openai-learning-to-reason-with-llms-2024 **Audit Source ID:** openai-learning-to-reason-with-llms-2024

1.10.63. Note N-063. Source note for OpenAI o1 System Card

Related source: Source S-095 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI itself paired stronger reasoning capability with formal safety, preparedness, and misuse-risk framing. **What the note additionally suggests:** That safety language functioned as part of the release architecture for frontier reasoning models rather than only as a constraint against deployment. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-openai-o1-system-card-2024 **Audit Source ID:** openai-o1-system-card-2024

1.10.64. Note N-064. Source note for s1: Simple test-time scaling

Related source: Source S-112 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI's reasoning launch quickly triggered open replication efforts focused on test-time scaling. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some of the social power of frontier reasoning came from packaging and access control around techniques that were at least partially reproducible in the open. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025 **Audit Source ID:** s1-simple-test-time-scaling-2025

1.10.65. Note N-065. Source note for Scaling LLM Test-Time Compute Optimally can be More Effective than Scaling Model Parameters

Related source: Source S-115 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That test-time compute scaling is a documented technical mechanism rather than only a commercial branding story. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some of the frontier push toward premium reasoning tiers may rest on a real technical cost structure around adaptive inference and compute allocation. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-snell-test-time-compute-2024 **Audit Source ID:** snell-test-time-compute-2024

1.10.66. Note N-066. Source note for SpecReason: Fast and Accurate Inference-Time Compute via Speculative Reasoning

Related source: Source S-116 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Dual-use AI, reasoning, and DeepSeek **What the note primarily proves:** That inference-time reasoning carries real serving and latency overhead rather than being only a benchmark abstraction. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier firms may have real operational reasons to ration, tier, or optimize access to high-reasoning modes even while those choices still serve concentration and dependency. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-notes-specreason-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** specreason-2025

1.10.67. Note N-067. Source note for Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strategic Collaboration to Advance Generative AI

Related source: Source S-002 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That at least one major frontier lab publicly committed the majority of its workloads and future model development to a hyperscaler cloud provider. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier-model access and autonomy may be constrained not only by export controls but also by dependence on a small number of cloud and chip intermediaries. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023 **Audit Source ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023

1.10.68. Note N-068. Source note for Claude Gov models for U.S. national security customers

Related source: Source S-004 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That by June 6, 2025 Anthropic had publicly launched a government-specific model line for U.S. national security customers. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier access stratification is not only about consumer and enterprise pricing, but also about custom model lines reserved for classified state environments. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-anthropic-claude-gov-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025

1.10.69. Note N-069. Source note for Army Launches Detachment 201: Executive Innovation Corps to Drive Tech Transformation

Related source: Source S-026 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That by June 13, 2025 the U.S. Army had created a formal structure to embed senior commercial-tech executives in a military innovation role. **What the note additionally suggests:** That state-company alignment can deepen through personnel embedding as well as through contracts, policy submissions, and cloud access. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-army-detachment-201-2025-md

Audit Source ID: army-detachment-201-2025

1.10.70. Note N-070. Source note for Guidance Regarding Enforcement of License Requirements for Advanced Computing Items for Entities Headquartered in Country Group D:5 and Macau

Related source: Source S-037 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That there is a primary U.S. government record directly describing continued license requirements for advanced computing exports linked to Country Group D:5 and Macau. **What the note additionally suggests:** That control over frontier AI access is being operationalized through licensing classifications, entity linkage, and compute-related export restrictions rather than only through public doctrine. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2025 **Audit Source ID:** bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026

1.10.71. Note N-071. Source note for Request for Information on the Development of an Artificial Intelligence (AI) Action Plan

Related source: Source S-054 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That the language of AI dominance did not remain only in a political order, but moved into an official federal consultation process. **What the note additionally suggests:** That U.S. AI policy in 2025 was being organized as a coordinated state agenda rather than as a casual sum of isolated initiatives. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025

1.10.72. Note N-072. Source note for Introducing OpenAI for Government

Related source: Source S-090 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That by June 16, 2025 OpenAI had formally reorganized and expanded its U.S. public-sector work under an OpenAI for Government initiative rather than treating government use as incidental. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier-lab access politics increasingly include direct vendor positioning toward government customers, not only abstract policy advocacy. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-openai-for-government-2025 **Audit Source ID:** openai-for-government-2025

1.10.73. Note N-073. Source note for OpenAI's proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan

Related source: Source S-096 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 04, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI officially defended a public-policy agenda

combining global commercial expansion, export controls, and preservation of access to copyrighted material for training. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the conflict over copyright is not only legal or cultural, but also geopolitical and industrial. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025

1.10.74. Note N-074. Source note for Anthropic and Palantir Partner to Bring Claude AI Models to AWS for U.S. Government Intelligence and Defense Operations

Related source: Source S-098 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That by November 7, 2024 Anthropic model access for U.S. intelligence and defense agencies was being publicly distributed through a Palantir-plus-AWS stack. **What the note additionally suggests:** That access control at the high end increasingly depends on cleared intermediaries and accredited cloud environments, not only on model ownership. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership **Audit Source ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024

1.10.75. Note N-075. Source note for Guerra e IA, ¿por qué le urge a EU terminar con Irán?

Related source: Source S-105 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That the dossier's geopolitical-imperial vocabulary has a published co-framing source in Paul Lara's writing. **What the note additionally suggests:** That access control, compute, and geopolitical risk should not be treated as separate stories. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-paul-lara-war-and-ai-iran-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** paul-lara-war-and-ai-iran-2026

1.10.76. Note N-076. Source note for Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence

Related source: Source S-130 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Export controls, compute, and defense, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That competition over AI was officially framed by the U.S. government in terms of global dominance, economic competitiveness, and national security. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the language of technological leadership already functions as a doctrine of state power rather than only as a business vision. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-notes-whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025

1.10.77. Note N-077. Source note for Responsible Scaling Policy Version 3.0

Related source: Source S-007 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That legitimacy in

frontier AI now includes highly public safety-governance documents aimed at markets, policymakers, and peers. **What the note additionally suggests:** That governance artifacts can operate both as accountability devices and as legitimacy infrastructure. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-anthropic-rsp-v3-announcement-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-announcement-2026

1.10.78. Note N-078. Source note for OpenAI board unanimously rejects Elon Musk’s \$97.4 billion proposal

Related source: Source S-017 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Named individuals, disputes, and risk **What the note primarily proves:** That the nonprofit shell around OpenAI had become a live site of high-stakes power struggle. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the value of legitimacy-bearing governance structures increases as frontier AI firms become more economically and politically central. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025

1.10.79. Note N-079. Source note for OpenAI appoints former top US cyberwarrior Paul Nakasone to its board of directors

Related source: Source S-021 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08, Chapter 06 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Export controls, compute, and defense, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI brought a former top U.S. military and intelligence official directly into its governance structure. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Chapter 8 can treat defense-adjacent appointments as structural legitimacy devices, not just biography trivia. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-ap-openai-nakasone-board-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-openai-nakasone-board-2024

1.10.80. Note N-080. Source note for OpenAI may move forward with new business structure, partnership with Microsoft, regulators say

Related source: Source S-022 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI’s legitimacy architecture expanded beyond rhetoric into a regulator-reviewed public benefit restructuring. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Chapter 8 can discuss legitimacy as something assembled through legal form, regulator acquiescence, mission language, and capital structure together. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-ap-openai-public-benefit-restructure-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-openai-public-benefit-restructure-2025

1.10.81. Note N-081. Source note for Anthropic outspends OpenAI in biggest-ever lobbying quarter

Related source: Source S-027 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That frontier AI firms were spending meaningful and rising sums on federal lobbying by early 2026. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the frontier-lab legitimacy system increasingly includes routine Washington influence operations similar to mature Big Tech firms. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-axios-anthropic-openai-lobbying- **Audit Source ID:** axios-anthropic-openai-lobbying-quarter-2026

1.10.82. Note N-082. Source note for OpenAI-linked PAC doubles down in Kentucky

Related source: Source S-030 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That AI-aligned political influence was extending into PAC and nonprofit electoral infrastructure by 2026. **What the note additionally suggests:** That AI legitimacy and policy shaping were becoming electoral projects, not only corporate-governance or lobbying projects. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-axios-openai-linked-pac-kentucky- **Audit Source ID:** axios-openai-linked-pac-kentucky-2026

1.10.83. Note N-083. Source note for ‘Magnifica Humanitas’: el papel de Christopher Olah y Anthropic en la encíclica sobre la IA del papa León XIV

Related source: Source S-044 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That serious contextual reporting publicly linked Anthropic, Christopher Olah, and constitutional-AI discourse to the reception environment around the 2026 Vatican encyclical. **What the note additionally suggests:** That interpretability and constitutional-AI language may have found resonance in religious AI debates. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-conversation-magnifica-humanitas-olah-anthropic- **Audit Source ID:** conversation-magnifica-humanitas-olah-anthropic-2026

1.10.84. Note N-084. Source note for The Adolescence of Technology

Related source: Source S-047 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That by January 1, 2026, Anthropic’s own CEO publicly described wealth concentration, backlash, and democratic erosion as serious AI-adjacent risks. **What the note additionally suggests:** That at least some frontier-AI insiders believe the social damage scenarios are serious enough to justify large-scale giving, public warning, and policy engagement. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-dario-amodei-adolescence-of-technology-2026- **Audit Source ID:** dario-amodei-adolescence-of-technology-2026

1.10.85. Note N-085. Source note for LEADING THE FUTURE - committee overview

Related source: Source S-053 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That there is an official federal record for LEADING THE FUTURE as an active Super PAC in the 2025-2026 election cycle. **What the note additionally suggests:** That AI-adjacent political infrastructure had moved into a materially significant electoral-finance scale by early 2026. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-fec-leading-the-future-committee-overview-2026 **Audit Source ID:** fec-leading-the-future-committee-overview-2026

1.10.86. Note N-086. Source note for Request for Information on the Development of an Artificial Intelligence (AI) Action Plan

Related source: Source S-054 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That the state created an official consultation pathway for shaping the 2025 AI doctrine. **What the note additionally suggests:** That actors with speed, reputation, and policy capacity had privileged opportunities to shape the official language of AI leadership. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025

1.10.87. Note N-087. Source note for OpenAI appoints one of Wall Street's most powerful dealmakers to its board

Related source: Source S-061 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI continued to load its board with elite finance and infrastructure figures during its restructuring phase. **What the note additionally suggests:** That OpenAI's legitimacy strategy was increasingly tied to access to capital-intensive infrastructure and high-status institutional networks. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-ft-openai-board-2025 **Audit Source ID:** ft-openai-ogunlesi-board-2025

1.10.88. Note N-088. Source note for In-Q-Tel

Related source: Source S-074 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 01, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That there is a formal institutional channel connecting strategic technology development with intelligence and defense needs. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some of the political legitimacy around frontier technology is produced through organizations designed to mediate between markets and state power. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-iqt-homepage-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** iqt-homepage-2026

1.10.89. Note N-089. Source note for Sam Altman’s Manifest Destiny

Related source: Source S-083 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That by October 3, 2016 Sam Altman publicly described maintaining a survival-prep cache and a New Zealand fallback with Peter Thiel. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some of the same figures later positioned as custodians of AI infrastructure had already made private contingency planning part of their worldview. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-newyorker-sam-altman-manifest-destiny-2016-r **Audit Source ID:** newyorker-sam-altman-manifest-destiny-2016

1.10.90. Note N-090. Source note for Sam Altman returns as CEO, OpenAI has a new initial board

Related source: Source S-087 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI sought to restore legitimacy through a highly visible governance reset. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the stabilization of frontier labs increasingly requires political-institutional choreography, not just technical continuity. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-openai-altman-returns-board-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023

1.10.91. Note N-091. Source note for OpenAI announces leadership transition

Related source: Source S-092 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI publicly treated its crisis as a governance and legitimacy rupture, not as a simple executive reshuffle. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier AI firms derive legitimacy from special-purpose governance narratives that can conflict with ordinary commercial expectations. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-openai-leadership-transition-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023

1.10.92. Note N-092. Source note for OpenAI announces new members to board of directors

Related source: Source S-094 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 06, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI deliberately rebuilt its board with figures carrying regulatory, legal, nonprofit, and major-platform credentials. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier AI governance increasingly depends on assembling directors who can translate between product growth, regulation, elite institutions, and public trust. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-openai-new-board-members-2024-md

Audit Source ID: openai-new-board-members-2024

1.10.93. Note N-093. Source note for OpenAI’s proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan

Related source: Source S-096 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07, Chapter 04 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Export controls, compute, and defense, From human data to models and weights **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI presents itself as a policy actor seeking to co-design national AI strategy. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier firms seek institutional legitimacy not only from users and investors, but from formal alignment with state strategy. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-md **Audit Source ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025

1.10.94. Note N-094. Source note for Mark Zuckerberg Downplays His 5,000-Square-Foot Underground Bunker in Hawaii: ‘Just a Little Shelter’

Related source: Source S-106 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That Zuckerberg publicly minimized the compound’s bunker framing after the earlier investigation. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the legitimacy question is not only about what is built, but about how elite actors frame the same infrastructure once it becomes public. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-people-zuckerberg-little-shelter-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** people-zuckerberg-little-shelter-2024

1.10.95. Note N-095. Source note for Encyclical Letter of His Holiness Leo XIV Magnifica Humanitas

Related source: Source S-127 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That by May 15, 2026, the AI legitimacy field had expanded into a formal Vatican encyclical rather than remaining confined to industry, policy, or technical discourse. **What the note additionally suggests:** That legitimacy around AI is now contested on moral and theological terrain as well as political and financial terrain. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-vatican-magnifica-humanitas-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** vatican-magnifica-humanitas-2026

1.10.96. Note N-096. Source note for Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence

Related source: Source S-130 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 07 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That AI dominance was elevated to explicit state doctrine in 2025. **What the note additionally suggests:** That corporate and state narratives around AI leadership may increasingly converge around a shared imperial vocabulary. **Audit**

Note ID: vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-md

Audit Source ID: whitehouse-eo-14179-2025

1.10.97. Note N-097. Source note for Inside Mark Zuckerberg’s Top-Secret Hawaii Compound

Related source: Source S-133 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That a serious T2 investigation documented bunker-like features, strong secrecy controls, and private redundancy architecture at Zuckerberg’s Kauai compound by December 2023. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some of the actors who shaped platform dependency also invested materially in private exemption from shared risk. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-notes-wired-zuckerberg-hawaii-compound-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** wired-zuckerberg-hawaii-compound-2023

1.10.98. Note N-098. Source note for Computing Power and the Governance of Artificial Intelligence

Related source: Source S-040 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That access hierarchy in frontier AI is materially tied to compute concentration and not only to abstract innovation differences. **What the note additionally suggests:** That continuity under current conditions is likely to favor actors with privileged access to chips, clouds, and capital-intensive training infrastructure. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-09-future-if-unchecked-notes-compute-governance-ai-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** compute-governance-ai-2024

1.10.99. Note N-099. Source note for The Digital Sovereignty Imperative

Related source: Source S-050 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That Dion Wiggins publicly frames sovereignty in survivability terms and links continuity to disguised dependency. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the future chapter’s continuity argument can borrow Wiggins’s vocabulary in a bounded way while grounding its substance in the dossier’s own labor, compute, legitimacy, and fallback record. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-09-future-if-unchecked-notes-digital-sovereignty-imperative-homepage-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** digital-sovereignty-imperative-homepage-2026

1.10.100. Note N-100. Source note for GPTs are GPTs: An Early Look at the Labor Market Impact Potential of Large Language Models

Related source: Source S-065 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That generative AI exposure reaches well beyond narrow routine clerical work and extends into professional and white-collar task bundles. **What the note additionally suggests:** That continuity under current deployment patterns is likely to produce uneven restructuring rather than a single clean wave of total

automation. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-09-future-if-unchecked-notes-gpts-are-gpts-labor-impact-2023-
Audit Source ID: gpts-are-gpts-labor-impact-2023

1.10.101. Note N-101. Source note for Gen-AI: Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work

Related source: Source S-071 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That labor-market exposure to generative AI is systematically uneven across geography, occupation, and class position. **What the note additionally suggests:** That continuity under current conditions is likely to widen unevenness between workers who are complemented by AI, workers who are subordinated to it, and workers who are pushed through unstable transitions. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-09-future-if-unchecked-notes-imf-gen-ai-future-of-work-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** imf-gen-ai-future-of-work-2024

1.10.102. Note N-102. Source note for Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching and Learning: Insights and Recommendations

Related source: Source S-124 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That a primary U.S. education authority already treated AI-mediated learning as a governance question rather than as a neutral productivity upgrade. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Chapter 10 can defend cognitive literacy, educator oversight, and institutional guardrails as legitimate response layers rather than lifestyle preferences. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-09-future-if-unchecked-notes-us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023

1.10.103. Note N-103. Source note for Interactions Between Artificial Intelligence and Digital Public Infrastructure: Concepts, Benefits, and Challenges

Related source: Source S-001 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That a public-interest AI infrastructure layer is intellectually and technically legible within serious research, not an ideological fantasy. **What the note additionally suggests:** That alternatives to closed commercial ecosystems will require standards, institutional capacity, and rights-preserving design rather than only open-source rhetoric. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-notes-ai-digital-public-infrastructure-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ai-digital-public-infrastructure-2024

1.10.104. Note N-104. Source note for FTC opens inquiry into Big Tech's partnerships with leading AI startups

Related source: Source S-010 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That formal competition scrutiny of frontier-AI partnerships was already underway in the United States by January 2024. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the relevant policy unit is

not only the model company by itself, but also the cloud, capital, and distribution relationships that shape market power. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-notes-ap-ftc-ai-par
Audit Source ID: ap-ftc-ai-partnerships-inquiry-2024

1.10.105. Note N-105. Source note for *Extremismo antitecnológico*

Related source: Source S-100 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy, Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That the dossier's response layer has a documented co-framing source for reading AI backlash as a social-governance problem rather than only as irrational fear. **What the note additionally suggests:** That a credible response agenda must account for public backlash, legitimacy collapse, and possible misuse of national-security labels. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-notes-paul-lara-anti-technological-extremism-2026-m
Audit Source ID: paul-lara-anti-technological-extremism-2026

1.10.106. Note N-106. Source note for *¿Cómo hackear al tecnofeudalismo?*

Related source: Source S-104 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 00, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy, Future if unchecked **What the note primarily proves:** That by late June 2026 the dossier's response layer had a documented co-framing voice organized around technofeudalism, democratic governance, and digital sovereignty. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the counterstrategy chapter can use the language of technofeudalism without relying only on Anglo policy jargon. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-notes-paul-lara-tecnofeudalismo-2026-md
Audit Source ID: paul-lara-tecnofeudalismo-2026

1.10.107. Note N-107. Source note for *Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching and Learning: Insights and Recommendations*

Related source: Source S-124 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That an institutional response agenda can legitimately include cognitive literacy, human oversight, and training rather than relying on consumer self-control alone. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Chapter 10 should frame digital hygiene and cognitive discipline as institutional practices that need support, not as isolated private virtues. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-notes-us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023-md
Audit Source ID: us-ed-ai-future-teaching-learning-2023

1.10.108. Note N-108. Source note for *In Oxford Handbook on AI Governance: The Role of Workers in AI Ethics and Governance*

Related source: Source S-134 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Response agenda and counterstrategy **What the note primarily proves:** That workers can be treated as governance actors in AI deployment rather than only as subjects of managerial adoption. **What the note additionally suggests:** That any response limited to elite oversight or consumer literacy will miss one of the most important feedback channels in actual deploy-

ment environments. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-10-counterstrategy-response-agenda-notes-workers-role-a
Audit Source ID: workers-role-ai-ethics-governance-2021

1.10.109. Note N-109. Source note for Thiel brings his Antichrist lectures to the Vatican’s doorstep, and Catholic institutions back away

Related source: Source S-025 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08
Connected vectors: Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That Thiel’s relevance to the power-network file extends into current national politics, not only historical Facebook and Palantir governance. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the Thiel strand can be treated as living political influence inside a broader legitimacy and capture field. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-ap-thiel-antichrist-vance-2026-md
Audit Source ID: ap-thiel-antichrist-vance-2026

1.10.110. Note N-110. Source note for Facebook, Inc. Registration Statement on Form S-1

Related source: Source S-052 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the early Facebook governance structure joined large-scale data-platform growth with venture-capital influence at the board level. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-facebook-s1-2012-md **Audit Source ID:** facebook-s1-2012

1.10.111. Note N-111. Source note for New Zealand woos the wealthy with ‘golden visa’ changes

Related source: Source S-058 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09, Chapter 10 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked, Response agenda and counterstrategy, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That the Thiel/New Zealand strand can be grounded in a serious T2 business-paper source, not only in contextual essays. **What the note additionally suggests:** That some elite actors do not only seek wealth or influence within existing systems; they also seek optionality across jurisdictions. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-ft-new-zealand-golden-visa-2025
Audit Source ID: ft-new-zealand-golden-visa-2025

1.10.112. Note N-112. Source note for Why Silicon Valley billionaires are prepping for the apocalypse in New Zealand

Related source: Source S-066 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Future if unchecked, Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That by early 2018 New Zealand was already a publicly legible

elite-safe-haven trope in Silicon Valley collapse-prep discourse. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the Thiel file can be extended from platform and Palantir continuity into jurisdictional exit and ideological retreat without drifting into unsupported secret-command theory. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-guardian-billionaires-new-zealand-2018 **Audit Source ID:** guardian-billionaires-new-zealand-2018

1.10.113. Note N-113. Source note for In-Q-Tel

Related source: Source S-074 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That there is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovation with intelligence, defense, and national-security needs. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the boundary between commercial innovation and national security has become increasingly porous and strategic. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-iqt-homepage-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** iqt-homepage-2026

1.10.114. Note N-114. Source note for Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement

Related source: Source S-080 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That Peter Thiel's formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Thiel's connection to Facebook / Meta should be treated as a durable governance relationship rather than a one-time seed investment anecdote. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-md **Audit Source ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement

1.10.115. Note N-115. Source note for About Palantir

Related source: Source S-097 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That Palantir publicly presents itself as a mission-driven company built around difficult institutional data problems. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Palantir's identity is structurally tied to institutional power rather than consumer software markets. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-palantir-about-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** palantir-about-2026

1.10.116. Note N-116. Source note for Gotham

Related source: Source S-099 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That Palantir openly markets Gotham to defense agencies and the intelligence community. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Thiel-linked capital cannot be discussed only as venture finance because part of the network is embedded in operational state infrastructure. **Audit**

Note ID: vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-palantir-gotham-2026-md
Audit Source ID: palantir-gotham-2026

1.10.117. Note N-117. Source note for In-Q-Tel: The Central Intelligence Agency as Venture Capitalist

Related source: Source S-109 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That a serious legal-academic source treated In-Q-Tel as a formal CIA-sponsored venture-capital structure rather than a loose rumor or internet myth. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-IQT story is strongest when framed as structured adjacency through sponsorship, investment, and institutional mission rather than as hidden omnipotence. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-reinert-iqt-venture-capitalist-2013-md
Audit Source ID: reinert-iqt-venture-capitalist-2013

1.10.118. Note N-118. Source note for The Sovereign Individual | Official Publisher Page

Related source: Source S-113 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08, Chapter 09 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That Thiel's association with The Sovereign Individual is publisher-confirmed for the contemporary edition. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the Thiel/New Zealand strand is not just about property or personal eccentricity, but also about a public ideological association with elite autonomy outside ordinary political constraints. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-simon-schuster-sovereign-individual-2020
Audit Source ID: simon-schuster-sovereign-individual-2020

1.10.119. Note N-119. Source note for What to know about Palantir, the tech company playing a key role for ICE

Related source: Source S-129 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That a serious T2 source publicly linked Palantir's early CIA-incubator funding, Peter Thiel's role, and the company's later integration into government contracting and enforcement infrastructure. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the dossier's bounded continuity argument across Facebook, Thiel, Palantir, and security-state technology ecosystems is structurally plausible when framed through investment, governance, and contracts. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-wapo-palantir-explainer-2025-md
Audit Source ID: wapo-palantir-explainer-2025

1.10.120. Note N-120. Source note for It Pays to Play With the CIA

Related source: Source S-131 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** Facebook, Thiel, and intelligence adjacency **What the note primarily proves:** That an archival source can document both sides of the In-Q-Tel structure at

once: explicit CIA linkage and an explicit claim of separate corporate form with its own board.

What the note additionally suggests: That the strongest reading of the In-Q-Tel record is a hybrid public-private structure whose power lies in organized sponsorship and strategic relationships, not necessarily in direct command over every firm touched by the ecosystem. **Audit Note ID:**

vectors-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-notes-wired-in-q-tel-cia-2002-md

Audit Source ID: wired-in-q-tel-cia-2002

1.10.121. Note N-121. Source note for Meta CEO Mark Zuckerberg kicks off developer conference with focus on AI, virtual reality

Related source: Source S-011 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **What the note primarily proves:** That even two years after the rebrand, Meta was still publicly tying its corporate identity to the metaverse. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the post-rebrand period can be read as a prolonged strategic transition in which immersive-world rhetoric and AI rhetoric overlapped. **Audit Note ID:**

vectors-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-notes-ap-meta-connect-2023-md

Audit Source ID: ap-meta-connect-2023

1.10.122. Note N-122. Source note for Meta sees AI spending accelerating as earnings top forecasts

Related source: Source S-056 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion

What the note primarily proves: That by late 2024 major financial press was explicitly describing Meta as pivoting away from a lossmaking metaverse emphasis toward AI. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the rebrand period is defensibly readable as a strategic narrative whose center of gravity later shifted toward AI under financial pressure. **Audit Note ID:**

vectors-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-notes-ft-meta-ai-spending-reality-labs-2024

Audit Source ID: ft-meta-ai-spending-reality-labs-2024

1.10.123. Note N-123. Source note for FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sweeping New Privacy Restrictions on Facebook

Related source: Source S-064 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion, Surveillance capitalism and data capture **What the note primarily proves:**

That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight. **What the note additionally suggests:** That mass data extraction and opacity about its use were not marginal deviations, but central traits of the platform business model. **Audit Note ID:**

vectors-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-notes-ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-md

Audit Source ID: ftc-facebook-privacy-2019

1.10.124. Note N-124. Source note for Meta Slashes Prices for VR Headsets**Related source:** Source S-073 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion**What the note primarily proves:** That by early 2023 Meta was already cutting prices and confronting evidence of weak VR commercial traction. **What the note additionally suggests:** Thatthe metaverse program required increasingly aggressive efforts to stimulate demand. **Audit Note****ID:** vectors-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-notes-investopedia-meta-vr-price-cuts**Audit Source ID:** investopedia-meta-vr-price-cuts-2023**1.10.125. Note N-125. Source note for With Reality Labs, Meta risks heading down slippery slope of overspending again****Related source:** Source S-078 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion**What the note primarily proves:** That the metaverse-era spending cycle remained financially salient well after the rebrand. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Meta's future-facingnarratives repeatedly had to answer to investor pressure around the same loss-making unit. **Audit****Note ID:** vectors-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-notes-marketwatch-reality-labs-c**Audit Source ID:** marketwatch-reality-labs-overspending-2024**1.10.126. Note N-126. Source note for Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Report (Form 10-K)****Related source:** Source S-079 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter 02,Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion **What****the note primarily proves:** That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting. **What the note additionally****suggests:** That the Meta story is best read as a layered one: reputational repair, strategic redirection, and high-cost experimentation funded by a still-dominant surveillance-advertising machine.**Audit Note ID:** vectors-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-notes-meta-2023-10k-md**Audit Source ID:** meta-2023-10k**1.10.127. Note N-127. Source note for The Facebook Company Is Now Meta****Related source:** Source S-081 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 01, Chapter02, Chapter 03 **Connected vectors:** Cambridge Analytica, Meta, and the metaverse diversion**What the note primarily proves:** That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change. **What the note additionally suggests:**

That the Meta pivot can be read as an attempt to redirect attention, valuation logic, and future expectations toward a new technological horizon after years of reputational and regulatory damage.

Audit Note ID: vectors-12-cambridge-analytica-meta-metaverse-rebrand-notes-meta-rebrand-2021-mc**Audit Source ID:** meta-rebrand-2021

1.10.128. Note N-128. Source note for Anthropic’s Responsible Scaling Policy (version 3.0)

Related source: Source S-006 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That a leading OpenAI rival publicly frames frontier safety and governance in competitive, ecosystem-wide terms rather than as a purely internal ethics exercise. **What the note additionally suggests:** That safety language can function simultaneously as sincere risk framing and as strategic positioning within a competitive race. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-anthropic-rsp-v3-2026-md **Audit Source ID:** anthropic-rsp-v3-2026

1.10.129. Note N-129. Source note for OpenAI has ‘full confidence’ in CEO Sam Altman after investigation, reinstates him to board

Related source: Source S-016 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI and AP both treated the November 2023 episode as a governance rupture requiring formal review and institutional repair. **What the note additionally suggests:** That later claims tying the November crisis exclusively to safety fears should be handled carefully because this T2 account records a narrower official explanation. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-ap-openai-board-investigation-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-openai-board-investigation-2024

1.10.130. Note N-130. Source note for OpenAI Chief Technology Officer Mira Murati and 2 other execs are leaving the ChatGPT maker

Related source: Source S-018 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That the OpenAI exodus broadened beyond one or two figures and continued into late 2024. **What the note additionally suggests:** That OpenAI’s post-crisis stabilization remained incomplete even after the board reset. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-ap-openai-murati-execs-depart-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-openai-murati-execs-depart-2024

1.10.131. Note N-131. Source note for OpenAI co-founder Ilya Sutskever announces departure from ChatGPT maker

Related source: Source S-023 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That one of OpenAI’s core technical founders exited within months of the governance crisis. **What the note additionally suggests:** That talent exits at OpenAI can be treated as part of a longer governance pattern rather than a sealed one-week scandal. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-ap-openai-sutskever-departure-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ap-openai-sutskever-departure-2024

1.10.132. Note N-132. Source note for How Sam Altman’s ouster went down, according to OpenAI’s ex-president

Related source: Source S-029 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That the November 2023 OpenAI crisis involved an abrupt and unusually fragile governance process. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the governance structure of a frontier lab can generate acute instability even when backed by enormous capital and prestige. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-axios-openai-board-timeline-2023 **Audit Source ID:** axios-openai-board-timeline-2023

1.10.133. Note N-133. Source note for How tensions over profitability at OpenAI turned into a full-blown crisis

Related source: Source S-031 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That a serious, mainstream account of the crisis centered on structural tension between mission governance and commercial expansion. **What the note additionally suggests:** That later safety rhetoric and commercial acceleration should be read together rather than as separate stories. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-axios-openai-profitability-crisis-2023 **Audit Source ID:** axios-openai-profitability-crisis-2023

1.10.134. Note N-134. Source note for OpenAI co-founder Ilya Sutskever to exit firm

Related source: Source S-033 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That one of OpenAI’s most important technical founders exited in the aftermath of the governance crisis. **What the note additionally suggests:** That capability leadership, safety positioning, and internal control were tightly linked in OpenAI’s post-crisis reorganization. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-axios-sutskever-exit-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** axios-sutskever-exit-2024

1.10.135. Note N-135. Source note for Who’s left at OpenAI? Sam Altman consolidates power after failed coup

Related source: Source S-059 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That by late 2024 a major T2 outlet was describing OpenAI’s leadership changes as part of a continuing post-coup power consolidation. **What the note additionally suggests:** That Chapter 6 can discuss safety rhetoric, commercialization, and governance together as overlapping pressures rather than disconnected narratives. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-ft-openai-consolidates-power-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ft-openai-consolidates-power-2024

1.10.136. Note N-136. Source note for OpenAI co-founder departs for rival Anthropic

Related source: Source S-062 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That another OpenAI co-founder left for a direct frontier rival in 2024. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the dossier can discuss technical exits in relation to governance strain and strategic direction without claiming a single hidden cause. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-ft-openai-schulman-anthropic-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** ft-openai-schulman-anthropic-2024

1.10.137. Note N-137. Source note for Sam Altman returns as CEO, OpenAI has a new initial board

Related source: Source S-087 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI formally responded to the crisis with a board reset and an explicit governance-repair agenda. **What the note additionally suggests:** That post-crisis stabilization depended on bringing corporate governance, elite policy credibility, and strategic partnership management into the same frame. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-openai-altman-returns-board-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** openai-altman-returns-board-2023

1.10.138. Note N-138. Source note for OpenAI board forms safety and security committee

Related source: Source S-089 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture, Export controls, compute, and defense **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI publicly moved safety and security oversight to a named board-level structure after the governance crisis. **What the note additionally suggests:** That safety language at OpenAI functioned not only as model policy but also as governance architecture and legitimacy work. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-openai-board-forms-safety-committee-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** openai-board-forms-safety-security-committee-2024

1.10.139. Note N-139. Source note for Introducing Superalignment

Related source: Source S-091 **Risk label:** low **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That OpenAI publicly elevated existential-risk and governance language well before the 2024 departures and 2025-2026 access fights. **What the note additionally suggests:** That later talent exits involving Sutskever- and Leike-linked safety figures can be read against a real prior governance/safety architecture, not a purely invented narrative. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-openai-introducing-superalignment-2023-r **Audit Source ID:** openai-introducing-superalignment-2023

1.10.140. Note N-140. Source note for OpenAI announces leadership transition

Related source: Source S-092 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That the November 2023 crisis was recognized by OpenAI itself as a formal governance rupture. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the governance of frontier labs cannot be understood only as product management, but as an arena where mission, safety, capital, and legitimacy openly collide. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-openai-leadership-transition-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** openai-leadership-transition-2023

1.10.141. Note N-141. Source note for OpenAI researchers warned board of AI breakthrough ahead of CEO ouster, sources say

Related source: Source S-110 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That by November 23, 2023 there was serious mainstream reporting explicitly linking the board crisis to a letter about an AI breakthrough. **What the note additionally suggests:** That capability anxiety may have been part of the governance environment even if it was not the only or decisive cause of Altman's ouster. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-reuters-qstar-board-warning-2023-md **Audit Source ID:** reuters-qstar-board-warning-2023

1.10.142. Note N-142. Source note for Exclusive: OpenAI working on new reasoning technology under code name 'Strawberry'

Related source: Source S-111 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That by mid-July 2024 Reuters had published serious reporting about an OpenAI reasoning project under the codename Strawberry. **What the note additionally suggests:** That o1 was preceded by a visible transition period in which OpenAI's internal reasoning work was already leaking into the public sphere. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024-md **Audit Source ID:** reuters-strawberry-reasoning-2024

1.10.143. Note N-143. Source note for The OpenAI Talent Exodus Gives Rivals an Opening

Related source: Source S-132 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 06, Chapter 07, Chapter 08 **Connected vectors:** OpenAI, Q*, and technical exodus **What the note primarily proves:** That by late 2024 there was a well-documented public narrative of repeated senior departures from OpenAI. **What the note additionally suggests:** That frontier labs may lose some of their original research culture as valuation pressure, productization, and competitive scale intensify. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-13-openai-governance-qstar-talent-exodus-notes-wired-openai-talent-exodus-2024 **Audit Source ID:** wired-openai-talent-exodus-2024

1.10.144. Note N-144. Source note for Judge denies Elon Musk’s request to block OpenAI for-profit conversion but welcomes trial

Related source: Source S-015 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08
Connected vectors: Named individuals, disputes, and risk **What the note primarily proves:** That by March 5, 2025 Musk had failed to obtain immediate judicial relief blocking OpenAI’s conversion path. **What the note additionally suggests:** That named-individual conflict is analytically useful when it illuminates legal architecture, mission-control claims, and institutional power rather than functioning as scandal collage. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-notes-ap-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-md
Audit Source ID: ap-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025

1.10.145. Note N-145. Source note for OpenAI board unanimously rejects Elon Musk’s \$97.4 billion proposal

Related source: Source S-017 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08
Connected vectors: Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That there was an active, public, high-stakes dispute over control of OpenAI’s nonprofit mission structure. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the governance shell around frontier AI firms has become valuable enough to trigger direct conflict over mission, assets, and conversion pathways. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-notes-ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025-md
Audit Source ID: ap-openai-board-rejects-musk-bid-2025

1.10.146. Note N-146. Source note for How Elon Musk \$97.4 billion bid complicates matters for OpenAI

Related source: Source S-019 **Risk label:** review **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08
Connected vectors: Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That there was a live, high-stakes dispute over whether OpenAI’s nonprofit mission structure constrained its conversion and ownership options. **What the note additionally suggests:** That elite conflict around OpenAI was partly a struggle over who gets to define the meaning of mission, nonprofit purpose, and public benefit. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-notes-ap-openai-musk-bid-complicates-2025-md
Audit Source ID: ap-openai-musk-bid-complicates-2025

1.10.147. Note N-147. Source note for OpenAI says Musk agreed the ChatGPT maker should become a for-profit company

Related source: Source S-020 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08
Connected vectors: Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That the dispute over OpenAI’s nonprofit mission and for-profit structure was public, high-stakes, and procedurally live in early 2024. **What the note additionally suggests:** That the fight over OpenAI’s structure was never merely rhetorical; it concerned control, funding, and the legitimacy of mission language. **Audit Note ID:** vectors-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-notes-ap-openai-musk-for-profit-2024-md

Audit Source ID: ap-openai-musk-for-profit-2024

1.10.148. Note N-148. Source note for Musk v. Altman, 4:24-cv-04722

Related source: Source S-045 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08
Connected vectors: Named individuals, disputes, and risk, Power networks, legitimacy, and institutional capture **What the note primarily proves:** That the Musk-OpenAI dispute had a concrete federal-court vehicle with named defendants and an active procedural history.

What the note additionally suggests: That disputes over nonprofit mission and control of frontier AI institutions were serious enough to produce sustained litigation. **Audit Note ID:**

vectors-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-notes-courtlistener-musk-v-altman-docket-2024-m

Audit Source ID: courtlistener-musk-v-altman-docket-2024

1.10.149. Note N-149. Source note for Judge denies Elon Musk’s attempt to immediately block OpenAI’s conversion to for-profit entity

Related source: Source S-057 **Risk label:** medium **Appears in chapters:** Chapter 08
Connected vectors: Named individuals, disputes, and risk **What the note primarily proves:** That by March 5, 2025 Musk had failed to obtain immediate judicial relief blocking OpenAI’s conversion path.

What the note additionally suggests: That named-individual disputes are most useful when they clarify the legal and institutional limits of mission-control claims rather than simply amplifying accusation. **Audit Note ID:**

vectors-14-named-individuals-disputes-and-risk-notes-ft-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025-md

Audit Source ID: ft-musk-openai-injunction-denied-2025