

Chapter 07: Imperial Control Over Access

From Surveillance Capitalism to AI Imperialism | Chapter 07: Imperial control over access

0.1. Abstract

The AI system is not simply a market of competing products. The United States has already moved from broad leadership rhetoric into formal doctrine, consultation processes, and implementation guidance. If frontier AI is governed through chokepoints, then intelligence itself becomes a stratified geopolitical infrastructure.

0.2. Keywords

Imperial control over access; surveillance capitalism; AI imperialism; government capture; evidence-based dossier

0.3. Research Question

How does imperial control over access function within the dossier's larger argument, and what does the documentary record allow this chapter to establish?

0.4. Scope and Framing Note

This paper examines imperial control over access within the broader dossier *From Surveillance Capitalism to AI Imperialism*. It is intentionally written to circulate on its own: necessary context is restated, evidence boundaries are made explicit, and cited material is reproduced in paper-local form rather than delegated to repo navigation. It contributes to the series as a paper that establishes chokepoints, strategic access, and state-company interfaces that justify anti-capture obligations. Adjacent reinforcement appears in Chapter 06, Chapter 08, Chapter 10, but those cross-references are supportive rather than required for basic comprehension.

0.5. Central Thesis

The AI system is not simply a market of competing products. It is also an architecture of chokepoints. Export controls, cloud dependence, chip access, and defense-state adjacency increasingly define who can build, scale, or even reach frontier intelligence. The question is no longer only who invents. It is who gets permission.¹

¹Sources: The White House, Removing Barriers to American Leaders... (2025-01-23; see Appendix A1); Bureau of Industry and Security, Guidance Regarding Enforcement of Lic... (2026-05-31; see Appendix A2); Amazon, Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strateg... (2023-09-25; see Appendix A3)

0.6. Evidence and Method Note

This paper is derived from the chapter corpus for Chapter 07 and is grounded in the project's structured research OS. It relies on source notes, extracted claims, entity profiles, and timeline events already normalized under the dossier's evidence hierarchy. Documented facts are asserted directly where the record supports them; disputed matters are marked as such; interpretive claims are bounded explicitly; and speculative overreach is isolated in the evidence-boundary appendix.

0.7. Introduction

This paper asks: How does imperial control over access function within the dossier's larger argument, and what does the documentary record allow this chapter to establish? Its working answer is clear from the start: The AI system is not simply a market of competing products. It is also an architecture of chokepoints. Export controls, cloud dependence, chip access, and defense-state adjacency increasingly define who can build, scale, or even reach frontier intelligence. The question is no longer only who invents. It is who gets permission.² The objective is not only to recount developments, but to show why the subject of this chapter belongs inside a structural argument about extraction, enclosure, legitimacy, and reform.

0.8. Historical or Institutional Context

The United States has already moved from broad leadership rhetoric into formal doctrine, consultation processes, and implementation guidance. Executive action, federal requests for information, and corporate positioning now form a visible policy sequence rather than a vague background condition.³

0.9. Main Analysis

BIS guidance gives the advanced-computing export regime an operational enforcement layer. The politics of AI access is therefore not merely metaphorical. It is already administrative, technical, and executable.⁴

Hyperscaler dependence is also documented. At least one major frontier lab publicly tied the majority of its workloads and future development to a single cloud giant, which means frontier capability is already routed through a small number of infrastructural landlords.⁵

The formal government-facing layer is now public as well. OpenAI's **OpenAI for Government**, Anthropic's **Claude Gov**, and the Palantir-AWS-Anthropic classified distribution lane show that frontier access is organized not only through markets but through accredited, restricted, and

²Sources: The White House, Removing Barriers to American Leaders... (2025-01-23; see Appendix A1); Bureau of Industry and Security, Guidance Regarding Enforcement of Lic... (2026-05-31; see Appendix A2); Amazon, Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strateg... (2023-09-25; see Appendix A3)

³Sources: The White House, Removing Barriers to American Leaders... (2025-01-23; see Appendix A1); Federal Register, Request for Information on the Develo... (2025-02-06; see Appendix A4); OpenAI, OpenAI's proposals for the U.S. AI Ac... (2025-03-13; see Appendix A5)

⁴Sources: Bureau of Industry and Security, Guidance Regarding Enforcement of Lic... (2026-05-31; see Appendix A2)

⁵Sources: Amazon, Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strateg... (2023-09-25; see Appendix A3)

government-priority channels.⁶

The personnel interface is explicit too. The Army’s **Detachment 201** demonstrates that the boundary between senior frontier-tech leadership and military institutions has become porous in public view. That does not prove improper command. It does prove public institutional integration.⁷

In-Q-Tel, Palantir, and the Thiel-linked network provide a serious documentary basis for talking about venture-state-security adjacency without drifting into mythology. The structure is the point: chips, clouds, accredited environments, contracts, and strategic interfaces remain highly concentrated even if some model knowledge diffuses.⁸

Interpretation: this is where **Limit Government Capture by AI Companies** begins to look structurally necessary. Once public support, export-control protection, privileged state access, and defense channels become material to frontier advantage, the resulting intelligence cannot be defended as a purely ordinary private market outcome.⁹

0.10. Position Within the Series

This paper sits inside a coordinated 11-paper architecture. Its nearest companions are Chapter 06 (AI as a dual-use rhetorical weapon), Chapter 08 (Power network, legitimacy, and institutional capture), Chapter 10 (What to do). In that series logic, Chapter 07 establishes chokepoints, strategic access, and state-company interfaces that justify anti-capture obligations.

0.11. Counterarguments

This chapter must not confuse chokepoints with total closure. The evidence supports concentrated leverage, privileged lanes, and formal state-company interfaces, not omnipotent control over all capability diffusion.¹⁰

0.12. Limits of the Evidence

This chapter must not confuse chokepoints with total closure. The evidence supports concentrated leverage, privileged lanes, and formal state-company interfaces, not omnipotent control over all capability diffusion.¹¹

⁶Sources: OpenAI, *Introducing OpenAI for Government* (2025-06-16; see Appendix A6); Anthropic, *Claude Gov models for U.S. national s...* (2025-06-06; see Appendix A7); Palantir Technologies, *Anthropic and Palantir Partner to Bri...* (2024-11-07; see Appendix A8)

⁷Sources: U.S. Army Public Affairs, *Army Launches Detachment 201: Executi...* (2025-06-13; see Appendix A9)

⁸Sources: In-Q-Tel, *In-Q-Tel* (n.d.; see Appendix A10); Palantir, *Gotham* (n.d.; see Appendix A11); SEC, *Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive...* (2022-04-08; see Appendix A12); arXiv, *DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning...* (2025-01-22; see Appendix A13)

⁹Sources: OpenAI, *Introducing OpenAI for Government* (2025-06-16; see Appendix A6); Anthropic, *Claude Gov models for U.S. national s...* (2025-06-06; see Appendix A7); Bureau of Industry and Security, *Guidance Regarding Enforcement of Lic...* (2026-05-31; see Appendix A2)

¹⁰Sources: arXiv, *DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning...* (2025-01-22; see Appendix A13); Amazon, *Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strateg...* (2023-09-25; see Appendix A3)

¹¹Sources: arXiv, *DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning...* (2025-01-22; see Appendix A13); Amazon, *Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strateg...* (2023-09-25; see Appendix A3)

0.13. Reform Relevance

If frontier AI is governed through chokepoints, then intelligence itself becomes a stratified geopolitical infrastructure. Access is no longer merely a subscription question. It becomes a question of empire, supply chains, protected lanes, and permission.¹²

0.14. Conclusion

If frontier AI is governed through chokepoints, then intelligence itself becomes a stratified geopolitical infrastructure. But chokepoints alone do not explain public acceptance. In the architecture of this series, Chapter 07 therefore functions as a self-contained argument while also advancing the cumulative path toward the dossier's three reforms.

0.15. Notes

This paper is designed to circulate independently. Public notes point readers to the real external documents first, then to the paper-local appendix entry that explains why each source matters.

The underlying research OS distinguishes among **Documented Fact**, **Disputed Fact**, **Hypothesis / Interpretation**, and **Speculative Narrative Risk**. In Chapter 07, those boundaries remain visible in the prose, in the bibliography, and in the appendix sections that summarize claims and caution points.

0.16. References / Bibliography

1. The White House. Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence. 2025-01-23. T1 executive_order. Paper appendix: Appendix A1. Corpus companion entry: Source S-130. Audit ID: `whitehouse-eo-14179-2025`. Relevance: That AI dominance was elevated to explicit state doctrine in 2025.
2. Bureau of Industry and Security. Guidance Regarding Enforcement of License Requirements for Advanced Computing Items for Entities Headquartered in Country Group D:5 and Macau. 2026-05-31. T1 guidance_pdf. Paper appendix: Appendix A2. Corpus companion entry: Source S-037. Audit ID: `bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026`. Relevance: That there is a primary U.S. government record directly describing continued license requirements for advanced computing exports linked to Country Group D:5 and Macau.
3. Amazon. Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strategic Collaboration to Advance Generative AI. 2023-09-25. T1 press_release. Paper appendix: Appendix A3. Corpus companion entry: Source S-002. Audit ID: `amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023`. Relevance: That at least one major frontier lab publicly committed the majority of its workloads and future model development to a hyperscaler cloud provider.
4. Federal Register. Request for Information on the Development of an Artificial Intelligence (AI) Action Plan. 2025-02-06. T1 federal_register_notice. Paper appendix: Appendix A4. Corpus companion entry: Source S-054. Audit ID: `fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025`. Relevance: That the state created an official consultation pathway for shaping the 2025 AI doctrine.

¹²Sources: The White House, Removing Barriers to American Leaders... (2025-01-23; see Appendix A1); Bureau of Industry and Security, Guidance Regarding Enforcement of Lic... (2026-05-31; see Appendix A2); OpenAI, Introducing OpenAI for Government (2025-06-16; see Appendix A6)

5. OpenAI. OpenAI’s proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan. 2025-03-13. T1 policy_submission. Paper appendix: Appendix A5. Corpus companion entry: Source S-096. Audit ID: **openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025**. Relevance: That OpenAI presents itself as a policy actor seeking to co-design national AI strategy.
6. OpenAI. Introducing OpenAI for Government. 2025-06-16. T1 release. Paper appendix: Appendix A6. Corpus companion entry: Source S-090. Audit ID: **openai-for-government-2025**. Relevance: That by June 16, 2025 OpenAI had formally reorganized and expanded its U.S. public-sector work under an **OpenAI for Government** initiative rather than treating government use as...
7. Anthropic. Claude Gov models for U.S. national security customers. 2025-06-06. T1 release. Paper appendix: Appendix A7. Corpus companion entry: Source S-004. Audit ID: **anthropic-claude-gov-2025**. Relevance: That by June 6, 2025 Anthropic had publicly launched a government-specific model line for U.S. national security customers.
8. Palantir Technologies. Anthropic and Palantir Partner to Bring Claude AI Models to AWS for U.S. Government Intelligence and Defense Operations. 2024-11-07. T1 press_release. Paper appendix: Appendix A8. Corpus companion entry: Source S-098. Audit ID: **palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024**. Relevance: That by November 7, 2024 Anthropic model access for U.S. intelligence and defense agencies was being publicly distributed through a Palantir-plus-AWS stack.
9. U.S. Army Public Affairs. Army Launches Detachment 201: Executive Innovation Corps to Drive Tech Transformation. 2025-06-13. T1 press_release. Paper appendix: Appendix A9. Corpus companion entry: Source S-026. Audit ID: **army-detachment-201-2025**. Relevance: That by June 13, 2025 the U.S. Army had created a formal structure to embed senior commercial-tech executives in a military innovation role.
10. In-Q-Tel. In-Q-Tel. n.d.. T1 organization_homepage. Paper appendix: Appendix A10. Corpus companion entry: Source S-074. Audit ID: **iqt-homepage-2026**. Relevance: That there is a formal institutional channel connecting strategic technology development with intelligence and defense needs.
11. Palantir. Gotham. n.d.. T1 product_page. Paper appendix: Appendix A11. Corpus companion entry: Source S-099. Audit ID: **palantir-gotham-2026**. Relevance: That Palantir openly markets Gotham to defense agencies and the intelligence community.
12. SEC. Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement. 2022-04-08. T1 proxy_statement. Paper appendix: Appendix A12. Corpus companion entry: Source S-080. Audit ID: **meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement**. Relevance: That Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022.
13. arXiv. DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning Capability in LLMs via Reinforcement Learning. 2025-01-22. T1 paper. Paper appendix: Appendix A13. Corpus companion entry: Source S-048. Audit ID: **deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025**. Relevance: That by January 2025 a non-U.S. lab was publicly claiming frontier-adjacent reasoning performance in a primary technical paper.

0.17. Appendix A. Source Register for This Paper

0.17.1. Appendix A1. Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence

Source citation: Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence **Institution** / **author:** The White House **Date:** 2025-01-23 **Tier** / **type:** T1 / executive_order **Why it matters in this paper:** That AI dominance was elevated to explicit state doctrine in 2025. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-130 **Audit ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025

0.17.2. Appendix A2. Guidance Regarding Enforcement of License Requirements for Advanced Computing Items for Entities Headquartered in Country Group D:5 and Macau

Source citation: Guidance Regarding Enforcement of License Requirements for Advanced Computing Items for Entities Headquartered in Country Group D:5 and Macau **Institution** / **author:** Bureau of Industry and Security **Date:** 2026-05-31 **Tier** / **type:** T1 / guidance_pdf **Why it matters in this paper:** That there is a primary U.S. government record directly describing continued license requirements for advanced computing exports linked to Country Group D:5 and Macau. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-037 **Audit ID:** bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026

0.17.3. Appendix A3. Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strategic Collaboration to Advance Generative AI

Source citation: Amazon and Anthropic Announce Strategic Collaboration to Advance Generative AI **Institution** / **author:** Amazon **Date:** 2023-09-25 **Tier** / **type:** T1 / press_release **Why it matters in this paper:** That at least one major frontier lab publicly committed the majority of its workloads and future model development to a hyperscaler cloud provider. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-002 **Audit ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023

0.17.4. Appendix A4. Request for Information on the Development of an Artificial Intelligence (AI) Action Plan

Source citation: Request for Information on the Development of an Artificial Intelligence (AI) Action Plan **Institution** / **author:** Federal Register **Date:** 2025-02-06 **Tier** / **type:** T1 / federal_register_notice **Why it matters in this paper:** That the state created an official consultation pathway for shaping the 2025 AI doctrine. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-054 **Audit ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025

0.17.5. Appendix A5. OpenAI's proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan

Source citation: OpenAI's proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan **Institution** / **author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2025-03-13 **Tier** / **type:** T1 / policy_submission **Why it matters in this paper:** That OpenAI presents itself as a policy actor seeking to co-design national AI strategy. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-096 **Audit ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025

0.17.6. Appendix A6. Introducing OpenAI for Government

Source citation: Introducing OpenAI for Government **Institution / author:** OpenAI **Date:** 2025-06-16 **Tier / type:** T1 / release **Why it matters in this paper:** That by June 16, 2025 OpenAI had formally reorganized and expanded its U.S. public-sector work under an OpenAI for Government initiative rather than treating government use as... **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-090 **Audit ID:** openai-for-government-2025

0.17.7. Appendix A7. Claude Gov models for U.S. national security customers

Source citation: Claude Gov models for U.S. national security customers **Institution / author:** Anthropic **Date:** 2025-06-06 **Tier / type:** T1 / release **Why it matters in this paper:** That by June 6, 2025 Anthropic had publicly launched a government-specific model line for U.S. national security customers. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-004 **Audit ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025

0.17.8. Appendix A8. Anthropic and Palantir Partner to Bring Claude AI Models to AWS for U.S. Government Intelligence and Defense Operations

Source citation: Anthropic and Palantir Partner to Bring Claude AI Models to AWS for U.S. Government Intelligence and Defense Operations **Institution / author:** Palantir Technologies **Date:** 2024-11-07 **Tier / type:** T1 / press_release **Why it matters in this paper:** That by November 7, 2024 Anthropic model access for U.S. intelligence and defense agencies was being publicly distributed through a Palantir-plus-AWS stack. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-098 **Audit ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024

0.17.9. Appendix A9. Army Launches Detachment 201: Executive Innovation Corps to Drive Tech Transformation

Source citation: Army Launches Detachment 201: Executive Innovation Corps to Drive Tech Transformation **Institution / author:** U.S. Army Public Affairs **Date:** 2025-06-13 **Tier / type:** T1 / press_release **Why it matters in this paper:** That by June 13, 2025 the U.S. Army had created a formal structure to embed senior commercial-tech executives in a military innovation role. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-026 **Audit ID:** army-detachment-201-2025

0.17.10. Appendix A10. In-Q-Tel

Source citation: In-Q-Tel **Institution / author:** In-Q-Tel **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / organization_homepage **Why it matters in this paper:** That there is a formal institutional channel connecting strategic technology development with intelligence and defense needs. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-074 **Audit ID:** iqt-homepage-2026

0.17.11. Appendix A11. Gotham

Source citation: Gotham **Institution / author:** Palantir **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / product_page **Why it matters in this paper:** That Palantir openly markets Gotham to defense

agencies and the intelligence community. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-099 **Audit ID:** palantir-gotham-2026

0.17.12. Appendix A12. Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement

Source citation: Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement **Institution / author:** SEC **Date:** 2022-04-08 **Tier / type:** T1 / proxy_statement **Why it matters in this paper:** That Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-080 **Audit ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement

0.17.13. Appendix A13. DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning Capability in LLMs via Reinforcement Learning

Source citation: DeepSeek-R1: Incentivizing Reasoning Capability in LLMs via Reinforcement Learning **Institution / author:** arXiv **Date:** 2025-01-22 **Tier / type:** T1 / paper **Why it matters in this paper:** That by January 2025 a non-U.S. lab was publicly claiming frontier-adjacent reasoning performance in a primary technical paper. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-048 **Audit ID:** deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025

0.18. Appendix B. Claims Used in This Paper

0.18.1. Appendix B1. At least one major frontier lab publicly committed the majority of its workloads...

Claim statement: That at least one major frontier lab publicly committed the majority of its workloads and future model development to a hyperscaler cloud provider. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-004 **Audit Claim ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023-07-export-controls-compute-defense-acce

0.18.2. Appendix B2. Chapter 07 can document compute dependence and cloud concentration with a primary...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 can document compute dependence and cloud concentration with a primary source rather than only with abstract market language. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-005 **Audit Claim ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023-07-export-controls-compute-defense-acce

0.18.3. Appendix B3. Chip access, cloud infrastructure, model distribution, and capital investment wer...

Claim statement: That chip access, cloud infrastructure, model distribution, and capital investment were being bundled together in a single strategic relationship. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-006 **Audit Claim ID:** amazon-anthropic-strategic-collaboration-2023-07-export-controls-compute-defense-acce

0.18.4. Appendix B4. By June 6, 2025 Anthropic had publicly launched a government-specific model line...

Claim statement: That by June 6, 2025 Anthropic had publicly launched a government-specific model line for U.S. national security customers. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A7 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-010 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-01

0.18.5. Appendix B5. Anthropic publicly described those models as already deployed in high-level natio...

Claim statement: That Anthropic publicly described those models as already deployed in high-level national-security agencies and restricted to classified environments. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A7 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-011 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-02

0.18.6. Appendix B6. The company openly framed model adaptation for defense, intelligence, and cyberse...

Claim statement: That the company openly framed model adaptation for defense, intelligence, and cybersecurity use cases as part of its government offering. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A7 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-012 **Audit Claim ID:** anthropic-claude-gov-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-03

0.18.7. Appendix B7. By June 13, 2025 the U.S. Army had created a formal structure to embed senior com...

Claim statement: That by June 13, 2025 the U.S. Army had created a formal structure to embed senior commercial-tech executives in a military innovation role. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A9 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-078 **Audit Claim ID:** army-detachment-201-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-01

0.18.8. Appendix B8. The initial cohort explicitly included executives from Palantir and OpenAI, not o...

Claim statement: That the initial cohort explicitly included executives from Palantir and OpenAI, not only traditional defense contractors. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A9 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-079 **Audit Claim ID:** army-detachment-201-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-02

0.18.9. Appendix B9. Chapter 07 and Chapter 08 can document a direct institutional bridge between fron...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 and Chapter 08 can document a direct institutional bridge between frontier/commercial AI leadership and military structures without relying on rumor or guilt by association. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A9 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-080 **Audit Claim ID:** army-detachment-201-2025-07-export-controls-compute

0.18.10. Appendix B10. There is a primary U.S. government record directly describing continued license r...

Claim statement: That there is a primary U.S. government record directly describing continued license requirements for advanced computing exports linked to Country Group D:5 and Macau. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:**

Claim C-111 **Audit Claim ID:** bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026-07-export-controls-com

0.18.11. Appendix B11. Chapter 07 can document a concrete implementation layer beneath abstract talk of...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 can document a concrete implementation layer beneath abstract talk of export controls and access politics. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-112 **Audit Claim ID:**

bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-0

0.18.12. Appendix B12. The AI Diffusion Rule and the earlier November 2023 controls should be treated as...

Claim statement: That the AI Diffusion Rule and the earlier November 2023 controls should be treated as connected parts of a continuing export-control regime rather than isolated headlines. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:**

Claim C-113 **Audit Claim ID:** bis-guidance-advanced-computing-d5-macau-2026-07-export-controls-com

0.18.13. Appendix B13. By January 2025 a non-U.S. lab was publicly claiming frontier-adjacent reasoning...

Claim statement: That by January 2025 a non-U.S. lab was publicly claiming frontier-adjacent reasoning performance in a primary technical paper. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A13 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-146 **Audit Claim ID:**

deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-01

0.18.14. Appendix B14. Reasoning capability was not confined entirely to closed U.S. product stacks and...

Claim statement: That reasoning capability was not confined entirely to closed U.S. product stacks and was partly diffusing through open publication and model release. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A13 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-147 **Audit Claim ID:**

deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-cla

0.18.15. Appendix B15. Chapters 06 and 07 can contrast frontier-access politics with a documented patter...

Claim statement: That Chapters 06 and 07 can contrast frontier-access politics with a documented pattern of partial technical diffusion. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A13 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-148 **Audit Claim ID:**

deepseek-r1-reinforcement-learning-2025-06-ai-double-use-reasoning-deepseek-claim-03

0.18.16. Appendix B16. The state created an official consultation pathway for shaping the 2025 AI doctrine

Claim statement: That the state created an official consultation pathway for shaping the 2025 AI doctrine. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-167 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-ca

0.18.17. Appendix B17. Legitimacy in AI was being organized through formal administrative processes, not...

Claim statement: That legitimacy in AI was being organized through formal administrative processes, not only informal lobbying. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-168 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

0.18.18. Appendix B18. Chapter 8 can map institutional capture or influence as a documented procedural f...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can map institutional capture or influence as a documented procedural field. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-169 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy

0.18.19. Appendix B19. The language of AI dominance did not remain only in a political order, but moved...

Claim statement: That the language of AI dominance did not remain only in a political order, but moved into an official federal consultation process. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-170 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-claim-01

0.18.20. Appendix B20. OSTP and federal agencies activated a formal channel to gather input from industr...

Claim statement: That OSTP and federal agencies activated a formal channel to gather input from industry, academia, and other actors on U.S. AI strategy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-171 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-claim-02

0.18.21. Appendix B21. The dossier can document a clear institutional sequence between the presidential...

Claim statement: That the dossier can document a clear institutional sequence between the presidential order, the RFI, and later corporate policy positioning. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-172 **Audit Claim ID:** fr-ai-action-plan-rfi-2025-claim-03

0.18.22. Appendix B22. There is a formal institutional channel connecting strategic technology developme...

Claim statement: That there is a formal institutional channel connecting strategic technology development with intelligence and defense needs. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-234 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

0.18.23. Appendix B23. The adjacency between frontier innovation and the state apparatus can be document...

Claim statement: That the adjacency between frontier innovation and the state apparatus can be documented from primary sources. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-235 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

0.18.24. Appendix B24. Chapter 8 can discuss legitimacy networks without relying on conspiratorial infer...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can discuss legitimacy networks without relying on conspiratorial inference. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-236 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capt

0.18.25. Appendix B25. There is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovat...

Claim statement: That there is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovation with intelligence, defense, and national-security needs. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-237 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-claim-01

0.18.26. Appendix B26. Adjacency between venture capital, frontier tech, and the state apparatus is not...

Claim statement: That adjacency between venture capital, frontier tech, and the state apparatus is not speculation: in the case of IQT, it is expressed by the organization itself. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-238 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-claim-02

0.18.27. Appendix B27. The dossier can discuss a technology-transfer infrastructure toward the state wit...

Claim statement: That the dossier can discuss a technology-transfer infrastructure toward the state without depending on conspiratorial sources. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-239 **Audit Claim ID:** iqt-homepage-2026-claim-03

0.18.28. Appendix B28. Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A12 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-262 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

0.18.29. Appendix B29. The company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the en...

Claim statement: That the company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the end of his term at the 2022 annual meeting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A12 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-263 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

0.18.30. Appendix B30. Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before...

Claim statement: That Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before his departure from the board. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A12 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-264 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-03

0.18.31. Appendix B31. Continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era include...

Claim statement: That continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era included continuity in elite board membership. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A12 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-265 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-04

0.18.32. Appendix B32. By June 16, 2025 OpenAI had formally reorganized and expanded its U.S. public-sec...

Claim statement: That by June 16, 2025 OpenAI had formally reorganized and expanded its U.S. public-sector work under an OpenAI for Government initiative rather than treating government use as incidental. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-298 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-for-government-2025-07-export-controls-compu

0.18.33. Appendix B33. OpenAI publicly tied government access to secure environments, limited national-s...

Claim statement: That OpenAI publicly tied government access to secure environments, limited national-security custom models, and structured forward visibility into upcoming capabilities. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-299 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-for-government-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access

0.18.34. Appendix B34. OpenAI’s own record documents a formal DoD CDAO pilot with a \$200 million ceiling...

Claim statement: That OpenAI’s own record documents a formal DoD CDAO pilot with a \$200 million ceiling under this initiative. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-300 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-for-government-2025-07-export-controls-compute-defense-access-claim-03

0.18.35. Appendix B35. OpenAI presents itself as a policy actor seeking to co-design national AI strategy

Claim statement: That OpenAI presents itself as a policy actor seeking to co-design national AI strategy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-319 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy

0.18.36. Appendix B36. The company publicly links its commercial and technical agenda to national-security...

Claim statement: That the company publicly links its commercial and technical agenda to national-security and industrial-policy language. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-320 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

0.18.37. Appendix B37. Chapter 8 can treat lobbying and doctrinal positioning as part of the legitimacy...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can treat lobbying and doctrinal positioning as part of the legitimacy architecture of frontier firms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-321 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

0.18.38. Appendix B38. OpenAI officially defended a public-policy agenda combining global commercial exp...

Claim statement: That OpenAI officially defended a public-policy agenda combining global commercial expansion, export controls, and preservation of access to copyrighted material for training. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-322 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-claim-01

0.18.39. Appendix B39. The company presents itself not only as a model provider, but as an actor seeking...

Claim statement: That the company presents itself not only as a model provider, but as an actor seeking to co-design U.S. national AI strategy. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-323 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-claim-02

0.18.40. Appendix B40. The language of national security, competition with the PRC, and government adopt...

Claim statement: That the language of national security, competition with the PRC, and government adoption is already integrated into its official 2025 discourse. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-324 **Audit Claim ID:** openai-us-ai-action-plan-2025-claim-03

0.18.41. Appendix B41. By November 7, 2024 Anthropic model access for U.S. intelligence and defense agen...

Claim statement: That by November 7, 2024 Anthropic model access for U.S. intelligence and defense agencies was being publicly distributed through a Palantir-plus-AWS stack. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A8 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-328 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024-07-export-controls-compute-defense-

0.18.42. Appendix B42. Classified-environment frontier-model access was tied to specific accredited infr...

Claim statement: That classified-environment frontier-model access was tied to specific accredited infrastructure and defense-cleared platform arrangements rather than open public availability. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A8 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-329 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024-07-export-controls-

0.18.43. Appendix B43. Chapter 07 can document a concrete distribution channel where model access, cloud...

Claim statement: That Chapter 07 can document a concrete distribution channel where model access, cloud concentration, accreditation, and defense use cases are bundled together. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A8 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-330 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-anthropic-aws-gov-partnership-2024-07-export-controls-compute-defense-

0.18.44. Appendix B44. Palantir openly markets Gotham to defense agencies and the intelligence community

Claim statement: That Palantir openly markets Gotham to defense agencies and the intelligence community. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A11 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-331 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-gotham-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adj-

0.18.45. Appendix B45. Palantir's public product framing already includes operational AI, deployment at...

Claim statement: That Palantir's public product framing already includes operational AI, deployment at the edge, and mission-critical decision support. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A11 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-332 **Audit Claim ID:** palantir-gotham-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

0.18.46. Appendix B46. Palantir’s position inside security-state and defense workflows does not need to...

Claim statement: That Palantir’s position inside security-state and defense workflows does not need to be inferred from hostile or conspiratorial sources because the company states it directly. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A11 **Corpus companion entry:**

Claim C-333 Audit Claim ID: palantir-gotham-2026-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-

0.18.47. Appendix B47. AI dominance was elevated to explicit state doctrine in 2025

Claim statement: That AI dominance was elevated to explicit state doctrine in 2025. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-

477 Audit Claim ID: whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-01

0.18.48. Appendix B48. Deregulatory and dominance-oriented state framing can serve as an external source...

Claim statement: That deregulatory and dominance-oriented state framing can serve as an external source of legitimacy for frontier firms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-478 **Audit Claim ID:**

whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-02

0.18.49. Appendix B49. Chapter 8 can ground the idea of institutional capture or mutual reinforcement in...

Claim statement: That Chapter 8 can ground the idea of institutional capture or mutual reinforcement in official state language rather than metaphor alone. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-479 **Audit Claim ID:**

whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-08-power-networks-legitimacy-capture-claim-03

0.18.50. Appendix B50. Competition over AI was officially framed by the U.S. government in terms of glob...

Claim statement: That competition over AI was officially framed by the U.S. government in terms of global dominance, economic competitiveness, and national security. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-480 **Audit Claim ID:**

whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-claim-01

0.18.51. Appendix B51. There was an explicit turn toward a more deregulatory line aimed at removing inte...

Claim statement: That there was an explicit turn toward a more deregulatory line aimed at removing internal barriers for U.S. actors. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-481 **Audit Claim ID:**

whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-claim-02

0.18.52. Appendix B52. The 2025 state framework directly connected AI policy, national security, and adm...

Claim statement: That the 2025 state framework directly connected AI policy, national security, and administrative reordering. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-482 **Audit Claim ID:** whitehouse-eo-14179-2025-claim-03

0.18.53. Appendix B53. Current official records show frontier labs and adjacent platform firms building...

Claim statement: That current official records show frontier labs and adjacent platform firms building formal government-facing channels through secure offerings, classified-environment access, accredited cloud stacks, and even military personnel embedding rather than engaging the state only through lobbying or abstract policy rhetoric. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Appendix A6, Appendix A7, Appendix A8, Appendix A9 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-437 **Audit Claim ID:** vector07-formal-government-interface-synthesis-claim-01

0.18.54. Appendix B54. Firms benefiting from export-control protection, strategic state access, or privi...

Claim statement: That firms benefiting from export-control protection, strategic state access, or privileged public coordination should face stronger transparency and public-obligation duties than ordinary software vendors. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Appendix A1, Appendix A5, Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-438 **Audit Claim ID:** vector07-public-obligations-for-protected-firms-claim-01

0.18.55. Appendix B55. The Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record supports a bounded argument about net...

Claim statement: That the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record supports a bounded argument about networked governance continuity between surveillance platforms, venture capital, and security-state technology ecosystems. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Appendix A12, Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-459 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-networked-adjacency-synthesis-claim-01

0.18.56. Appendix B56. The strongest reading of the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record is a structu...

Claim statement: That the strongest reading of the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record is a structured adjacency model built through board power, venture sponsorship, and government contracting rather than evidence of covert command. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Appendix A12, Appendix A10, Appendix A11 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-461 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-structured-adjacency-not-command-claim-01

0.18.57. Appendix B57. Open reasoning papers or public model releases eliminate the politics of compute...

Claim statement: That open reasoning papers or public model releases eliminate the politics of compute concentration, distribution control, or institutional gatekeeping around frontier AI. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Appendix A13 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-432 **Audit Claim ID:** vector06-open-publication-solves-control-overclaim-claim-01

0.18.58. Appendix B58. Export controls, hyperscaler dependence, and defense adjacency prove total state...

Claim statement: That export controls, hyperscaler dependence, and defense adjacency prove total state ownership or seamless command over every frontier-model training, deployment, or access pathway. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Appendix A2, Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-439 **Audit Claim ID:** vector07-total-state-command-overclaim-claim-01

0.19. Appendix C. Timeline Slice

0.19.1. Appendix C1. 2022-04-08: Meta’s proxy states that Peter Thiel will retire from the board after the annual meeting

Event summary: Meta’s proxy states that Peter Thiel will retire from the board after the annual meeting **Event date:** 2022-04-08 **Source support:** Appendix A12 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-017 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-proxy-thiel-retirement-2022-04-08

0.19.2. Appendix C2. 2023-09-25: Amazon and Anthropic tie frontier-model development to AWS cloud and chips

Event summary: Amazon and Anthropic tie frontier-model development to AWS cloud and chips **Event date:** 2023-09-25 **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-030 **Audit Event ID:** event-amazon-anthropic-collaboration-2023-09-25

0.19.3. Appendix C3. 2024-11-07: Palantir, Anthropic, and AWS announce a classified-environment channel for Claude in...

Event summary: Palantir, Anthropic, and AWS announce a classified-environment channel for Claude in U.S. defense and intelligence work **Event date:** 2024-11-07 **Source support:** Appendix A8 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-059 **Audit Event ID:** event-palantir-anthropic-aws-government-c

0.19.4. Appendix C4. 2025-01-22: DeepSeek publishes its R1 reasoning paper and open release claims

Event summary: DeepSeek publishes its R1 reasoning paper and open release claims **Event date:** 2025-01-22 **Source support:** Appendix A13 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-065 **Audit Event ID:** event-deepseek-r1-2025-01-22

0.19.5. Appendix C5. 2025-01-23: The White House makes sustaining and enhancing U.S. global AI dominance official policy

Event summary: The White House makes sustaining and enhancing U.S. global AI dominance official policy **Event date:** 2025-01-23 **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-066 **Audit Event ID:** event-eo-14179-2025-01-23

0.19.6. Appendix C6. 2025-02-06: The AI Action Plan RFI is published in the Federal Register

Event summary: The AI Action Plan RFI is published in the Federal Register **Event date:** 2025-02-06 **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-068 **Audit Event ID:** event-fr-rfi-2025-02-06

0.19.7. Appendix C7. 2025-03-13: OpenAI publishes its proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan

Event summary: OpenAI publishes its proposals for the U.S. AI Action Plan **Event date:** 2025-03-13 **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-072 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-action-plan-2025-03-13

0.19.8. Appendix C8. 2025-06-06: Anthropic launches Claude Gov for U.S. national security customers

Event summary: Anthropic launches Claude Gov for U.S. national security customers **Event date:** 2025-06-06 **Source support:** Appendix A7 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-077 **Audit Event ID:** event-anthropic-claude-gov-2025-06-06

0.19.9. Appendix C9. 2025-06-13: The U.S. Army launches Detachment 201 and commissions senior tech executives into a r...

Event summary: The U.S. Army launches Detachment 201 and commissions senior tech executives into a reserve innovation corps **Event date:** 2025-06-13 **Source support:** Appendix A9 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-078 **Audit Event ID:** event-army-detachment-201-2025-06-13

0.19.10. Appendix C10. 2025-06-16: OpenAI launches OpenAI for Government and announces a DoD CDAO pilot

Event summary: OpenAI launches OpenAI for Government and announces a DoD CDAO pilot **Event date:** 2025-06-16 **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-079 **Audit Event ID:** event-openai-for-government-2025-06-16

0.19.11. Appendix C11. 2026-05-31: BIS reaffirms license requirements for advanced computing items tied to D:5 and Macau...

Event summary: BIS reaffirms license requirements for advanced computing items tied to D:5 and Macau entities **Event date:** 2026-05-31 **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-092 **Audit Event ID:** event-bis-advanced-computing-guidance-2026-05-31

0.20. Appendix D. Relevant Entities

0.20.1. Appendix D1. Amazon Web Services

Entity type: cloud_provider **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access.

Relevant sources in this paper: Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-009

Audit Entity ID: org-aws

0.20.2. Appendix D2. Amazon

Entity type: company **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access.

Relevant sources in this paper: Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-006

Audit Entity ID: org-amazon

0.20.3. Appendix D3. Anthropic

Entity type: company **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 04_data_to_models_copyright_weights, 06_ai_double_use_reasoning_deepseek, 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access, 08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture and others. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A3, Appendix A7, Appendix A8 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-008 **Audit Entity ID:** org-anthropic

0.20.4. Appendix D4. DeepSeek

Entity type: company **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 06_ai_double_use_reasoning_deepseek, 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A13 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-013 **Audit Entity ID:** org-deepseek

0.20.5. Appendix D5. Facebook / Meta

Entity type: company **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 01_surveillance_capitalism, 03_covid_acceleration_and_geopolitics, 04_data_to_models_copyright_weights, 11_facebook_thiel_intelligence_adjacency and others. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A12 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-015 **Audit Entity ID:** org-facebook

0.20.6. Appendix D6. OpenAI

Entity type: company **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 04_data_to_models_copyright_weights, 06_ai_double_use_reasoning_deepseek, 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access, 08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture and others. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A5, Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-027 **Audit Entity ID:** org-openai

0.20.7. Appendix D7. Palantir Technologies

Entity type: company **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access, 08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture, 11_facebook_thiel_intelligence_adjacency. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A11, Appendix A8, Appendix A9 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-029 **Audit Entity ID:** org-palantir

0.20.8. Appendix D8. Bureau of Industry and Security

Entity type: government **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access.
Relevant sources in this paper: Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-010
Audit Entity ID: org-bis

0.20.9. Appendix D9. The White House / OSTP process

Entity type: government **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access,
08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A1, Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-039 **Audit Entity ID:** org-white-house

0.20.10. Appendix D10. U.S. Department of Defense Chief Digital and Artificial Intelligence Office

Entity type: government **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access,
08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-014 **Audit Entity ID:** org-dod-cdao

0.20.11. Appendix D11. United States Army

Entity type: government **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access,
08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A9 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-035 **Audit Entity ID:** org-us-army

0.20.12. Appendix D12. Central Intelligence Agency

Entity type: government_agency **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 11_facebook_thiel_intelligence_adjacency.
Relevant sources in this paper: Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-012
Audit Entity ID: org-cia

0.20.13. Appendix D13. In-Q-Tel

Entity type: organization **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 01_surveillance_capitalism,
08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture, 11_facebook_thiel_intelligence_adjacency. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A10 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-022 **Audit Entity ID:** org-iqt

0.20.14. Appendix D14. Peter Thiel

Entity type: person **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 01_surveillance_capitalism,
08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture, 09_future_if_unchecked, 11_facebook_thiel_intelligence_adjacency.
Relevant sources in this paper: Appendix A12 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-058
Audit Entity ID: person-peter-thiel

0.20.15. Appendix D15. Sam Altman

Entity type: person **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 07_export_controls_compute_defense_access, 08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture, 09_future_if_unchecked, 10_counterstrategy_response_agenda and others. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-059 **Audit Entity ID:** person-sam-altman

0.21. Appendix E. Evidence Boundaries

0.21.1. Appendix E1. Disputed Matters

- None registered for this paper.

0.21.2. Appendix E2. Interpretive Boundaries

- Appendix B53: That current official records show frontier labs and adjacent platform firms building formal government-facing channels through secure offerings, classified-environment access, accredited cloud stacks, and even military personnel embedding rather than engaging the state only through lobbying or abstract policy rhetoric.
- Appendix B54: That firms benefiting from export-control protection, strategic state access, or privileged public coordination should face stronger transparency and public-obligation duties than ordinary software vendors.
- Appendix B55: That the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record supports a bounded argument about networked governance continuity between surveillance platforms, venture capital, and security-state technology ecosystems.
- Appendix B56: That the strongest reading of the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record is a structured adjacency model built through board power, venture sponsorship, and government contracting rather than evidence of covert command.

0.21.3. Appendix E3. Speculative Narrative Risks

- Appendix B57: That open reasoning papers or public model releases eliminate the politics of compute concentration, distribution control, or institutional gatekeeping around frontier AI.
- Appendix B58: That export controls, hyperscaler dependence, and defense adjacency prove total state ownership or seamless command over every frontier-model training, deployment, or access pathway.

0.21.4. Appendix E4. Sensitive or Review-Worthy Note Flags

- Appendix A13. Companion note: Note N-057. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That by January 2025 a non-U.S. lab was publicly claiming frontier-adjacent reasoning performance in a primary technical paper.
- Appendix A3. Companion note: Note N-067. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That at least one major frontier lab publicly committed the majority of its workloads and future model development to a hyperscaler cloud provider.
- Appendix A7. Companion note: Note N-068. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That by June 6, 2025 Anthropic had publicly launched a government-specific model line for U.S. national security

customers.

- Appendix A9. Companion note: Note N-069. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That by June 13, 2025 the U.S. Army had created a formal structure to embed senior commercial-tech executives in a military innovation role.
- Appendix A2. Companion note: Note N-070. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That there is a primary U.S. government record directly describing continued license requirements for advanced computing exports linked to Country Group D:5 and Macau.
- Appendix A4. Companion note: Note N-071. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That the language of AI dominance did not remain only in a political order, but moved into an official federal consultation process.
- Appendix A6. Companion note: Note N-072. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That by June 16, 2025 OpenAI had formally reorganized and expanded its U.S. public-sector work under an **OpenAI for Government** initiative rather than treating government use as incidental.
- Appendix A5. Companion note: Note N-073. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That OpenAI officially defended a public-policy agenda combining global commercial expansion, export controls, and preservation of access to copyrighted material for training.
- Appendix A8. Companion note: Note N-074. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That by November 7, 2024 Anthropic model access for U.S. intelligence and defense agencies was being publicly distributed through a Palantir-plus-AWS stack.
- Appendix A1. Companion note: Note N-076. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That competition over AI was officially framed by the U.S. government in terms of global dominance, economic competitiveness, and national security.
- Appendix A4. Companion note: Note N-086. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That the state created an official consultation pathway for shaping the 2025 AI doctrine.
- Appendix A10. Companion note: Note N-088. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That there is a formal institutional channel connecting strategic technology development with intelligence and defense needs.
- Appendix A5. Companion note: Note N-093. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That OpenAI presents itself as a policy actor seeking to co-design national AI strategy.
- Appendix A1. Companion note: Note N-096. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That AI dominance was elevated to explicit state doctrine in 2025.
- Appendix A10. Companion note: Note N-113. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That there is an organization officially dedicated to connecting technological innovation with intelligence, defense, and national-security needs.
- Appendix A12. Companion note: Note N-114. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That Peter Thiel's formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022.
- Appendix A11. Companion note: Note N-116. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That Palantir openly markets Gotham to defense agencies and the intelligence community.