

Chapter 01: Social Networks as Infrastructure for Free Data Capture

From Surveillance Capitalism to AI Imperialism | Chapter 01: Social networks as infrastructure for free data capture

0.1. Abstract

Before AI could be marketed as a new intelligence order, social platforms had already built the behavioral raw material of that order. The FTC’s 2024 report on social media and video-streaming data practices gives this chapter its strongest regulatory anchor. Once social life is reorganized around measurable engagement, extractive targeting, and recommendation systems, platforms stop looking like passive infrastructure and start looking like privately governed civic systems.

0.2. Keywords

Social networks as infrastructure for free data capture; surveillance capitalism; AI imperialism; Section 230 reform; evidence-based dossier

0.3. Research Question

How does social networks as infrastructure for free data capture function within the dossier’s larger argument, and what does the documentary record allow this chapter to establish?

0.4. Scope and Framing Note

This paper examines social networks as infrastructure for free data capture within the broader dossier *From Surveillance Capitalism to AI Imperialism*. It is intentionally written to circulate on its own: necessary context is restated, evidence boundaries are made explicit, and cited material is reproduced in paper-local form rather than delegated to repo navigation. It contributes to the series as a paper that builds the structural case for refactoring Section 230 by documenting recommendation, extraction, and design power. Adjacent reinforcement appears in Chapter 02, Chapter 04, Chapter 10, but those cross-references are supportive rather than required for basic comprehension.

0.5. Central Thesis

Before AI could be marketed as a new intelligence order, social platforms had already built the behavioral raw material of that order. Their core innovation was not simply communication at

scale. It was the normalization of a business model in which attention, relationships, preferences, and expression became persistent, monetizable data assets.¹

0.6. Evidence and Method Note

This paper is derived from the chapter corpus for Chapter 01 and is grounded in the project's structured research OS. It relies on source notes, extracted claims, entity profiles, and timeline events already normalized under the dossier's evidence hierarchy. Documented facts are asserted directly where the record supports them; disputed matters are marked as such; interpretive claims are bounded explicitly; and speculative overreach is isolated in the evidence-boundary appendix.

0.7. Introduction

This paper asks: How does social networks as infrastructure for free data capture function within the dossier's larger argument, and what does the documentary record allow this chapter to establish? Its working answer is clear from the start: Before AI could be marketed as a new intelligence order, social platforms had already built the behavioral raw material of that order. Their core innovation was not simply communication at scale. It was the normalization of a business model in which attention, relationships, preferences, and expression became persistent, monetizable data assets.² The objective is not only to recount developments, but to show why the subject of this chapter belongs inside a structural argument about extraction, enclosure, legitimacy, and reform.

0.8. Historical or Institutional Context

The FTC's 2024 report on social media and video-streaming data practices gives this chapter its strongest regulatory anchor. The platform model is documented as one of extraction, retention, combination, and monetization, not as a neutral relay of user speech. That record is already enough to weaken the old fiction that sovereign-scale platforms are passive intermediaries.³

0.9. Main Analysis

The FTC's 2019 Facebook action matters because Cambridge Analytica was not just a reputational scandal. It became a structural compliance event with board-level oversight duties and a formal federal record of deceptive and insufficiently controlled data governance.⁴

Facebook's own S-1 and later Meta proxy filings document Peter Thiel as an early investor and a long-lived governance actor. That does not prove clandestine command. It does prove continuity between platform surveillance, elite venture governance, and later state-adjacent technology networks.⁵

¹Sources: FTC, A Look Behind the Screens: Examining... (n.d.; see Appendix A1); FTC, FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sw... (2019-07-24; see Appendix A2)

²Sources: FTC, A Look Behind the Screens: Examining... (n.d.; see Appendix A1); FTC, FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sw... (2019-07-24; see Appendix A2)

³Sources: FTC, A Look Behind the Screens: Examining... (n.d.; see Appendix A1)

⁴Sources: FTC, FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sw... (2019-07-24; see Appendix A2)

⁵Sources: SEC, Facebook, Inc. Registration Statement... (2012-02-01; see Appendix A3); SEC, Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive... (2022-04-08; see Appendix A4)

Meta’s 2021 rebrand and 2023 10-K show that the company attached a metaverse-centered strategic narrative to a still-dominant advertising machine. The rebrand did not function as a data-governance reset. It functioned as narrative redirection while the extraction engine remained intact and financially central.⁶

The documented platform record therefore shows more than large-scale surveillance. It shows social participation being routed through privately governed interfaces that could rank visibility, shape reach, and condition economic opportunity. Interpretation: this is the earlier precedent for the later AI story. Once an intermediary controls the interface layer, it can influence value without producing the underlying social life itself.⁷

Interpretation: Chapter 01 begins the case for **Refactor Section 230** by showing that reach, design, targeting, monetization, and recommendation are not incidental platform features. They are the product. A sovereign-scale platform framework should therefore track systemic design power rather than cling to passive-hosting logic built for an earlier internet.⁸

0.10. Position Within the Series

This paper sits inside a coordinated 11-paper architecture. Its nearest companions are Chapter 02 (Social erosion and moral reconfiguration), Chapter 04 (AI arrives when the human raw material already exists), Chapter 10 (What to do). In that series logic, Chapter 01 builds the structural case for refactoring Section 230 by documenting recommendation, extraction, and design power.

0.11. Counterarguments

This chapter is strongest on surveillance business models, regulatory intervention, governance continuity, and strategic redirection. It is weaker on any maximal claim that every platform dataset was fully mapped into every later foundation-model training stack. That bridge must be built more carefully in Chapter 04.⁹

0.12. Limits of the Evidence

This chapter is strongest on surveillance business models, regulatory intervention, governance continuity, and strategic redirection. It is weaker on any maximal claim that every platform dataset was fully mapped into every later foundation-model training stack. That bridge must be built more carefully in Chapter 04.¹⁰

⁶Sources: Meta, The Facebook Company Is Now Meta (2021-10-28; see Appendix A5); U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Repo... (2024-02-02; see Appendix A6)

⁷Sources: FTC, A Look Behind the Screens: Examining... (n.d.; see Appendix A1); FTC, FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sw... (2019-07-24; see Appendix A2); U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Repo... (2024-02-02; see Appendix A6)

⁸Sources: FTC, A Look Behind the Screens: Examining... (n.d.; see Appendix A1); FTC, FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sw... (2019-07-24; see Appendix A2); U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Repo... (2024-02-02; see Appendix A6)

⁹Sources: FTC, A Look Behind the Screens: Examining... (n.d.; see Appendix A1); U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Repo... (2024-02-02; see Appendix A6)

¹⁰Sources: FTC, A Look Behind the Screens: Examining... (n.d.; see Appendix A1); U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Repo... (2024-02-02; see Appendix A6)

0.13. Reform Relevance

Once social life is reorganized around measurable engagement, extractive targeting, and recommendation systems, platforms stop looking like passive infrastructure and start looking like privately governed civic systems. That is why the dossier's Section 230 argument begins here: sovereign-scale platforms should be judged by the harms and dependencies created by their design, amplification, and monetization architecture.¹¹

0.14. Conclusion

Once social life is reorganized around measurable engagement, extractive targeting, and recommendation systems, platforms stop looking like passive infrastructure and start looking like privately governed civic systems. The next problem is not only that platforms captured behavior. In the architecture of this series, Chapter 01 therefore functions as a self-contained argument while also advancing the cumulative path toward the dossier's three reforms.

0.15. Notes

This paper is designed to circulate independently. Public notes point readers to the real external documents first, then to the paper-local appendix entry that explains why each source matters.

The underlying research OS distinguishes among **Documented Fact**, **Disputed Fact**, **Hypothesis / Interpretation**, and **Speculative Narrative Risk**. In Chapter 01, those boundaries remain visible in the prose, in the bibliography, and in the appendix sections that summarize claims and caution points.

0.16. References / Bibliography

1. FTC. A Look Behind the Screens: Examining the Data Practices of Social Media and Video Streaming Services. n.d.. T1 report. Paper appendix: Appendix A1. Corpus companion entry: Source S-063. Audit ID: **ftc-2024-look-behind-screens**. Relevance: That the platform model relies on intensive extraction and processing of user data.
2. FTC. FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sweeping New Privacy Restrictions on Facebook. 2019-07-24. T1 press_release. Paper appendix: Appendix A2. Corpus companion entry: Source S-064. Audit ID: **ftc-facebook-privacy-2019**. Relevance: That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight.
3. SEC. Facebook, Inc. Registration Statement on Form S-1. 2012-02-01. T1 filing. Paper appendix: Appendix A3. Corpus companion entry: Source S-052. Audit ID: **facebook-s1-2012**. Relevance: That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005.
4. SEC. Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement. 2022-04-08. T1 proxy_statement. Paper appendix: Appendix A4. Corpus companion entry: Source S-080. Audit ID: **meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement**. Relevance: That Peter Thiel's formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022.

¹¹Sources: FTC, A Look Behind the Screens: Examining... (n.d.; see Appendix A1); FTC, FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sw... (2019-07-24; see Appendix A2)

5. Meta. The Facebook Company Is Now Meta. 2021-10-28. T1 company_statement. Paper appendix: Appendix A5. Corpus companion entry: Source S-081. Audit ID: meta-rebrand-2021. Relevance: That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change.
6. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Report (Form 10-K). 2024-02-02. T1 sec_filing. Paper appendix: Appendix A6. Corpus companion entry: Source S-079. Audit ID: meta-2023-10k. Relevance: That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting.

0.17. Appendix A. Source Register for This Paper

0.17.1. Appendix A1. A Look Behind the Screens: Examining the Data Practices of Social Media and Video Streaming Services

Source citation: A Look Behind the Screens: Examining the Data Practices of Social Media and Video Streaming Services **Institution / author:** FTC **Date:** n.d. **Tier / type:** T1 / report **Why it matters in this paper:** That the platform model relies on intensive extraction and processing of user data. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-063 **Audit ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens

0.17.2. Appendix A2. FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sweeping New Privacy Restrictions on Facebook

Source citation: FTC Imposes \$5 Billion Penalty and Sweeping New Privacy Restrictions on Facebook **Institution / author:** FTC **Date:** 2019-07-24 **Tier / type:** T1 / press_release **Why it matters in this paper:** That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-064 **Audit ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019

0.17.3. Appendix A3. Facebook, Inc. Registration Statement on Form S-1

Source citation: Facebook, Inc. Registration Statement on Form S-1 **Institution / author:** SEC **Date:** 2012-02-01 **Tier / type:** T1 / filing **Why it matters in this paper:** That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-052 **Audit ID:** facebook-s1-2012

0.17.4. Appendix A4. Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement

Source citation: Meta Platforms, Inc. 2022 Definitive Proxy Statement **Institution / author:** SEC **Date:** 2022-04-08 **Tier / type:** T1 / proxy_statement **Why it matters in this paper:** That Peter Thiel's formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-080 **Audit ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement

0.17.5. Appendix A5. The Facebook Company Is Now Meta

Source citation: The Facebook Company Is Now Meta **Institution / author:** Meta **Date:** 2021-10-28 **Tier / type:** T1 / company_statement **Why it matters in this paper:** That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-081 **Audit ID:** meta-rebrand-2021

0.17.6. Appendix A6. Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Report (Form 10-K)

Source citation: Meta Platforms, Inc. 2023 Annual Report (Form 10-K) **Institution / author:** U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission **Date:** 2024-02-02 **Tier / type:** T1 / sec_filing **Why it matters in this paper:** That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting. **Corpus companion entry:** Source S-079 **Audit ID:** meta-2023-10k

0.18. Appendix B. Claims Used in This Paper**0.18.1. Appendix B1. Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO f...**

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-156 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

0.18.2. Appendix B2. Facebook itself described Thiel not merely as a commentator or associate, but as...

Claim statement: That Facebook itself described Thiel not merely as a commentator or associate, but as an early investor and standing director. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-157 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

0.18.3. Appendix B3. Thiel occupied a structurally meaningful position in Facebook's governance archit...

Claim statement: That Thiel occupied a structurally meaningful position in Facebook's governance architecture, including a preferred-stock-designated seat and an audit-committee role. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-158 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

0.18.4. Appendix B4. Facebook's public-market transition preserved concentrated internal control over...

Claim statement: That Facebook's public-market transition preserved concentrated internal control over directors and corporate decisions. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-159 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-04

0.18.5. Appendix B5. Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO f...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-160 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

0.18.6. Appendix B6. Facebook itself described Thiel not merely as a commentator or associate, but as...

Claim statement: That Facebook itself described Thiel not merely as a commentator or associate, but as an early investor and standing director. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-161 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

0.18.7. Appendix B7. Thiel occupied a structurally meaningful position in Facebook’s governance archit...

Claim statement: That Thiel occupied a structurally meaningful position in Facebook’s governance architecture, including a preferred-stock-designated seat and an audit-committee role. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-162 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-03

0.18.8. Appendix B8. Facebook’s public-market transition preserved concentrated internal control over...

Claim statement: That Facebook’s public-market transition preserved concentrated internal control over directors and corporate decisions. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-163 **Audit Claim ID:** facebook-s1-2012-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-04

0.18.9. Appendix B9. The platform model relies on intensive extraction and processing of user data

Claim statement: That the platform model relies on intensive extraction and processing of user data. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-196 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens-claim-01

0.18.10. Appendix B10. Platforms cannot seriously be described as simple passive intermediaries

Claim statement: That platforms cannot seriously be described as simple passive intermediaries. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-197 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens-claim-02

0.18.11. Appendix B11. There is regulatory support for speaking of commercial surveillance at massive scale

Claim statement: That there is regulatory support for speaking of commercial surveillance at massive scale. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-198 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-2024-look-behind-screens-claim-03

0.18.12. Appendix B12. There is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed pers...

Claim statement: That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-199 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

0.18.13. Appendix B13. The Cambridge Analytica scandal was not only a media episode, but a point of inst...

Claim statement: That the Cambridge Analytica scandal was not only a media episode, but a point of institutional intervention with structural compliance consequences. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-200 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

0.18.14. Appendix B14. Facebook's commercial-surveillance architecture generated enough risk to require...

Claim statement: That Facebook's commercial-surveillance architecture generated enough risk to require extraordinary board-level oversight mechanisms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-201 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

0.18.15. Appendix B15. There is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed pers...

Claim statement: That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-202 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-claim-01

0.18.16. Appendix B16. The Cambridge Analytica scandal was not only a media episode, but a point of inst...

Claim statement: That the Cambridge Analytica scandal was not only a media episode, but a point of institutional intervention with structural compliance consequences. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-203 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-claim-02

0.18.17. Appendix B17. Facebook’s commercial-surveillance architecture generated enough risk to require...

Claim statement: That Facebook’s commercial-surveillance architecture generated enough risk to require extraordinary board-level oversight mechanisms. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-204 **Audit Claim ID:** ftc-facebook-privacy-2019-claim-03

0.18.18. Appendix B18. The metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and...

Claim statement: That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-252 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

0.18.19. Appendix B19. Meta’s core economic engine remained advertising, even while management invested...

Claim statement: That Meta’s core economic engine remained advertising, even while management invested heavily in AI and immersive technologies. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-253 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

0.18.20. Appendix B20. The rebrand period can be discussed in material financial terms rather than only...

Claim statement: That the rebrand period can be discussed in material financial terms rather than only as a symbolic communications move. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-254 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

0.18.21. Appendix B21. The metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and...

Claim statement: That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-255 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-claim-01

0.18.22. Appendix B22. Meta’s core economic engine remained advertising, even while management invested...

Claim statement: That Meta’s core economic engine remained advertising, even while management invested heavily in AI and immersive technologies. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-256 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-claim-02

0.18.23. Appendix B23. The rebrand period can be discussed in material financial terms rather than only...

Claim statement: That the rebrand period can be discussed in material financial terms rather than only as a symbolic communications move. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-257 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-2023-10k-claim-03

0.18.24. Appendix B24. Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-258 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

0.18.25. Appendix B25. The company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the en...

Claim statement: That the company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the end of his term at the 2022 annual meeting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-259 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

0.18.26. Appendix B26. Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before...

Claim statement: That Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before his departure from the board. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-260 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

0.18.27. Appendix B27. Continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era include...

Claim statement: That continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era included continuity in elite board membership. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-261 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-04

0.18.28. Appendix B28. Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup...

Claim statement: That Peter Thiel’s formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-262 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-01

0.18.29. Appendix B29. The company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the en...

Claim statement: That the company itself presented Thiel’s board tenure as spanning from 2005 to the end of his term at the 2022 annual meeting. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-263 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-02

0.18.30. Appendix B30. Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before...

Claim statement: That Thiel still held committee-level governance authority at Meta immediately before his departure from the board. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-264 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-03

0.18.31. Appendix B31. Continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era include...

Claim statement: That continuity between the Facebook surveillance era and the Meta rebrand era included continuity in elite board membership. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-265 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-proxy-2022-thiel-retirement-11-facebook-thiel-intelligence-adjacency-claim-04

0.18.32. Appendix B32. The rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not me...

Claim statement: That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-266 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-01

0.18.33. Appendix B33. Meta itself created a reporting split capable of distinguishing its mature platfo...

Claim statement: That Meta itself created a reporting split capable of distinguishing its mature platform business from its speculative immersive-technology investment. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-267 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-02

0.18.34. Appendix B34. The company did not present the rebrand as a data-governance reset

Claim statement: That the company did not present the rebrand as a data-governance reset. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-268 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-01-surveillance-capitalism-claim-03

0.18.35. Appendix B35. The rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not me...

Claim statement: That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-269 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-claim-01

0.18.36. Appendix B36. Meta itself created a reporting split capable of distinguishing its mature platfo...

Claim statement: That Meta itself created a reporting split capable of distinguishing its mature platform business from its speculative immersive-technology investment. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-270 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-claim-02

0.18.37. Appendix B37. The company did not present the rebrand as a data-governance reset

Claim statement: That the company did not present the rebrand as a data-governance reset. **Evidence status:** Documented Fact **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-271 **Audit Claim ID:** meta-rebrand-2021-claim-03

0.18.38. Appendix B38. Sovereign-scale platforms should be regulated on the basis of design, amplificati...

Claim statement: That sovereign-scale platforms should be regulated on the basis of design, amplification, targeting, monetization, moderation architecture, and systemic reach rather than passive-hosting logic. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Appendix A1, Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-418 **Audit Claim ID:** vector01-section230-sovereign-scale-reform-claim-01

0.18.39. Appendix B39. The Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record supports a bounded argument about net...

Claim statement: That the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record supports a bounded argument about networked governance continuity between surveillance platforms, venture capital, and security-state technology ecosystems. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Appendix A3, Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-459 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-networked-adjacency-synthesis-claim-01

0.18.40. Appendix B40. The strongest reading of the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record is a structu...

Claim statement: That the strongest reading of the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record is a structured adjacency model built through board power, venture sponsorship, and government contracting rather than evidence of covert command. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation

Source support: Appendix A3, Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-461 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-structured-adjacency-not-command-claim-01

0.18.41. Appendix B41. The Meta rebrand and metaverse cycle can be read as a narrative and capital-alloc...

Claim statement: That the Meta rebrand and metaverse cycle can be read as a narrative and capital-allocation redirection after a reputational-regulatory rupture, even if the precise intent behind the timing cannot be proved conclusively. **Evidence status:** Hypothesis / Interpretation **Source support:** Appendix A2, Appendix A5, Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-463 **Audit Claim ID:** vector12-rebrand-redirection-synthesis-claim-01

0.18.42. Appendix B42. Refactoring Section 230 for sovereign-scale platforms requires treating platforms...

Claim statement: That refactoring Section 230 for sovereign-scale platforms requires treating platforms as ordinary publishers for every user utterance regardless of design, amplification, targeting, or monetization. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Appendix A1 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-417 **Audit Claim ID:** vector01-publisher-liability-overclaim-claim-01

0.18.43. Appendix B43. Intelligence adjacency or early-investor overlap alone proves direct CIA control...

Claim statement: That intelligence adjacency or early-investor overlap alone proves direct CIA control of Facebook. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-458 **Audit Claim ID:** vector11-direct-cia-control-facebook-over

0.18.44. Appendix B44. The Meta rebrand by itself proves a singular covert intent to erase Cambridge Ana...

Claim statement: That the Meta rebrand by itself proves a singular covert intent to erase Cambridge Analytica from public memory or that the metaverse pivot was primarily a cover-up operation. **Evidence status:** Speculative Narrative Risk **Source support:** Appendix A2, Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Claim C-462 **Audit Claim ID:** vector12-coverup-intent-overclaim-claim-01

0.19. Appendix C. Timeline Slice

0.19.1. Appendix C1. 2012-02-01: Facebook's S-1 publicly documents Peter Thiel's board seat and early-investor role

Event summary: Facebook's S-1 publicly documents Peter Thiel's board seat and early-investor role **Event date:** 2012-02-01 **Source support:** Appendix A3 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-002 **Audit Event ID:** event-facebook-s1-thiel-2012-02-01

0.19.2. Appendix C2. 2019-07-24: The FTC imposes a record penalty and new privacy restrictions on Facebook

Event summary: The FTC imposes a record penalty and new privacy restrictions on Facebook

Event date: 2019-07-24 **Source support:** Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-006 **Audit Event ID:** event-ftc-facebook-2019-07-24

0.19.3. Appendix C3. 2021-10-28: Facebook formally rebrands its parent company as Meta

Event summary: Facebook formally rebrands its parent company as Meta **Event date:** 2021-

10-28 **Source support:** Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-015 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-rebrand-2021-10-28

0.19.4. Appendix C4. 2022-04-08: Meta’s proxy states that Peter Thiel will retire from the board after the annual meeting

Event summary: Meta’s proxy states that Peter Thiel will retire from the board after the annual meeting **Event date:** 2022-04-08 **Source support:** Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-017 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-proxy-thiel-retirement-2022-04-08

0.19.5. Appendix C5. 2024-02-02: Meta files its 2023 annual report with continued Reality Labs losses

Event summary: Meta files its 2023 annual report with continued Reality Labs losses **Event date:** 2024-02-02 **Source support:** Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Event T-040 **Audit Event ID:** event-meta-10k-2024-02-02

0.20. Appendix D. Relevant Entities

0.20.1. Appendix D1. Reality Labs

Entity type: business_unit **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 12_cambridge_analytica_meta_metaverse_r
05_talent_hoarding_section174_layoffs. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A5, Appendix A6 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-031 **Audit Entity ID:** org-reality-labs

0.20.2. Appendix D2. Facebook / Meta

Entity type: company **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 01_surveillance_capitalism, 03_covid_acceleration_and_geopolitics, 04_data_to_models_copyright_weights, 11_facebook_thiel_intelligence_adjacency and others. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A2, Appendix A3, Appendix A4, Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-015 **Audit Entity ID:** org-facebook

0.20.3. Appendix D3. Mark Zuckerberg

Entity type: person **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 05_talent_hoarding_section174_layoffs, 08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture, 09_future_if_unchecked, 12_cambridge_analytica_meta_metaverse_r

Relevant sources in this paper: Appendix A5 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-054
Audit Entity ID: person-mark-zuckerberg

0.20.4. Appendix D4. Peter Thiel

Entity type: person **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 01_surveillance_capitalism, 08_power_networks_legitimacy_capture, 09_future_if_unchecked, 11_facebook_thiel_intelligence_adjacency.
Relevant sources in this paper: Appendix A3, Appendix A4 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-058 **Audit Entity ID:** person-peter-thiel

0.20.5. Appendix D5. Federal Trade Commission

Entity type: regulator **Role in this paper:** Actor relevant to 01_surveillance_capitalism. **Relevant sources in this paper:** Appendix A1, Appendix A2 **Corpus companion entry:** Entity E-017 **Audit Entity ID:** org-ftc

0.21. Appendix E. Evidence Boundaries

0.21.1. Appendix E1. Disputed Matters

- None registered for this paper.

0.21.2. Appendix E2. Interpretive Boundaries

- Appendix B38: That sovereign-scale platforms should be regulated on the basis of design, amplification, targeting, monetization, moderation architecture, and systemic reach rather than passive-hosting logic.
- Appendix B39: That the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record supports a bounded argument about networked governance continuity between surveillance platforms, venture capital, and security-state technology ecosystems.
- Appendix B40: That the strongest reading of the Facebook-Thiel-Palantir-In-Q-Tel record is a structured adjacency model built through board power, venture sponsorship, and government contracting rather than evidence of covert command.
- Appendix B41: That the Meta rebrand and metaverse cycle can be read as a narrative and capital-allocation redirection after a reputational-regulatory rupture, even if the precise intent behind the timing cannot be proved conclusively.

0.21.3. Appendix E3. Speculative Narrative Risks

- Appendix B42: That refactoring Section 230 for sovereign-scale platforms requires treating platforms as ordinary publishers for every user utterance regardless of design, amplification, targeting, or monetization.
- Appendix B43: That intelligence adjacency or early-investor overlap alone proves direct CIA control of Facebook.
- Appendix B44: That the Meta rebrand by itself proves a singular covert intent to erase Cambridge Analytica from public memory or that the metaverse pivot was primarily a cover-up operation.

0.21.4. Appendix E4. Sensitive or Review-Worthy Note Flags

- Appendix A3. Companion note: Note N-001. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005.
- Appendix A1. Companion note: Note N-002. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That the platform model relies on intensive extraction and processing of user data.
- Appendix A2. Companion note: Note N-003. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight.
- Appendix A6. Companion note: Note N-005. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting.
- Appendix A4. Companion note: Note N-006. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That Peter Thiel's formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022.
- Appendix A5. Companion note: Note N-007. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change.
- Appendix A3. Companion note: Note N-110. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That Peter Thiel had a formal governance role inside Facebook at the time of the IPO filing and that the role dated back to 2005.
- Appendix A4. Companion note: Note N-114. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That Peter Thiel's formal role at Facebook / Meta lasted well beyond the early startup period and remained active into 2022.
- Appendix A2. Companion note: Note N-123. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That there is formal regulatory backing for saying Facebook monetized and managed personal data within a framework of deceptive practices and insufficient oversight.
- Appendix A6. Companion note: Note N-126. Risk flag: low. Note focus: That the metaverse bet was not just branding language; it had a dedicated segment and a continuing loss structure visible in SEC reporting.
- Appendix A5. Companion note: Note N-127. Risk flag: medium. Note focus: That the rebrand was formally tied to a metaverse-centered strategic narrative, not merely a cosmetic name change.